Educational Administration: Theory and Practice
2024, 30(6), 5290-5300
ISSN: 2148-2403
https://kuey.net/

Research Article

Decolonizing Education: NEP 2020 and the Renaissance of India's Knowledge Systems in the 21st Century

Abbey Thom Sunil[1*], Dr. Khem Raj Sharma[2]

[1*]MA English Student, Department of English, Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Email ID: abbeytsunil@gmail.com, ORCID ID: 0009-0004-8999-8969
[2] Professor, Department of English, Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Email ID: drrajksharma162@gmail.com, ORCID ID: 0009-0009-8384-4738

Citation: Sunil, Abbey Thom and Khem Raj Sharma (2024). Decolonizing Education: NEP 2020 and the Renaissance of India's Knowledge Systems in the 21st Century, *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(6) 5290-5300
Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i6.10637

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

The 21st century has seen a paradigm shift in curriculum design, emphasizing flexibility, learner autonomy, and skill-based education. This paper examines key reforms like OutcomeBased Learning (OBL) and Choice-Based Learning (CBL), alongside India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, which promotes multidisciplinary education, multiple entry-exit options, and the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC). These innovations are analysed in the context of global trends such as the Bologna Process, highlighting their role in fostering academic excellence and employability. A critical focus is the decolonization of curricula, particularly in disciplines like English literature, where Indian writings have been marginalized in favour of Western canons. By comparing traditional models (e.g., Oxford's Greco-Roman centric syllabus) with NEP's inclusive approach, the study underscores the need for culturally responsive education. Additionally, the paper explores how NEP's emphasis on experiential learning and vocational training aligns with India's ancient Gurukul system, marking a revival of indigenous pedagogical values after colonial disruption.

Ultimately, the research argues that modern curriculum design must balance global best practices with local relevance, ensuring holistic development and lifelong learning. By integrating progressive reforms with traditional knowledge systems, NEP 2020 envisions a transformative framework for India's future as a developed knowledge economy.

Keywords: Curriculum Design, NEP 2020, Outcome-Based Learning, Decolonization, Multidisciplinary Education, Gurukul System.

Introduction

The 21st century has ushered in a radical transformation in global education systems, driven by the demands of a knowledge-based economy, technological disruption, and the need for inclusive, skill-oriented learning. At the heart of this transformation lies curriculum design—a dynamic framework that shapes not only what is taught but how learning intersects with societal progress, employability, and cultural identity. India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 exemplifies this shift, dismantling colonial-era rigidities and advocating for multidisciplinary flexibility, outcome-based pedagogies, and a revival of indigenous knowledge systems. Yet, this reform cannot be viewed in isolation; it mirrors global movements such as the Bologna Process's emphasis on credit transfer and the worldwide push toward decolonizing curricula that have long privileged Western epistemologies.

This paper critically examines the evolution of curriculum design in the 21st century, with a focus on India's NEP 2020 as both a disruptor and a bridge—between tradition and modernity, between global benchmarks and local imperatives. It explores key innovations like choice-based learning, multiple entry-exit pathways, and the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC), while interrogating their efficacy in fostering academic excellence. A central tension emerges: the policy's ambition to "decolonize" education, particularly in disciplines like English literature, where syllabi have historically marginalized Indian writings in favour of Anglo-American canons. By contrasting models like Oxford's Greco-Roman-centric MA English curriculum with NEP's push for regional inclusivity, the study highlights the stakes of curricular reform beyond pedagogy—as a reclamation of cultural

agency. Further, it traces the paradoxical return to Gurukul-inspired ideals (holistic, experiential learning) within a technocratic framework, underscoring how NEP 2020 seeks to rectify the epistemic violence of Macaulay's colonial legacy. Through this analysis, it argues that contemporary curriculum design must negotiate a delicate balance: embracing global best practices while recentering marginalized knowledge systems to truly envision India as a developed nation. The urgency of this balance lies not just in policy documents but in classrooms where the future of learners and the nation are being rewritten.

Outcome-Based Learning (OBL) and Choice-Based Learning (CBL)

The contemporary shift toward Outcome-Based Learning (OBL) and Choice-Based Learning (CBL) represents a fundamental reorientation of curriculum design, placing learner autonomy and measurable competencies at the core of education. OBL, with its focus on clearly defined learning outcomes, shifts the emphasis from rote content delivery to demonstrable skills, ensuring graduates meet real-world demands (Spady, 1994). This approach aligns with global trends, such as the Tuning Project in Europe, which standardizes discipline-specific competencies across universities, and the Australian Qualifications Framework (AQF), which links educational outcomes to industry needs. In India, OBL's integration into NEP 2020 through initiatives like graduate attributes and program-specific skills reflects a commitment to bridging the gap between academia and employability.

Simultaneously, CBL dismantles the rigidity of traditional curricula by empowering students to design their academic pathways. By offering interdisciplinary electives, skill-enhancement courses, and honours/minor options, CBL fosters intellectual curiosity and multidisciplinary expertise—a principle enshrined in NEP 2020's "no hard separations between arts and sciences" vision. For instance, universities like FLAME (India) and Brown University (USA) exemplify CBL's success through their open curriculum models, where students tailor degrees to their interests, from blending literature with cognitive science to pairing engineering with entrepreneurship.

However, challenges persist. OBL's success hinges on faculty training and robust assessment reforms, while CBL risks fragmentation without structured mentorship (Meyer & Land, 2003). Critics also argue that hyper-specialization in choice-based models may undermine foundational knowledge. Yet, when synergized—as NEP 2020 envisions—OBL and CBL can democratize education, making it adaptive, relevant, and inclusive. This dual framework not only enhances academic excellence but also prepares learners for a volatile job market, where agility and applied competence are paramount.

National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and Global Parallels

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 marks a revolutionary shift in India's approach to education, introducing comprehensive reforms that align with global best practices while addressing India's unique socio-cultural context. At its core, NEP 2020 represents a decisive move away from rigid, compartmentalized learning toward a flexible, multidisciplinary system that emphasizes critical thinking, creativity, and real-world applicability. This transformation mirrors international trends, particularly Finland's phenomenon-based learning model, where students engage with interdisciplinary, problem-centered education rather than traditional subject silos. Similarly, the policy's promotion of liberal arts and sciences integration finds resonance in institutions like Yale-NUS College, which have successfully demonstrated how blending STEM with humanities fosters well-rounded, innovative thinkers.

One of NEP 2020's most significant contributions is its focus on skill-based education, which aligns closely with frameworks like the OECD's Education 2030 initiative. By prioritizing competencies such as digital literacy, analytical reasoning, and communication, the policy ensures that Indian students are equipped to thrive in a rapidly evolving global economy. The integration of vocational training from an early stage, including coding from Grade 6, draws inspiration from Germany's dual education system, which combines classroom instruction with hands-on apprenticeships to bridge the gap between education and employment. Moreover, the introduction of multiple entry-exit points and the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) system reflects the flexibility of the European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS), allowing students to customize their educational journeys according to their needs and aspirations.

While NEP 2020 shares common ground with global models such as the Bologna Process and Singapore's "Teach Less, Learn More" approach, it distinguishes itself through its explicit commitment to decolonizing education and revitalizing India's indigenous knowledge systems. Unlike Western-centric curricula that often marginalize non-European perspectives, NEP emphasizes the inclusion of Indian languages, traditions, and philosophies, ensuring that education remains rooted in local contexts while engaging with global ideas. However, the policy's ambitious vision faces implementation challenges, including the need for extensive faculty training to transition from lecture-based teaching to interactive, student-centered pedagogies. Additionally, infrastructure disparities, particularly in rural areas, must be addressed to fully realize the potential of digital and experiential learning initiatives.

By synthesizing the strengths of international systems with India's cultural and educational heritage, NEP 2020 positions the country as a leader in innovative, inclusive education reform. The policy's success will depend on its ability to adapt global frameworks to India's diverse needs while maintaining a steadfast focus on equity, accessibility, and excellence. As India strives to become a global knowledge hub, NEP 2020 offers a blueprint for an education system that is both globally competitive and deeply rooted in Indian identity.

Multidisciplinary and Interdisciplinary Approaches in Education

The contemporary education landscape is witnessing a fundamental transformation as rigid disciplinary boundaries give way to more fluid, integrated approaches to knowledge creation and dissemination. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020's strong emphasis on multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary education represents a paradigm shift from India's traditional, compartmentalized academic structure that has dominated since colonial times. This transition reflects a growing global consensus that the complex challenges of our era—from technological disruption to climate change and public health emergencies—cannot be adequately addressed through narrow, specialized knowledge alone. The modern world demands thinkers and practitioners who can synthesize insights from multiple domains, a capability that interdisciplinary education uniquely fosters.

Bachpan Play School, under the visionary leadership of Ajay Gupta, has pioneered the SPROUT (Structured, Personalised, Rooted, Oriented, Unique and Tech-driven) Curriculum as a comprehensive response to NEP 2020's call for holistic early childhood education. This meticulously designed curriculum, developed through 35,000+ hours of research involving educators, child psychologists, and curriculum specialists, exemplifies how interdisciplinary learning can be nurtured from the foundational years. The SPROUT framework uniquely integrates the ancient Panchakosha philosophy with modern pedagogical approaches, addressing five key developmental domains: physical (*annamaya*), intellectual (*vijnanamaya*), creative (*manomaya*), social (*pranamaya*), and emotional (*anandamaya*). What makes the SPROUT Curriculum particularly noteworthy is its innovative implementation of multidisciplinary principles at the preschool level. The program incorporates the SPROUT Integrated Learning Kit (SILK), which provides parents with 20+ DIY activities and guidebooks to extend interdisciplinary learning into the home environment. In classrooms, teachers utilize creative teaching-learning materials (TLMs) like puppets, traffic lights, and masks alongside technology tools including Speak-O-Pen, Speak-O-Books, and Prismart Smart Classes. This seamless blending of traditional and technological learning methods creates a truly interdisciplinary environment where young learners naturally develop the ability to make connections across different domains of knowledge.

The philosophical underpinnings of interdisciplinary education trace back to early 20th century reformers like John Dewey, whose advocacy for experiential, integrated learning first gained institutional form through initiatives such as the University of Chicago's Great Books Program (1930s). This tradition of boundary-crossing education evolved through landmark programs like MIT's Media Lab (1985), which demonstrated the transformative potential of combining arts with technology; and continues today in initiatives such as the European Union's Erasmus+ program and Stanford's d.school, where design thinking transcends traditional faculty boundaries. These global developments have not only shaped contemporary educational paradigms but have directly influenced NEP 2020's vision for creating "complete individuals" capable of synthesizing knowledge across domains.

The SPROUT Curriculum represents a pioneering adaptation of these principles for early childhood education in the Indian context. Just as Dewey's theories found expression in Chicago's Great Books program, SPROUT operationalizes interdisciplinary learning through developmentally appropriate practices like art-based numeracy activities and science integrated storytelling. The curriculum's success lies in making multidisciplinary learning organic and engaging for preschoolers, mirroring the Media Lab's innovative spirit at the foundational level. By combining cognitive development with creative expression and emotional learning, much like Stanford's design thinking approach bridges disparate disciplines, SPROUT creates an early foundation for the kind of complex, boundary-crossing thinking that students will later encounter in programs inspired by these global models. This vertical alignment from preschool to higher education exemplifies NEP 2020's comprehensive vision for creating seamless pathways in interdisciplinary learning across the educational spectrum.

In practical terms, NEP 2020 implements this vision through several structural innovations. The introduction of Four-Year Undergraduate Programs (FYUP) with flexible major-minor combinations mirrors the liberal arts model prevalent in leading American institutions like Amherst College. The policy encourages the formation of research clusters that bring together faculty from diverse fields, viz. pairing computer scientists with linguists for digital language preservation projects or combining environmental scientists with economists to study sustainable development. Notable examples include IIT Bombay's interdisciplinary courses that integrate engineering with humanities, and the growing trend of management schools incorporating behavioural science perspectives into business education. Ashoka University's liberal arts model provides a compelling case study of successful implementation. At Ashoka, science students engage with visual arts, economics majors study anthropology, and all undergraduates complete a core curriculum in critical thinking and civilizational studies. This approach has produced graduates with the ability to make connections across disciplines, a skill increasingly valued in today's job market. Similarly, the upcoming Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU) reform plan aims to create interdisciplinary schools that break down traditional department silos, allowing for innovative combinations like Cognitive Science and Artificial Intelligence, Blue Humanities, Digital Humanities, Medical Humanities or Environmental Humanities.

However, significant challenges remain in implementing this vision across India's diverse higher education landscape. Many traditional universities exhibit strong departmental resistance, with faculties operating as isolated fiefdoms reluctant to collaborate. Assessment becomes more complex when evaluating work that

blends multiple disciplines, requiring new rubrics and evaluation methods. Most Indian academics were trained in specialized silos and may lack experience with interdisciplinary pedagogy. Infrastructure limitations, including physical spaces designed for departmental segregation rather than collaboration, present additional hurdles.

Globally, several models offer valuable lessons for India's transition. Harvard's General Education program demonstrates how to balance breadth with depth, ensuring students gain interdisciplinary exposure while developing disciplinary expertise. The Singapore University of Technology and Design's (SUTD) "Big-D" approach integrates design thinking across all disciplines, from architecture to computer science. Finland's Aalto University, created by merging three specialized institutions, shows how combining business, arts and technology schools can foster innovation at their intersections.

For India to successfully implement this paradigm shift, several key enablers are necessary. Physical and intellectual spaces must be created to facilitate interdisciplinary dialogue—innovation hubs, shared labs, and collaborative workspaces. New pedagogical tools need development to support integrated learning approaches, including team-teaching models and project-based curricula. Most crucially, faculty promotion and recruitment policies must begin rewarding collaborative research and teaching, moving beyond traditional metrics of single discipline publications.

As India transitions toward this transformative educational model, it must thoughtfully adapt rather than blindly adopt global approaches. The interdisciplinary framework should be contextualized to address India-specific challenges while maintaining international standards of excellence. This balanced approach promises to produce graduates equipped with both specialized expertise and the ability to work across knowledge domains - precisely the kind of thinkers and leaders needed to navigate the complexities of 21st century India and the world. The success of this endeavour will determine whether Indian higher education can truly break free from its colonial legacy of fragmentation and emerge as a global leader in innovative, holistic education.

Skill-Based Emphasis in NEP and Employability

The National Education Policy's groundbreaking emphasis on skill development addresses a critical weakness in India's education system—the persistent disconnect between classroom learning and workplace requirements. Recent data reveals only 45% of Indian graduates possess the skills needed for employment (India Skills Report 2023), highlighting the urgent need for reform. NEP 2020's skill-based approach represents a paradigm shift from India's traditional degree-focused education model to a competency-based system that prioritizes practical abilities and employability.

The policy's theoretical foundations draw from three key educational philosophies. First, the Competency-Based Education (CBE) model, adapted from the European Qualifications Framework, measures learning through demonstrated skills rather than time spent in classrooms. Second, David Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory emphasizes learning through concrete experiences, reflection, and active experimentation. Third, Human Capital Theory views education as an investment that enhances individual productivity and economic value. These conceptual frameworks position NEP 2020 within global educational trends while addressing India's specific workforce challenges.

Internationally, NEP's skill focus aligns with several successful models. Germany's dual education system combines classroom instruction (30%) with workplace training (70%), creating a seamless school-to-work transition. Singapore's Skills Future initiative provides citizens with lifelong learning credits to continually upgrade their skills. Australia's Vocational Education and Training (VET) system successfully integrates technical education with traditional higher education. These global exemplars informed NEP's comprehensive approach to skill development across all educational levels.

The policy implements skill-building through a multi-stage framework:

1. Foundational Stage (ages 3-8) develops basic literacy, numeracy, and cognitive skills through play-based learning
2. Preparatory Stage (8-11) introduces computational thinking and scientific temperament
3. Middle Stage (11-14) provides vocational exposure via hands-on activities and local craft workshops
4. Secondary Stage (14-18) incorporates internships with professionals and vocational training
5. Higher Education mandates apprenticeship/internship components in all degree programs

Several innovative mechanisms drive implementation:

- The Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) allows students to accumulate and transfer skill certifications alongside traditional academic credits
- The National Higher Education Qualification Framework (NHEQF) aligns learning outcomes with industry requirements across disciplines
- The Hub & Spoke Model connects premier institutions (IITs/IIMs) with local colleges for curriculum mentoring
- Sector Skill Councils facilitate direct industry participation in course design and delivery

Karnataka's Udyoga Mitra program demonstrates successful implementation at state level. This initiative maps district-specific employment opportunities, customizes college curricula to local industry needs, and provides

micro-credentials in emerging technologies. Early results show a 32% improvement in graduate employability within two years, validating NEP's localized approach to skill development.

However, significant challenges remain in nationwide implementation. A 2022 All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE) report reveals 68% of faculty lack industry exposure, limiting their ability to deliver skill-based instruction. Infrastructure gaps persist, with only 19% of colleges possessing adequate workshop facilities. Many employers still prefer conventional degrees over skill certifications, particularly in India's formal job sector.

Addressing these challenges requires multi-pronged solutions:

- Mandatory industry sabbaticals and train-the-trainer programs to enhance faculty capabilities
- Shared Regional Skill Labs under the Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana (PMKVY 4.0) to overcome infrastructure limitations
- National Skills Qualification Framework (NSQF)-aligned certification with robust quality assurance to gain employer recognition

India can draw valuable lessons from global models while adapting them to local contexts.

Switzerland's apprenticeship system could inform approaches for India's vast informal sector. The UK's Degree Apprenticeships demonstrate how to effectively combine work and study. US community colleges showcase responsive program development tailored to regional labour markets. NEP 2020's skill emphasis represents more than curriculum reform. It fundamentally reimagines education's role in India's developing economy. By making skills the primary currency of learning rather than a secondary consideration, the policy aims to transform India's demographic dividend into sustainable economic advantage. This requires more than institutional changes; it demands a cultural shift in how Indian society perceives the relationship between education and employment. Successful implementation hinges on unprecedented collaboration between educators, employers and policymakers to create an ecosystem where skills are continuously developed, recognized and valued throughout an individual's career trajectory.

Multiple Entry-Exit System and Dual Degree Programs

The National Education Policy 2020 introduces groundbreaking reforms through its Multiple Entry-Exit System (MEES) and Dual Degree Programs, fundamentally transforming the structure of higher education in India. These innovations mark a decisive shift from the conventional rigid academic pathways to a more flexible, learner-centric model that acknowledges the diverse needs and circumstances of today's students. At its core, this reform recognizes that education in the 21st century must accommodate varying paces of learning, career aspirations, and life situations that often require students to pause and resume their academic journeys. The philosophical foundation of these changes draws upon several established educational theories that emphasize flexibility and lifelong learning. The concept builds upon Knud Illeris's Lifelong Learning Theory, which posits that education occurs continuously throughout an individual's life across both formal and informal settings. Malcolm Knowles's principles of Andragogy further support this approach by highlighting how adult learners benefit from self-directed educational pathways tailored to their specific needs and experiences. From an economic perspective, Human Capital Theory provides the rationale by framing education as incremental investments that enhance an individual's productive capabilities over time.

Globally, these ideas find concrete expression in several successful educational models that have informed India's policy framework. The European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS) demonstrates how standardized credits can facilitate seamless academic mobility across 49 participating countries. Australia's integrated qualifications framework shows how credentials can be stacked across vocational and higher education sectors. The U.S. community college system exemplifies how students can benefit from interrupted studies with proper credit preservation mechanisms. These international examples provide valuable blueprints for India's implementation strategy.

The operational framework of MEES establishes four distinct exit points within the undergraduate education system, each offering formal recognition of academic achievement. Students completing one year of study (30-40 credits) earn a Certificate in their chosen discipline. Those completing two years (60-80 credits) receive a Diploma certification. The traditional three-year program (120 credits) leads to a basic Bachelor's degree, while an extended four-year option (160 credits) results in a Bachelor's with Research or Honors designation. This tiered structure accommodates diverse learner needs, from those seeking quick vocational qualifications to aspiring researchers requiring more intensive preparation.

Complementing this system, the Academic Bank of Credits serves as a digital repository that maintains and verifies all earned academic credits. This technological infrastructure ensures that students' academic progress remains preserved and transferable, whether they choose to continue immediately or return after a hiatus. The system's design specifically addresses common barriers to education access, particularly for non-traditional students who may need to balance academic pursuits with work or family responsibilities.

The Dual Degree provisions introduce equally transformative possibilities through three distinct models. Simultaneous Degrees allow students to pursue two programs concurrently, such as combining engineering with management studies, through carefully integrated curricula that reduce total duration. Sequential Degrees

create accelerated pathways where students can complete advanced degrees in less time than traditional separate programs would require. Combined Degrees offer interdisciplinary single awards that blend complementary fields of study, such as a unified degree in Physics and Economics that transcends conventional disciplinary boundaries.

IIT Bombay's pioneering dual degree program demonstrates the potential of these reforms in practice. Engineering students can now earn a Master's degree in allied fields within five years instead of the previously required six, with 78% of participants reporting enhanced employability outcomes according to the institution's 2023 placement report. Other leading universities, including Delhi University, are developing similar innovative programs that combine humanities education with vocational certifications, creating graduates with both specialized knowledge and practical skills.

However, implementing these sweeping changes presents several systemic challenges that require thoughtful solutions. Credit standardization across India's vast and varied higher education landscape necessitates the establishment of a National Credit Framework with uniform definitions for credit hours and learning outcomes. Ensuring smooth academic mobility between institutions demands robust technological systems, exemplified by the Academic Bank of Credits, to maintain accurate and accessible student records. Perhaps most crucially, the reforms require a cultural shift among employers to recognize and value partial qualifications and stackable credentials, achieved through sustained industry outreach and awareness campaigns.

India can draw valuable lessons from international best practices while adapting them to local contexts. The University of California's articulation agreements between community colleges and universities demonstrate effective mechanisms for credit transfer between different types of institutions. The United Kingdom's Recognition of Prior Learning framework offers insights into validating informal education experiences and prior knowledge. Singapore's Skills Future credit system provides a model for funding lifelong learning opportunities through government-supported education accounts.

The transformative potential of these reforms extends across Indian society. Women who traditionally faced barriers due to family responsibilities can now pursue education in manageable segments. Rural students balancing agricultural work with studies gain flexibility to align their academic calendars with seasonal demands. Mid-career professionals seeking upskilling opportunities can acquire targeted knowledge without committing to full-degree programs. Aspiring entrepreneurs benefit from the ability to construct customized educational pathways that precisely match their venture's requirements. Realizing this vision requires coordinated efforts across multiple fronts. Robust digital infrastructure must support credit management and transfer processes at national scale. Faculty need training in outcome-based assessment methods suited to modular education. Active collaboration with industry ensures credential designs remain relevant to labor market needs. Comprehensive student counselling services become essential to help learners navigate the expanded range of options and make informed choices about their educational trajectories.

The University Grants Commission has laid important groundwork through recent guidelines on curriculum frameworks and credit norms. These regulatory foundations create the necessary structure for institutions to implement the reforms while maintaining academic rigor and quality standards. As the system evolves, continuous monitoring and adjustment will ensure it remains responsive to both student needs and national development priorities.

Ultimately, these structural changes position Indian higher education to meet the demands of a rapidly evolving global economy where career paths increasingly diverge from traditional linear progressions. By valuing all educational investments—whether a one-year certificate or a full research degree—while maintaining rigorous standards, India can create one of the world's most inclusive and responsive higher education systems. The MEES and Dual Degree provisions represent more than administrative reforms; they embody a philosophical shift that places learner needs at the center of educational design, recognizing that in our complex, fast changing world, education systems must adapt to students' lives rather than forcing students to conform to rigid academic structures.

The Learning Process and Sustaining Engagement

The National Education Policy 2020 introduces the groundbreaking Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) system, a transformative digital infrastructure designed to create seamless, flexible learning pathways across India's higher education landscape. This innovative mechanism establishes a secure, centralized repository that records, stores, and manages every student's academic credit throughout their educational journey. Functioning as a comprehensive academic ledger at the national level, the ABC system enables students to accumulate credits from diverse recognized institutions - including traditional universities, online platforms, and vocational training centres - and combine them to earn formal qualifications.

At its core, the ABC system addresses three persistent challenges in Indian higher education. First, it solves the problem of credit portability between institutions, allowing students to transfer between universities without losing academic progress. Second, it formally recognizes prior learning from both formal education and non-formal learning experiences. Third, it enables flexible degree composition by allowing students to aggregate credits from multiple sources to meet qualification requirements. This revolutionary approach dismantles traditional barriers that have long constrained student mobility and educational flexibility.

The technical implementation of ABC utilizes a sophisticated, blockchain-inspired digital platform that maintains secure and immutable records of each student's academic achievements. Every registered learner receives a unique Academic Credit Account where their earned credits remain valid for up to seven years, providing ample time for degree completion while accommodating work or personal commitments. Participating institutions must align their courses with the National Credit Framework, ensuring standardization of credit values and learning outcomes across India's diverse higher education ecosystem.

Several innovative features distinguish the ABC system from conventional academic recordkeeping. The Credit Redemption Service allows students to convert accumulated credits into partial qualifications when needed. Robust Credit Transfer Protocols facilitate smooth movement between institutions, while Micro-Credential Integration recognizes short-term skill certifications alongside traditional academic courses. Perhaps most revolutionary is the CrossInstitutional Enrolment capability, which empowers students to combine courses from multiple education providers to create customized learning pathways.

The ABC operates in synergy with India's expanding digital education infrastructure, particularly the SWAYAM online learning platform and the National Digital Education Architecture (NDEAR). This integrated ecosystem breaks down traditional educational barriers by enabling students to access courses from premier institutions regardless of geographic location, combine online and offline learning experiences, design personalized interdisciplinary degree pathways, and resume their education after breaks without losing previously earned credits. The system particularly benefits women re-entering education after career breaks, vocational learners seeking academic recognition, rural students accessing urban institution courses, and mid-career professionals pursuing upskilling opportunities.

Globally, the ABC system draws inspiration from several successful models while adapting them to India's unique context. The European Credit Transfer System (ECTS) demonstrates the viability of cross-institutional credit transfer at scale. The University of California's crosscampus enrolment program offers insights into managing student mobility within large education systems. Australia's Unique Student Identifier system provides a template for lifelong academic record-keeping. India's innovation lies in combining these global best practices with homegrown solutions to address local challenges.

Looking ahead, the ABC system holds potential far beyond formal higher education. Corporate training certifications could gain academic recognition through the platform, creating new pathways between industry and academia. Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) and other digital learning experiences could carry formal credit value. Skill development programs could integrate seamlessly with traditional degree pathways. For working professionals, the ABC enables incremental degree completion around work schedules, recognizes workplace training as academic credit, and supports custom qualification building for career advancement.

The ABC represents nothing less than a fundamental reimagining of academic record-keeping, shifting from institution-controlled transcripts to learner-owned credit portfolios. This democratization of education management aligns with global trends toward personalized, lifelong learning while specifically addressing India's challenges of scale and diversity. When fully operational, the system could position India as a world leader in flexible education delivery and credit innovation, transforming higher education from a rigid, time-bound process to a fluid, cumulative journey where every learning experience holds recognized value.

Revisiting Indian Knowledge Systems in Curriculum

The National Education Policy 2020 initiates a profound intellectual renaissance by systematically reintegrating India's indigenous knowledge systems into mainstream education. This ambitious endeavour seeks to rectify what historians describe as the "epistemic violence" inflicted by colonial education policies that systematically suppressed India's rich scholarly traditions while privileging Western knowledge frameworks. The policy marks a decisive break from this legacy by establishing Indian knowledge systems as living academic disciplines rather than mere historical curiosities or cultural artifacts.

At the core of this transformation lies a comprehensive restructuring of curriculum design across all educational levels. Universities nationwide are establishing dedicated departments for advanced study in fields like Sanskrit computational linguistics, Ayurvedic biology, Vedic mathematics, and Indian architectural sciences. The newly formed Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) division under the Education Ministry has identified eighteen core domains for integration into modern education, ranging from Yoga psychology to tribal ethnobotany and classical Indian performing arts. These initiatives aim not merely to preserve traditional knowledge but to actively develop these disciplines through contemporary research methodologies.

The implementation of this vision operates through multiple interconnected strategies. Undergraduate programs across disciplines now incorporate mandatory courses on India's intellectual heritage, ensuring all students engage with these traditions regardless of their specialization. Premier institutions like the University of Delhi have launched innovative degree programs such as the B.Sc in Indian Mathematics, which explores classical mathematical concepts through modern pedagogical approaches. Simultaneously, major research initiatives are underway to document and analyze India's vast oral traditions and vernacular knowledge systems, particularly those at risk of being lost. Textbook revision committees are working to present balanced perspectives that contextualize Western theories alongside Indian intellectual contributions.

This decolonization project manifests most visibly in the humanities and social sciences. English literature departments, which previously allocated approximately 70% of their syllabus to British literature and 30% to

American works according to 2018 UGC data, are undergoing comprehensive restructuring. The revised curricula now substantially incorporate Indian writing in English alongside regional language translations, creating a more representative literary canon. Philosophy programs are being redesigned to teach Nyaya logic and Vedantic thought alongside Western analytic traditions, while history departments are expanding beyond colonial narratives to include subaltern studies and local historiographical traditions.

The policy draws strategic inspiration from successful global models of indigenous knowledge revival while adapting them to India's unique context. New Zealand's incorporation of Maori knowledge systems into STEM education demonstrates how traditional ecological wisdom can inform modern scientific pedagogy. South Africa's post-apartheid curriculum reforms offer insights into balancing multiple knowledge traditions in national education systems. Canada's First Nations studies programs provide valuable examples of institutionalizing indigenous knowledge in university structures. However, NEP 2020 advances beyond these models by making Indian knowledge systems integral rather than supplementary to the curriculum.

The new "Knowledge of India" courses challenge the very epistemological foundations of conventional education. Science students now explore Ayurvedic concepts of health and wellness alongside biomedical models, examining areas of convergence and divergence. Management programs study Kautilya's Arthashastra alongside Western business theories, extracting timeless leadership principles from the ancient text. Engineering curricula incorporate lessons from traditional Indian architecture and water management systems, applying these insights to contemporary design challenges. This approach fosters critical engagement with multiple knowledge systems rather than uncritical acceptance of any single tradition.

Implementation of this ambitious vision faces several significant challenges. A critical shortage of faculty trained in Indian knowledge systems has emerged, as generations of scholars were educated exclusively in Western academic traditions. Some established academic departments resist the changes, viewing them as compromising "modern" education standards. The tremendous diversity of India's regional knowledge traditions presents standardization challenges in curriculum development. Perhaps most fundamentally, educators grapple with balancing respect for traditional knowledge with the need for critical inquiry and contemporary relevance.

The policy addresses these challenges through a multipronged strategy. Fifteen central universities now host faculty development programs specifically for Indian knowledge systems, creating a new generation of scholars equally versed in traditional and modern approaches. Digital archiving initiatives are preserving and cataloguing thousands of manuscripts and oral traditions, making these resources accessible to researchers nationwide. The policy fosters collaboration between traditional scholars and modern scientific academies, facilitating dialogue between different knowledge communities. International partnerships with institutions specializing in Eastern knowledge systems help contextualize India's efforts within global scholarly networks.

Early indicators suggest the initiative is gaining meaningful traction. Over 120 universities have introduced courses on Indian knowledge systems, with enrolment figures exceeding projections. The National Council for Teacher Education has trained more than 45,000 schoolteachers in IKS pedagogy, ensuring the reforms permeate all education levels. Significantly, national testing agencies have begun including Indian knowledge systems in competitive examinations, signalling their academic legitimacy to students and institutions alike.

This intellectual decolonization represents far more than an academic reform—it constitutes a civilizational reawakening. By restoring India's knowledge traditions to their rightful place in education, NEP 2020 aims to produce graduates who can engage confidently with global knowledge systems while remaining rooted in indigenous wisdom. The policy envisions a future where Indian universities become international hubs for the study of Ayurveda, Sanskrit computational linguistics, and traditional ecological knowledge, much as Western institutions currently dominate STEM fields.

The ultimate measure of success will emerge when references to Charaka's medical formulations or Aryabhata's astronomical calculations appear as naturally in global academic discourse as citations of Hippocrates or Newton. This knowledge reclamation project, while rigorously academic in execution, carries profound cultural significance—it represents the completion of India's intellectual independence movement, begun but unfinished when political independence was achieved in 1947. Through these educational reforms, India seeks to heal the epistemic ruptures of colonialism and reclaim its position as a vibrant centre of original thought and innovation in the 21st century.

Necessity of Curriculum Design – Beyond CBCS and OBL

The National Education Policy 2020 surprisingly echoes ancient Indian educational philosophies while addressing contemporary learning needs, creating an intriguing synthesis of tradition and modernity. This blending of India's gurukul heritage with 21st century educational frameworks represent one of the policy's most innovative yet underappreciated dimensions. The gurukul system's core principles - holistic development, personalized mentorship, and experiential learning - find new expression in NEP's emphasis on skillintegrated education and multidisciplinary approaches. Through NEP 2020, India is now consciously focusing on indigenous ways of learning and understanding, systematically incorporating these traditional pedagogies into mainstream education while meeting modern requirements.

Modern reinterpretations of gurukul pedagogies appear throughout NEP's framework. The policy's focus on vocational training from Grade 6 onward mirrors the gurukul tradition of integrating practical skills with theoretical knowledge. The proposed "bagless days" where students engage in crafts and local trades

consciously revive the ancient practice of learning through direct experience. Even the 5+3+3+4 school structure unintentionally reflects the gurukul's age-based developmental stages, progressing from foundational skills to specialized knowledge. NEP's most significant gurukul-inspired innovation appears in its approach to higher education. The multiple entry-exit system recreates the flexibility of traditional Indian learning, where students could study at their own pace without rigid degree timelines. The emphasis on mentorship programs between teachers and students directly channels the gurushishya parampara, adapting it for mass education. Universities like Nalanda and Takshashila, which flourished as multidisciplinary centres of learning, serve as models for NEP's vision of holistic education hubs.

The policy's focus on Indian languages and knowledge systems continues the gurukul tradition of vernacular-medium instruction and indigenous scholarship. The integration of yoga, meditation, and value education in curricula revives the gurukul's emphasis on physical, mental, and spiritual development. Digital initiatives like virtual labs and online courses represent a contemporary version of the gurukul's personalized, self-paced learning - though now enabled by technology rather than forest hermitages. Implementation challenges remain substantial. The guru-shishya ratio in ancient times (often 1:10) seems impossible in today's crowded classrooms. The gurukul's complete immersion model conflicts with modern compartmentalized schooling. Most significantly, the spiritual dimension of traditional education requires careful secular adaptation for public education systems.

Yet early experiments show promise. Kerala's "Aranyaka" program brings urban children to forest schools for immersive nature education. Rajasthan's "Gurukulams" combine traditional pedagogies with modern subjects. The NCERT's new "Jaadui Pitara" (Magic Box) learning materials for foundational years incorporate storytelling and play-based learning from India's oral traditions. This educational synthesis matters because it offers solutions to modern crises. The gurukul's focus on sustainable living addresses environmental concerns. Its character education could counter growing mental health issues. The integration of physical, artistic, and intellectual development answers calls for more holistic education worldwide.

As India builds its 21st century education system, these time-tested principles - adapted for contemporary realities - may hold the key to developing not just skilled workers, but wise, well-rounded individuals capable of navigating our complex world. The gurukul's revival in NEP represents not nostalgic traditionalism, but rather the thoughtful reclaiming of pedagogies that developed over millennia to serve human flourishing - now reimagined for a digital, globalized age.

Comparing Ancient and Modern Systems – Gurukul vs. Contemporary Education

The National Education Policy 2020 introduces a revolutionary transformation in how student learning is assessed, marking a decisive break from India's traditional examination-centric approach. This fundamental rethinking of assessment methodologies addresses the welldocumented shortcomings of high-stakes testing systems that have long prioritized rote memorization over meaningful learning. At its core, the new framework shifts from judging students based on year-end examinations to continuous, multidimensional evaluation of competencies, skills, and holistic development.

Drawing from global best practices while adapting them to India's unique educational context, the policy incorporates several innovative assessment models. The Finnish approach to qualitative assessment informs the move from numerical scores to descriptive competency benchmarks. Singapore's balanced evaluation framework inspires the integration of projectbased and practical assessments. Canada's student portfolio system shapes the development of comprehensive progress tracking mechanisms. These international influences combine with India's own educational research to create an assessment ecosystem that values the learning process as much as the final outcomes.

The implementation of these reforms occurs through several strategic initiatives. PARAKH (Performance Assessment, Review, and Analysis of Knowledge for Holistic Development), the newly established National Assessment Centre, serves as the nodal body for setting standards and guidelines. Schools are developing robust assessment systems supported by extensive teacher training programs to build capacity for continuous evaluation. Technology plays a crucial role through adaptive testing platforms and advanced learning analytics that provide real-time insights into student progress. The traditional mark sheet is being replaced by holistic progress cards that capture cognitive abilities, social-emotional skills, creativity, and practical competencies.

Early pilot programs have demonstrated encouraging results that validate the new approach. A 2023 NCERT study of schools implementing continuous assessment showed a 34% reduction in student stress levels compared to traditional examination systems. Participating schools reported 28% improvements in conceptual understanding, as measured by standardized diagnostic tests. Teachers expressed greater satisfaction with being able to assess nuanced aspects of learning that were previously difficult to measure through conventional examinations. Students demonstrated increased engagement when assessments focused on application rather than recall.

The transformation extends significantly to board examinations, which are being restructured to assess core competencies rather than textbook content. The reformed examinations will offer multiple attempts throughout the academic year, reducing the make-or-break pressure of singlesitting tests. Practical demonstrations and real-world problem solving are being incorporated across subjects, while students gain increased flexibility to choose assessment components that align with their strengths and interests.

In higher education, the assessment reforms are equally profound. The shift to cumulative credit-based evaluation recognizes learning as an ongoing process rather than an event. Students earn research and innovation credits for projects and creative work, moving beyond the limitations of written examinations. Industry-aligned competency certifications ensure academic assessments remain relevant to workforce needs. Peer-reviewed project work fosters collaborative learning and critical evaluation skills that mirror professional environments.

This assessment revolution aims to achieve several critical educational objectives. By reducing the high-stakes nature of testing, it seeks to alleviate student anxiety and minimize cheating. The focus on authentic assessment tasks promotes deeper learning experiences that connect classroom knowledge to real-world applications. The competency-based approach better aligns education outcomes with the evolving needs of employers and society. Perhaps most importantly, the new system recognizes and values diverse talents and learning styles that were often overlooked in traditional examination formats.

As India scales these assessment reforms nationally, it has the potential to emerge as a global leader in redefining how learning is measured. The changes reflect growing international recognition that assessment systems fundamentally shape educational priorities and classroom practices. By moving beyond standardized testing to more meaningful evaluation of competencies, India's education system can better nurture the creative, critical thinkers needed for 21st century challenges while remaining true to the policy's vision of holistic development. The success of this transformation will depend on careful implementation, sustained teacher professional development, and ongoing engagement with all stakeholders to build understanding and support for this paradigm shift in how we understand and measure learning.

Conclusion

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 represents a watershed moment in India's educational evolution, marking a decisive shift from colonial-era structures to a dynamic, future-ready system rooted in Indian ethos. As this paper has demonstrated, the policy's transformative vision—spanning multidisciplinary learning, skill-based education, flexible academic pathways, and the revival of indigenous knowledge—positions India at the forefront of global educational innovation. The establishment of the Higher Education Commission of India (HECI), which will replace fragmented regulatory bodies like the UGC, AICTE, and NCTE, epitomizes this systemic overhaul. By consolidating oversight under a unified authority, the HECI aims to eliminate bureaucratic redundancies, enforce uniform standards, and foster greater institutional autonomy, thereby actualizing NEP's promise of an "integrated yet flexible" higher education ecosystem.

The policy's most revolutionary aspects—from the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) to competency-based assessments—collectively address longstanding gaps in access, equity, and quality. By decolonizing curricula and reclaiming India's gurukul-inspired pedagogies for the digital age, NEP bridges civilizational wisdom with contemporary needs. The focus on multilingual education and regional knowledge systems counters historical marginalization, while industry-aligned skill development enhances employability in an AI-driven economy. Crucially, initiatives like multiple entry-exit options and dual degrees acknowledge the diverse trajectories of 21st-century learners, from working professionals to rural students.

However, the policy's success hinges on addressing implementation challenges: faculty readiness, infrastructural gaps, and the digital divide. The proposed HECI must balance regulatory oversight with institutional innovation, ensuring that centralized standards don't stifle grassroots creativity. Global benchmarks—from Finland's teacher autonomy to Singapore's skills frameworks—offer valuable lessons as India navigates this transition.

As India aspires to become a $5-trillion economy and a global knowledge hub, NEP 2020 provides the foundational blueprint. Its holistic approach—blending STEM with humanities, tradition with modernity, and access with excellence—can nurture not just job-seekers but problem-solvers, ethical leaders, and lifelong learners. The policy's ultimate triumph will be measured when an Indian student's education reflects both the rigor of global benchmarks and the richness of Indian thought, when Ayurveda researchers cite Charaka alongside Lancet studies, and when vocational skills carry the same prestige as conventional degrees.

In reclaiming India's educational sovereignty—first eroded by Macaulay's 1835 framework— NEP 2020 and the HECI represent more than administrative reforms; they are a civilizational reawakening. As the world grapples with climate crises, technological disruption, and societal fragmentation, India's education model, rooted in holistic thinking and adaptive learning, may well offer solutions the world needs. The journey ahead demands meticulous execution, sustained investment, and collective will, but the vision is clear: an education system that doesn't just serve India's development but shapes global paradigms for the century ahead.

Work Cited

1. Altbach, Philip G. Comparative Higher Education: Knowledge, the University, and Development. Ablex Publishing, 1998.
2. Arthashastra. Translated by L. N. Rangarajan, Penguin, 1992.

3. “Bachpan Play School Unveils SPROUT, India’s First Curriculum Aligned With NEP 2020.” Revoi, 20 Mar. 2023, english.revoi.in/bachpan-play-school-unveils-sprout-indias-firstcurriculum-aligned-with-nep-2020/.
4. “Bachpan Play School Unveils SPROUT, India’s First Curriculum Aligned With NEP 2020.” Zee Business, 15 Mar. 2023, zeebiz.com/agencies/bachpan-play-school-unveils-sprout-indias-first-curriculum-aligned-with-nep-2020-325645.
5. Basu, Indrani. “How NEP’s Gurukul-Inspired Reforms Are Changing Classrooms.” The Hindu, 15 June 2023, p. A12.
6. “Building Foundations for the Future: Ajay Gupta on Bachpan Play School’s Journey and Vision.” Adgully, 12 May 2023, archive.adgully.com/building-foundations-for-the-futureajay-gupta-on-bachpan-play-school-s-journey-and-vision-153056.html.
7. Charaka Samhita: Text with English Translation. Edited by P. V. Sharma, Chaukhambha, 1981.
8. Chakrabarti, Mohit. “Gurukul to Global: Reimagining Indian Pedagogies.” Journal of Educational Studies, vol. 45, no. 3, 2021, pp. 78–94. (DOI not available) European Commission. The Bologna Process: Creating the European Higher Education Area. 3rd ed., 2020.
9. FLAME University. Open Curriculum Implementation Review. 2023.
10. Government of India. National Education Policy 2020. Ministry of Education, 2020.
11. IIT Bombay. Interdisciplinary Education Task Force Report. 2022.
12. “In Conversation With Ajay Gupta on Shaping the Education Landscape for Children.” The Times of India, 28 July 2023, timesofindia.indiatimes.com/life-style/spotlight/inconversation-with-ajay-gupta-on-shaping-the-education-landscape-forchildren/articleshow/111882349.cms.
13. Joshi, Anjali. “Implementing Multiple Entry-Exit Systems: Challenges in Indian Higher Education.” ICERI2023 Proceedings, 2023, pp. 8842–8850.
14. Kumar, Krishna. Politics of Education in Colonial India. Routledge, 2014.
15. NCERT. Foundational Learning Study 2022–23. Ministry of Education, 2023.
16. National Assessment Centre. PARAKH Pilot Program Internal Evaluation. 2023.
17. Nussbaum, Martha C. Not for Profit: Why Democracy Needs the Humanities. Princeton UP, 2010.
18. OECD. Education 2030: Learning Compass. OECD Publishing, 2019.
19. Patel, Leena. “Decolonizing English Literature in Indian Universities.” Postcolonial Education Review, vol. 12, no. 2, 2022, pp. 45–67.
20. “Reimagining Assessment: PARAKH Framework Explained.” Ministry of Education, YouTube, 15 Mar. 2023, www.youtube.com/watch?v=PUdyabukJqc
21. Sharma, Rakesh. “Digital Infrastructure for Academic Credit Portability.” International Journal of EdTech, vol. 8, no. 1, 2023, pp. 112–130. (DOI not found) Singapore Ministry of Education. SkillsFuture Annual Report 2022.
22. Spady, William G. Outcome-Based Education: Critical Issues and Answers. American Association of School Administrators, 1994.
23. SWAYAM Platform. National Digital Education Architecture Whitepaper. 2021.