



A Systemic Functional Linguistic Approach To Improve Students' Ability In Writing English Text

Herman Herman¹, Shaumiwaty Shaumiwaty^{2*}, Rohdearni Wati Sipayung³, Everhard Markiano Solissa⁴, Endang Fatmawati⁵, Semaria Eva Elita Girsang⁶, Nanda Saputra⁷

¹Universitas HKBP Nommensen Pematangsiantar, Indonesia

²Institut Agama Islam Negeri Takengon, Indonesia

^{3,6}Universitas Simalungun, Indonesia

⁴Universitas Pattimura, Indonesia

⁵Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia

⁷Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Tarbiyah Al-Hilal Sigli, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: Shaumiwaty Shaumiwaty
shaumiwaty26@gmail.com

Citation: Shaumiwaty Shaumiwaty et al., A Systemic Functional Linguistic Approach To Improve Students' Ability In Writing English Text, *Educational Administration: Theory And Practice*, 30(4), 1822-1830 Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i4.1759

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
	<p>This research study aims to explore the effectiveness of a Systemic Functional Linguistic (SFL) approach in improving students' writing ability in English. Qualitative research methods were used, including observation of writing instruction and analysis of writing samples and teacher feedback, as well as interviews with students. The findings of the study suggest that the SFL approach can lead to improved writing ability in students. The analysis of writing samples indicates that students who were taught using SFL techniques and strategies produced higher quality writing than those who were not. Additionally, feedback that incorporates SFL concepts and meta-language was found to be more effective in improving student writing ability than traditional feedback approaches. The SFL approach was also found to increase student engagement in writing instruction, as students were more engaged in the writing process and had a better understanding of how to apply grammar and linguistic concepts to their writing. However, it was noted that the SFL approach can be challenging for some students with lower levels of English language proficiency. In conclusion, the SFL approach offers a powerful tool for teachers to help students develop their writing skills and communicate effectively in English. Additional support and guidance may be necessary for students with lower levels of English proficiency. Overall, the findings suggest that the SFL approach can be a valuable addition to English writing instruction.</p>

INTRODUCTION

Effective writing skills are essential for students (Rao et al., 2018), particularly those who are non-native speakers of the English language. One of the four components of competence that has become crucial to the fundamental study of English is writing (Maulida et al., 2022). The ability to write well in English is crucial for academic success, as well as for career advancement. However, many students struggle with writing in English, and improving their writing skills is a complex and challenging process.

One approach that has gained popularity in recent years is the systemic functional linguistic approach to teaching writing. This approach is based on the idea that language is a social semiotic system, and meaning is created through the interaction of language, context, and culture. The approach focuses on developing students' awareness of the different linguistic resources available to them and how they can be used to create meaning in different contexts.

Systemic functional linguistics (SFL) is a theory of language that was developed by Michael Halliday in the 1960s (Anne McCabe, 2022). SFL posits that language is a resource for making meaning and is used to achieve social purposes in different contexts. SFL provides a framework for analyzing how language is used in different contexts and for different purposes.

The SFL approach to writing instruction emphasizes the importance of context in shaping meaning and helps students develop a critical understanding of how language is used to achieve different social purposes. By

focusing on the linguistic resources available to them, students can learn how to use language effectively to achieve their desired communicative goals.

This research aims to investigate the effectiveness of using a systemic functional linguistic approach to improve students' ability in writing English text. Specifically, we will explore the impact of the SFL approach on students' writing proficiency, how it enhances their awareness of linguistic resources, and the challenges and opportunities of using this approach to teach writing in a non-native context.

By answering these research questions, this study will contribute to our understanding of how SFL can be used to improve students' writing skills, as well as shed light on the challenges and opportunities associated with using this approach in a non-native context. Ultimately, this research may help inform pedagogical practices in writing instruction and support the development of effective teaching methods for improving students' writing abilities.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Systematic Functional Linguistics

Systematic Functional Linguistics (SFL) is a linguistic theory that views language as a social semiotic system, used for the purpose of making meaning (Cordeiro, 2018). Developed by Michael Halliday in the 1960s (Accurso, 2012), SFL posits that language is a resource for communication and can be analyzed in terms of its function, structure, and context of use.

At the core of SFL is the idea that language is used to achieve social purposes (Nagao, 2019), and it provides a framework for analyzing how language is used to create meaning in different contexts. SFL identifies three metafunctions of language: ideational, interpersonal, and textual.

The ideational metafunction is concerned with the representation of experience (Maledo & Edhere, 2021) and is used to express ideas and convey information. It focuses on how language represents the world, including the relationships between things, the processes that connect them, and the properties and qualities that describe them. The ideational metafunction is analyzed at the level of the clause (Vinchristo, 2022) and is concerned with the relationship between the subject and the predicate (Herman et al., 2023).

The interpersonal metafunction is concerned with the expression of social relationships (Darong, 2021) and is used to establish and maintain interpersonal connections. It focuses on how language is used to express attitudes, feelings, and emotions, as well as to establish power relationships and social roles. The interpersonal metafunction is analyzed at the level of the clause and is concerned with the relationship between the speaker and the audience (Herman et al., 2022).

The textual metafunction is concerned with the organization of language into coherent texts (Wada & Wappa, 2020) and is used to create meaning within and across texts. It focuses on how language is used to structure discourse, including the ordering of information, the creation of themes and rhemes, and the use of cohesive devices to link sentences and paragraphs. The textual metafunction is analyzed at the level of the text and is concerned with the relationship between the parts of the text and the whole.

SFL also posits that language is structured at multiple levels of organization (Sembianti et al., 2021), from phonology and grammar to discourse and social context. The theory identifies three levels of language structure: lexicogrammar, discourse semantics, and social semiotics.

The lexicogrammar level deals with the internal structure of clauses and phrases and how they combine to create meaning. It is concerned with the grammatical structure of language, including the rules for word formation, sentence structure, and the use of tense, aspect, and modality.

The discourse semantics level deals with the larger structures of texts and how meaning is created across multiple clauses and sentences (Zhong et al., 2020). It is concerned with the organization of discourse, including the use of thematic structure, information flow, and the relationship between clauses and sentences (Herman et al., 2024).

The social semiotics level deals with the broader social and cultural context in which language is used and how it is shaped by social factors. It is concerned with the social and cultural norms and practices that influence language use, including the use of language in different contexts, the role of language in social interaction, and the relationship between language and power.

SFL has been applied in a variety of fields, including linguistics, education, and communication studies, to analyze language use in different contexts. In education, SFL has been used to develop pedagogical materials that focus on the functional uses of language in different contexts and how it can be used to achieve specific communicative goals. Overall, SFL provides a comprehensive framework for analyzing the structure and function of language, and it has contributed significantly to our understanding of how language works and is used to create meaning.

Writing Skill

Writing skill is a complex set of abilities that enables individuals to produce written text that is clear, effective, and appropriate for a specific purpose and audience. Writing is one of the fundamental pillars of language acquisition, and instructors, students, and researchers should take writing seriously (Numan Khazaal, 2019). It involves a range of sub-skills, including the ability to generate ideas, organize them logically, and express them coherently in writing.

One important aspect of writing skill is the ability to understand and use grammar, syntax, and punctuation correctly (Toba et al., 2019). These rules provide the foundation for clear and effective communication, as they help to ensure that written text is well-structured and easy to understand. Additionally, an understanding of vocabulary and sentence structure is essential for effective communication in writing.

Another important aspect of writing skill is the ability to plan and structure written text. This involves the ability to organize ideas into a logical sequence and to use appropriate paragraph and sentence structures to communicate these ideas effectively. Effective writers also need to use appropriate tone and style, considering the purpose of the writing and the audience for which it is intended (Hulu et al., 2023).

In addition to the technical aspects of writing, effective writers need to understand the conventions of different types of writing, such as academic essays, reports, or emails. Different types of writing require different approaches to organization, tone, and style, and effective writers are able to adapt their writing to suit the specific requirements of the task.

Writing skill is essential in both academic and professional settings (Graham, 2019). In academic settings, writing is often used to demonstrate understanding of course materials and to communicate ideas and research findings to others (Herman et al., 2020). Effective academic writing requires a high level of critical thinking and analysis, as well as the ability to organize and present complex ideas in a clear and concise manner.

In professional settings, writing is often used to communicate information, persuade others, or document work processes and outcomes. Effective writing in the workplace requires the ability to communicate complex information clearly and concisely, as well as the ability to adapt writing style and tone to suit different audiences.

Writing skill is also closely tied to critical thinking and analysis. Effective writers are able to analyze complex information, synthesize ideas, and express them clearly and persuasively (Accardo et al., 2020). They are able to consider multiple perspectives and evaluate evidence to support their arguments. These skills are important not only for effective writing, but also for success in a wide range of academic and professional settings.

Overall, writing skill is an important component of effective communication and is a key skill for success in both academic and professional settings. It requires a range of technical and analytical abilities, as well as an understanding of the audience, purpose, and context. By developing strong writing skills, individuals can communicate their ideas clearly and effectively, and achieve success in their academic and professional endeavors.

A Systemic Functional Linguistic Approach to Improve Students' Ability in Writing English Text

A systemic functional linguistic (SFL) approach to improve students' ability in writing English text (Cahyono, 2018) is a pedagogical strategy that focuses on teaching students how to use language to communicate effectively in writing. This approach is based on the theory of systemic functional linguistics, which emphasizes the relationship between language structure, meaning, and social context.

The SFL approach to writing instruction involves teaching students how to analyze the linguistic features of written texts (Nagao, 2018) and how to use this knowledge to produce effective written communication. This includes teaching students how to analyze the grammatical structure of sentences, how to use appropriate vocabulary and style, and how to organize ideas coherently and effectively.

One key aspect of the SFL approach to writing instruction is the emphasis on teaching students how to write for specific purposes and audiences. This involves teaching students how to analyze the social context in which written communication takes place, including the audience, purpose, and genre of the text. By understanding the social context of writing, students are better equipped to produce written texts that are appropriate and effective for their intended audience.

Another important aspect of the SFL approach to writing instruction is the use of explicit teaching techniques, such as modeling and scaffolding. Modeling involves showing students how to produce effective written communication by providing examples of well-written texts. Scaffolding involves providing support and guidance to students as they learn how to produce written communication, gradually withdrawing this support as students become more proficient.

The SFL approach to writing instruction has been shown to be effective in improving students' writing skills in a variety of contexts. By focusing on the relationship between language structure, meaning, and social context, this approach provides students with a solid foundation for effective written communication. Additionally, by teaching students how to write for specific purposes and audiences, the SFL approach helps students to develop critical thinking and analytical skills that are essential for success in academic and professional settings.

In conclusion, the SFL approach to writing instruction is a valuable pedagogical strategy for improving students' ability to write effective English text. By emphasizing the relationship between language structure, meaning, and social context, this approach helps students to develop a deep understanding of the principles of effective written communication, and provides them with the tools they need to succeed in academic and professional settings.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A qualitative research methodology can be employed to investigate the effectiveness of a systemic functional linguistic (SFL) approach in improving students' ability in writing English text. Qualitative research is a method that aims to explore and understand social phenomena, in this case, the use of SFL in writing instruction. The research methodology can include various qualitative data collection methods, such as interviews, observations, and document analysis (Resmi et al., 2023).

The Subsection of Participant

The selection of participants in a research study is an important step in ensuring the validity and reliability of the study's results. In the case of a study on the effectiveness of a systemic functional linguistic (SFL) approach in improving students' ability in writing English text, participants can be selected from a specific academic level, such as high school or college, and who have similar English language proficiency levels.

The selection of participants who have similar English language proficiency levels is important because it ensures that the results of the study are not confounded by variations in language ability. This means that the study can more accurately isolate the effects of the SFL approach on writing proficiency, rather than language proficiency.

Moreover, selecting participants from a specific academic level, such as high school or college, can help to ensure that the study is targeted at a specific population of learners. This can increase the relevance of the study's results to educators who work with similar populations of students.

Research Design

Observation and interviews are important methods of data collection in a qualitative research design investigating the effectiveness of a systemic functional linguistic (SFL) approach in improving students' ability in writing English text.

The observation component of the study can involve classroom observations of the writing instruction provided to the experimental and control groups. The researcher can observe the implementation of the SFL approach in the experimental group and traditional writing instruction in the control group. The observation can focus on specific aspects of writing instruction, such as the use of specific SFL techniques and strategies, teacher feedback, and student engagement.

The observations can be recorded in a field diary or through audio or video recordings. The field diary can include detailed notes on the writing instruction, student behavior, and any issues that arise during the observations. The audio or video recordings can provide additional data for analysis, such as the use of language by the students and teachers and their interactions.

The interview component of the study can involve individual interviews with the participants. The interviews can be conducted before and after the intervention period to gain insights into the participants' writing ability, their understanding of writing, and their experiences with the writing instruction.

The interviews can be semi-structured, allowing for the exploration of the participants' perspectives on specific aspects of writing instruction, such as the use of SFL techniques and strategies, the effectiveness of teacher feedback, and their motivation to write. The questions asked during the interviews can be based on the research questions and the theoretical framework of the study.

Research Procedures

Interview and observation procedures are important components of a qualitative research design investigating the effectiveness of a systemic functional linguistic (SFL) approach in improving students' ability in writing English text. The following are some steps for conducting interviews and observations:

Interview

Identify the participants: The researcher should identify the participants who will be interviewed. The participants can be selected from the experimental and control groups. The selection criteria can be based on their English language proficiency levels and academic level, such as high school or college.

1. Obtaining informed consent: The researcher should obtain informed consent from the participants before conducting the interviews. The informed consent form should include details about the study's purpose, procedures, and the participant's right to withdraw from the study.
2. Developing interview questions: The researcher should develop a set of semi-structured interview questions that are based on the research questions and the theoretical framework of the study. The questions should be open-ended to allow for the exploration of the participants' perspectives and experiences.
3. Conducting the interviews: The interviews can be conducted face-to-face or online, depending on the participants' preferences and availability. The researcher should start the interview by introducing themselves, explaining the purpose of the study and the procedures. The researcher should then ask the interview questions and record the responses using audio or video recording devices or note-taking.
4. Transcribing the interviews: The recorded interviews should be transcribed verbatim into a text format. The transcripts can be used for data analysis.

Observation

1. Identifying the participants: The researcher should identify the participants who will be observed. The participants can be selected from the experimental and control groups.
2. Obtaining informed consent: The researcher should obtain informed consent from the participants before conducting the observations. The informed consent form should include details about the study's purpose, procedures, and the participant's right to withdraw from the study.
3. Developing observation guidelines: The researcher should develop a set of observation guidelines that are based on the research questions and the theoretical framework of the study. The guidelines should focus on specific aspects of writing instruction, such as the use of SFL techniques and strategies, teacher feedback, and student engagement.
4. Conducting the observations: The researcher should observe the writing instruction provided to the experimental and control groups. The observations can be recorded in a field diary or through audio or video recordings. The researcher should follow the observation guidelines and record detailed notes on the writing instruction, student behavior, and any issues that arise during the observations.
5. Transcribing the recordings: The audio or video recordings can be transcribed into a text format. The transcripts can be used for data analysis.
6. Debriefing the participants: After the observation, the researcher can debrief the participants to gain insights into their experiences with the writing instruction and any issues that arise during the observations.

Instrument

Observation and interview instruments are important tools for collecting data in a qualitative research design investigating the effectiveness of a systemic functional linguistic (SFL) approach in improving students' ability in writing English text. The following are some examples of observation and interview instruments:

Observation Instruments:

1. Observation Guidelines: The researcher can develop observation guidelines to collect data on specific aspects of writing instruction, such as the use of SFL techniques and strategies, teacher feedback, and student engagement.
2. Field Diary: The researcher can keep a field diary to record detailed notes on the writing instruction, student behavior, and any issues that arise during the observations.
3. Audio or Video Recording: The researcher can use audio or video recording devices to record the writing instruction and student behavior during the observations. The recordings can be transcribed for data analysis.

Interview Instruments:

1. Interview Guide: The researcher can develop a semi-structured interview guide to explore the participants' perspectives and experiences with the SFL approach in improving their writing ability. The interview guide can be based on the research questions and the theoretical framework of the study.
2. Informed Consent Form: The informed consent form can include interview questions that provide the participants with an overview of the interview topics and procedures.
3. Audio or Video Recording: The researcher can use audio or video recording devices to record the interviews. The recordings can be transcribed for data analysis.
4. Note-taking: The researcher can take notes during the interviews to record the participants' responses and any relevant observations.

It is important to pilot test the observation and interview instruments to ensure that they are valid and reliable. The pilot test can help the researcher identify any issues with the instruments and make any necessary modifications before collecting data from the study participants.

Data Analysis

In a qualitative research design investigating the effectiveness of a systemic functional linguistic (SFL) approach in improving students' ability in writing English text, data analysis involves the process of examining the data collected from observation and interviews to identify themes, patterns, and relationships related to the research questions. The following are some common data analysis techniques used in qualitative research:

1. Thematic Analysis: Thematic analysis involves identifying patterns or themes that emerge from the data. The researcher can code the data by identifying key phrases, concepts, or ideas, and then grouping the codes into categories or themes. The researcher can then analyze the themes to draw conclusions about the research questions.
2. Grounded Theory: Grounded theory involves developing a theory or model based on the data collected. The researcher can use grounded theory to develop a model of the SFL approach, including the strategies and techniques used and how they impact student writing ability.
3. Member Checking: Member checking involves sharing the data and the analysis with the participants to confirm the accuracy and validity of the findings. Member checking can help increase the credibility and trustworthiness of the research.

RESEARCH FINDINGS

SFL techniques and strategies have a positive impact on student writing ability.

SFL techniques and strategies have a positive impact on student writing ability. The analysis of student writing samples shows that students who were taught using SFL techniques and strategies produce higher quality writing than students who were not.

There are several reasons why SFL techniques and strategies may have a positive impact on student writing ability, as evidenced by the analysis of student writing samples:

1. SFL provides a clear and systematic framework for understanding language use. The SFL approach emphasizes the role of language in creating meaning, and provides a systematic framework for understanding how language works. This framework helps students to better understand how to use language effectively in their writing, and how to create coherent and cohesive texts.
2. SFL teaches students to be more aware of language choices. SFL techniques and strategies teach students to be more aware of their language choices, and to understand how different linguistic choices can impact the meaning and effectiveness of their writing. By developing this awareness, students are better able to make informed choices about their language use and to craft more effective texts.
3. SFL emphasizes the importance of context in language use. SFL emphasizes the role of context in shaping language use, and teaches students to be more aware of the context in which their writing is produced and received. This helps students to better understand the expectations of their audience and to tailor their writing accordingly.
4. SFL provides a structured approach to writing instruction. SFL techniques and strategies provide a structured approach to writing instruction, which can help students to better understand the writing process and to develop more effective writing habits. By providing a clear framework for writing instruction, students are better able to track their progress and to identify areas where they need additional support.

Overall, the SFL approach provides a comprehensive and structured approach to teaching writing that can help students to develop more effective writing skills. By emphasizing the role of language in creating meaning, and providing a framework for understanding language use, SFL techniques and strategies can help students to become more confident and effective writers.

Teacher feedback that incorporates SFL concepts and meta-language is more effective in improving student writing ability than traditional feedback approaches.

Teacher feedback that incorporates SFL concepts and meta-language is more effective in improving student writing ability than traditional feedback approaches. The analysis of teacher feedback shows that feedback that explicitly links writing errors to SFL concepts and meta-language is more effective in improving student writing ability than feedback that focuses solely on grammar and spelling errors.

Teacher feedback that incorporates SFL concepts and meta-language is more effective in improving student writing ability than traditional feedback approaches because it provides students with a deeper understanding of language and its use in writing. This type of feedback goes beyond the surface-level errors in grammar and spelling and focuses on the underlying linguistic choices that contribute to effective writing.

SFL concepts and meta-language allow teachers to provide more targeted and meaningful feedback that addresses the root causes of writing problems, rather than just the symptoms. For example, if a student's writing lacks coherence, a teacher who uses SFL concepts and meta-language might point out the need for more effective use of cohesive devices, such as conjunctions, rather than just pointing out specific errors in grammar or syntax.

By explicitly linking writing errors to SFL concepts and meta-language, teachers can help students to better understand the choices they are making in their writing and the impact of those choices on the effectiveness of their writing. This type of feedback encourages students to become more reflective and critical writers, who are able to make more informed decisions about their language use.

The analysis of teacher feedback shows that feedback that incorporates SFL concepts and meta-language is more effective in improving student writing ability than traditional feedback approaches. This is because it helps students to develop a deeper understanding of language and its use in writing, which in turn allows them to make more informed choices about their own writing.

Overall, incorporating SFL concepts and meta-language into teacher feedback can be an effective way to improve student writing ability. By providing more targeted and meaningful feedback, teachers can help students to develop a deeper understanding of language and its use in writing, and to become more reflective and critical writers.

The SFL approach increases student engagement in writing instruction.

The SFL approach increases student engagement in writing instruction. The observation of writing instruction shows that students are more engaged in the writing process when SFL techniques and strategies are used, and they have a better understanding of how to apply grammar and linguistic concepts to their writing.

The SFL approach increases student engagement in writing instruction because it provides a more interactive and collaborative learning experience for students. When SFL techniques and strategies are used, students are

encouraged to actively participate in the writing process, and are provided with opportunities to apply linguistic concepts in their writing. This type of instruction helps to create a more engaging and meaningful learning experience for students.

In traditional writing instruction, students may be given a list of grammar rules and expected to apply them to their writing without a full understanding of the underlying linguistic concepts. This approach can lead to disengagement and lack of motivation, as students do not see the relevance of what they are learning to their own writing.

The SFL approach, on the other hand, emphasizes the connection between grammar and writing, and provides students with a clear understanding of how linguistic choices impact the effectiveness of their writing. Through interactive activities, such as group discussions and peer editing, students are able to see how their language choices impact the overall coherence and cohesion of their writing.

The observation of writing instruction shows that students are more engaged in the writing process when SFL techniques and strategies are used. This is because these techniques provide students with a clear understanding of how to apply grammar and linguistic concepts to their writing, which in turn helps them to become more confident and motivated writers. By providing students with a more engaging and interactive learning experience, the SFL approach can help to improve student writing ability and foster a love of writing. Overall, the SFL approach is effective in increasing student engagement in writing instruction. By emphasizing the connection between grammar and writing, and providing students with a more interactive and collaborative learning experience, the SFL approach can help to improve student writing ability and promote a more positive attitude towards writing.

The SFL approach can be challenging for some students, especially those with lower English language proficiency levels.

The SFL approach can be challenging for some students, especially those with lower English language proficiency levels. The analysis of interviews with students reveals that some students find the SFL approach difficult to understand and apply, particularly if they have limited English language proficiency.

While the SFL approach has proven to be effective in improving student writing ability, it can also present challenges for some students, particularly those with lower levels of English language proficiency. The SFL approach involves the use of complex linguistic concepts and meta-language (Harman, 2018), which can be difficult for students to understand and apply, especially if they are not yet proficient in English.

The analysis of interviews with students reveals that some students find the SFL approach challenging to understand and apply. For example, some students reported that they found it difficult to grasp the concept of linguistic choices and how they impact the effectiveness of their writing. Additionally, some students found it challenging to apply SFL concepts to their own writing, as they were still struggling with basic grammar and vocabulary.

Furthermore, students with lower levels of English language proficiency may require more individualized support and guidance to fully understand and apply SFL concepts. This is because they may lack the necessary language skills to fully comprehend complex linguistic concepts, such as transitivity or theme-rheme analysis. To address these challenges, teachers can provide additional support and guidance to students with lower levels of English language proficiency. This can include one-on-one coaching sessions, supplementary materials and resources, and modified assignments that gradually build on their language skills.

Overall, while the SFL approach can present challenges for some students, particularly those with lower levels of English language proficiency, these challenges can be addressed with appropriate support and guidance. By providing individualized support and guidance, teachers can help students to fully understand and apply SFL concepts, and ultimately improve their writing ability.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this research suggest that the SFL approach is an effective way to improve student writing ability. The analysis of student writing samples shows that students who were taught using SFL techniques and strategies produced higher quality writing than students who were not. Moreover, teacher feedback that incorporates SFL concepts and meta-language was found to be more effective in improving student writing ability than traditional feedback approaches.

The SFL approach emphasizes the role of language in communication and highlights the importance of linguistic choices in creating effective written texts. By providing students with a deep understanding of the structure and function of language, the SFL approach can help them to produce more sophisticated and effective writing.

The analysis of writing instruction also suggests that the SFL approach can increase student engagement in writing instruction. When students understand how to apply linguistic concepts to their writing, they are better able to engage in the writing process and create more meaningful and effective texts.

However, the research also highlights the potential challenges associated with the SFL approach, particularly for students with lower levels of English language proficiency. The complex linguistic concepts and meta-language used in the SFL approach may be difficult for these students to understand and apply.

To address these challenges, teachers can provide additional support and guidance to students with lower levels of English language proficiency. They can also modify their teaching approach to gradually build on students' language skills, and provide individualized feedback and coaching to help students fully understand and apply SFL concepts.

Overall, the findings of this research suggest that the SFL approach can be an effective way to improve student writing ability, particularly when combined with individualized support and guidance for students with lower levels of English language proficiency. The SFL approach offers a powerful tool for teachers to help students develop their writing skills and communicate effectively in English.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the research findings indicate that the SFL approach can be an effective way to improve students' writing ability in English. The approach emphasizes the role of language in communication and provides students with a deep understanding of the structure and function of language. This, in turn, helps students produce more sophisticated and effective writing.

The analysis of teacher feedback shows that feedback that explicitly links writing errors to SFL concepts and meta-language is more effective in improving student writing ability than feedback that focuses solely on grammar and spelling errors. Moreover, the SFL approach can increase student engagement in writing instruction.

However, it is important to note that the SFL approach can be challenging for some students, especially those with lower levels of English language proficiency. Therefore, teachers must provide additional support and guidance to these students to help them fully understand and apply SFL concepts.

In summary, the SFL approach offers a powerful tool for teachers to help students develop their writing skills and communicate effectively in English. With the right support and guidance, students can benefit greatly from this approach and improve their writing ability.

REFERENCES

1. Accardo, A. L., Finnegan, E. G., Kuder, S. J., & Bomgardner, E. M. (2020). Writing Interventions for Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Research Synthesis. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 50(6), 1988–2006. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-019-03955-9>
2. Accurso, K. (2012). *Systemic Functional Linguistics SFL in the Secondary Spanish Classroom: Using Text Analysis to Support World Language Literacies View project Multiliteracies in the Middle Grades View project*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335662102>
3. Anne McCabe. (2022). Systemic Functional Linguistics in Teaching English Beyond School. *International Journal of TESOL Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.46451/ijts.2022.02.01>
4. Ansari, B. I., Junaidi, J., Maulina, S., Herman, H., Kamaruddin, I., Rahman, A., & Saputra, N. (2023). Blended-Learning Training and Evaluation: A Qualitative Study. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*, 23(4), 155–164. <https://doi.org/10.36923/jicc.v23i4.201>
5. Cahyono, S. P. (2018). Teaching L2 writing through the use of Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL). In *Indonesian Journal of English Language Teaching* (Vol. 13, Issue 1).
6. Cordeiro, C. M. (2018). Using systemic functional linguistics as method in identifying semogenic strategies in intercultural communication. study of the collocation of “time” and “different” by Swedish managers with international management experiences. *Journal of Intercultural Communication Research*, 47(3), 207–225. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17475759.2018.1455601>
7. Darong, H. C. (2021). Interpersonal Function of Joe Biden's Victory Speech (Systemic Functional Linguistics View). *Journal of Educational Research and Evaluation*, 5, 57–66. <https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/JERE>
8. Graham, S. (2019). Changing How Writing Is Taught. *Review of Research in Education*, 43(1), 277–303. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X18821125>
9. Harman, R. (2018). *Transforming Normative Discourses of Schooling: Critical Systemic Functional Linguistics Praxis*.
10. Herman., Purba, R., Thao, N. V., & Purba, A. (2020). Using Genre-based Approach to Overcome Students' Difficulties in Writing. *Journal of Education and E-Learning Research*, 7(4), 464-470. <https://doi.org/10.20448/journal.509.2020.74.464.470>
11. Herman, H., Saputra, N., Ngongo, M., & Fatmawati, E. (2022). Delivering A Good Speech by Identifying the Interpersonal Meaning Found in Joe Biden's Victory Speech: A Case on Systemic Functional Linguistics. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*, 22(3), 2022, PP: 65-73. <https://doi.org/10.36923/jicc.v22i3.77>
12. Herman, H., Rafiek, M., Agustina, T., Saddhono, K., Malabar, S., Saputra, N., and Purba, R. (2023). Exploring the metafunctions to improve EFL learners' writing ability in the perspective of systemic functional linguistics. *Research Journal in Advanced Humanities*, 4(2), 87-100. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.58256/rjah.v4i2.1195>

13. Herman, H., Saputra, N., Sitanggang, A., Sirait, J. & Fatmawati, E. (2024). Discourse analysis: A reference approach to investigating a good speech script. *International Journal of English Language and Literature Studies*, 13(2), 109–122. <https://doi.org/10.55493/5019.v13i2.5001>
14. Hulu, P. G. D., Herman, H., Sinaga, Y. K., Syathroh, I. L., Sari, H. N., Saputra, N., & Purba, R. (2023). Teaching English to Local Vernacular Students in Indonesia: Effects of Using Picture Word Inductive Model (PWIM) on Writing Skills. *ISVS e-journal*, 10(9), 187-197. <https://doi.org/10.61275/ISVSej-2023-10-09-13>
15. Maledo, R. O., & Edhere, J. U. (2021). Experiential metafunction: representing environmental degradation. *Linguistics and Culture Review*, 5(1), 129–145. <https://doi.org/10.37028/lingcure.v5n1.1081>
16. Maulida, D. S., Rahman, M. A., Handrianto, C., & Rasool, S. (2022). A Review of the Blended Learning as the Model in Improving Students' Paragraph Writing Skills. *Abjadia*, 7(1), 59–72. <https://doi.org/10.18860/abj.v7i1.15901>
17. Nagao, A. (2018). A Genre-Based Approach to Writing Instruction in EFL Classroom Contexts. *English Language Teaching*, 11(5), 130. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v11n5p130>
18. Nagao, A. (2019). The SFL genre-based approach to writing in EFL contexts. *Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40862-019-0069-3>
19. Numan Khazaal, E. (2019). Improving Postgraduates' Academic Writing Skills with Summarizing Strategy. *Arab World English Journal*, 10(3), 413–428. <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol10no3.29>
20. Rao, C. S., Satya, M. V, & Durga, S. (2018). Developing Students' Writing Skills in English-A Process Approach. *Issue*, 6. <http://www.jrspelt.com>
21. Resmi, R., Rahman, A., Sobirov, B., Rumbardi, R., Al-Awawdeh, N., Widoyo, H., Herman, H., & Saputra, N. (2023). Incorporating Teachers' Views on Different Techniques for Teaching Foreign Languages in the Classroom. *World Journal of English Language*, 13(8), 210-221. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v13n8p210>
22. Sembiente, S. F., Cavallaro, C. J., & Troyan, F. J. (2021). Language teacher candidates' SFL development: a sociocultural perspective. *Language and Education*, 35(5), 479–499. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500782.2020.1833909>
23. Toba, R., Noor, W. N., & Sanu, L. O. (2019). The Current Issues of Indonesian EFL Students' Writing Skills: Ability, Problem, and Reason in Writing Comparison and Contrast Essay. *Dinamika Ilmu*, 57–73. <https://doi.org/10.21093/di.v19i1.1506>
24. Vinchristo, A. A. (2022). Interpersonal Meta function Analysis of Editorial Discourse in Business-Related Issues Using English Systemic Linguistics. *Eurasian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 8(1), 44–57. <https://doi.org/10.32601/ejal.911518>
25. Wada, R., & Wappa, J. P. (2020). *An Analysis of the Meta-functions of Language of Selected Nigerian Newspaper Headlines the use of technology in english language teaching View project A Systemic Functional Approach to the Teaching of English to Science Students View project*. <https://doi.org/10.14662/IJELC2019.070>
26. Zhong, Y., Jiang, C., Xu, W., & Li, J. J. (2020). *Discourse Level Factors for Sentence Deletion in Text Simplification*. <https://newsela.com/data/>,