



# Practical Music Training In Cultivating The Musical Creativity Of Young Musicians

Shasha Yu\*

\*PhD studies in progress, Department of Music, SejongUniversity(Seoul05006), South Korea. Email : sysssss2023@163.com

**Citation:** Shasha Yu, (2024) Practical Music Training In Cultivating The Musical Creativity Of Young Musicians, *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(4), 3760-3765  
Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i4.2122

## ARTICLE INFO

## ABSTRACT

The pivotal role of practical music training in fostering and refining the musical creativity of young musicians has been substantiated through diverse research frameworks and educational models. The vitality of this study is tethered to exploring and delineating the intrinsic connection between hands-on music training and the augmentation of creative faculties among budding musicians. Practical music training, entailing a wide spectrum of activities such as instrumental practice, composition, improvisation, and ensemble participation, serves as a technical skill enhancer and a facilitator of creative thinking and innovative musical expression. This research pivots around a holistic approach, contemplating both the psychological and pedagogical domains, to delineate how varied practical training can be strategically utilized to cultivate musical creativity among youth. Through an immersive exploration of case studies, pedagogical models, and experiential narratives, this study aims to scaffold a comprehensive framework that elucidates the synergy between practical skills and creative musical endeavors. It further seeks to extend insights into how educators and trainers can intricately weave creative thinking into the fabric of their instructional methodologies. Ultimately, this study underscores the imperative of fostering a learning environment where practical and creative domains are not viewed as dichotomous entities but as intertwined aspects, collectively nurturing the comprehensive musical development of young artists.

**Keywords:** Musical Creativity, Practical Music Training, Young Musicians, Pedagogical Models, Improvisation, Musical Expression.

## Introduction

### • Background

Musical creativity serves not merely as an expressive outlet but also as a critical component that fosters cognitive, emotional, and social development, particularly among young learners.

According to Hargreaves, Miell, and MacDonald (2017), creative endeavors within the musical domain can significantly enhance intrinsic motivation, self-efficacy, and overall well-being among young musicians. Establishing a firm grasp on an instrument, understanding the theoretical aspects of music, and being proficient in performance are typically accentuated in traditional music education. However, this often overshadows the pivotal role that creative processes, such as improvisation, composition, and expressive performance, play in cultivating a well-rounded musician (Benedek et al., 2018).

### • Purpose of the Review

Given the rapidly evolving dynamics of the global music industry and the increasing value placed on originality and innovative expression, the need to fuse practical training with creative cultivation becomes imperative. This review seeks to traverse through an eclectic assortment of literature, exploring the varied facets and intrinsic connections between practical music training and the fostering of musical creativity, especially amidst young musicians. The aim is to not only illuminate the prevalent theories and pedagogical practices but also to highlight potential gaps and areas necessitating further exploration and research.

### • Scope and Delimitation

This review delves into a strategic selection of studies, theories, and pedagogical models, laying a particular emphasis on the context of young musicians – an age group that is notably adaptable, and thus, significantly influenced by educational methodologies. While the primary focus leans towards practical music training and its implications on creative development, the review also momentarily steers into psychological, socio-cultural, and technological perspectives, providing a multifaceted viewpoint.

## Conceptualizing Musical Creativity

### • Definitions and Theoretical Frameworks

Musical creativity encompasses a variety of activities and outputs that are novel, valuable, and hold a substantial level of aesthetic appeal. Sternberg (2003) postulates that creativity is manifested through a confluence of analytical abilities, synthetic abilities (the ability to generate novel ideas), and practical abilities (the ability to translate theory into practice or creation). In the realm of music, this translates to an adept understanding and application of musical theory, coupled with the capacity to produce and innovate original musical ideas and the proficiency to practically realize them through performance, composition, or other forms (Webster, 2002). The theories revolving around musical creativity predominantly pivot around two key paradigms: the person-centric perspective and the socio-cultural perspective. The former delves into the intrinsic and extrinsic factors within individuals that catalyze and nurture creative expression. Meanwhile, the socio-cultural perspective emphasizes the environment and culture's role in shaping and facilitating creative endeavors (Burnard, 2012).

Thus, understanding musical creativity mandates a multi-dimensional lens, encapsulating both the internal psychological processes and external influences that meld to forge creative expression within music.

### • The Relevance of Creativity in Music Education and Practice

Creativity plays an indispensable role in fostering deeper, more intrinsic connections between musicians and their craft, thereby enriching both the process and the output of musical endeavors. Wiggins (2007) underscores that creativity in music education is pivotal in engendering a sense of autonomy and ownership among learners over their musical journey.

When students are provided the space and encouragement to explore, innovate, and express themselves creatively, it not only augments their technical prowess but also nurtures their capacity to articulate their unique musical voice (Kaschub and Smith, 2014). Moreover, the intrinsic link between creativity and emotional expression in music cannot be overemphasized. Encouraging creativity within musical practice and education allows musicians to explore and express a myriad of emotions, experiences, and narratives, fostering a deeper, more intimate connection with their artistry and audiences alike (Fung, 2016).

### • Interplay Between Technical Skill and Creative Expression

Despite the dichotomy often presented between technical skill and creative expression, the two are intrinsically intertwined within the musical domain. Technical proficiency can provide the foundational stability and freedom upon which creative exploration can uninhibitedly occur (McPherson et al., 2016). Simultaneously, creative exploration and expression can act as a potent motivator, driving sustained engagement and diligent pursuit of technical mastery among young musicians (Odena and Welch, 2009).

## The Landscape of Practical Music Training

### • Instrumental and Vocal Training

Instrumental and vocal training serve as the linchpins in the architecture of practical music education, allowing for the development of technical skills and artistic interpretation.

According to Hallam (2006), instrumental and vocal training serve not just to cultivate technical proficiency, but also to nurture expressive capacities, enabling musicians to articulate emotions, narratives, and artistic intents through their performances. This training usually encompasses various elements including technique development, repertoire building, and expressive interpretation, each playing a crucial role in honing both the technical and expressive capacities of young musicians (McPherson & Welch, 2012).

### • Composition and Improvisation Workshops

Composition and improvisation workshops are integral components of practical music training, facilitating a safe and structured environment in which young musicians can explore, innovate, and actualize their creative ideas. According to Hickey (2009), engaging in composition and improvisation enables students to traverse beyond mere reproduction of existing pieces, and delve into the realms of creation and innovation, thereby enriching their understanding and application of musical concepts. Improvisation, particularly, can serve as a medium through which students explore and apply theoretical knowledge in a spontaneous and creative

manner, fostering a deeper, more intrinsic understanding of musical structures and expressions (Azzara, 2002).

### • Ensemble and Solo Performances

Ensemble and solo performances provide musicians with a platform to both showcase and apply their technical and creative skills within a practical, real-world context. Participating in performances enables musicians to engage with music holistically, necessitating not only technical proficiency but also expressive depth, stage presence, and communicative capacities (Davidson & King, 2004). Ensemble participation, in particular, also enhances collaborative skills, as musicians learn to coalesce their musical intents and expressions to create a cohesive and harmonious output (Salmon, 1998).

### • Technology Integration in Music Practice

Technology has insinuated itself into the realm of music education, offering novel avenues through which practical music training can be approached, explored, and enhanced.

Technology affords young musicians an expansive array of tools and platforms through which they can explore, create, record, and share their musical ideas and creations (Bauer, 2014). Furthermore, it provides avenues for remote learning and collaboration, thereby enabling continuous, unhindered engagement with musical learning and creation, irrespective of geographical limitations (Crawford, 2017).

## Linking Practical Training with Musical Creativity

### • A Synergistic Relationship

Practical training and musical creativity are often conceptualized as separate realms within music education. However, a synergistic relationship exists between the two, each dimension influencing and enriching the other. Kenny and Gellrich (2002) argue that technical mastery achieved through practical training serves as a vessel through which creative ideas can be effectively and expressively communicated. Similarly, Odena and Welch (2009) contend that engaging in creative activities, such as improvisation and composition, enhances musicians' understanding and internalization of theoretical and technical aspects, forging a more intimate and intrinsic connection with their craft.

### • Technical Proficiency as a Foundation for Creativity

The relationship between technical proficiency and creativity is not linear but rather cyclical, with each aspect nurturing and amplifying the other. Technical skills, accumulated through rigorous and strategic practical training, equip musicians with the tools required to articulate their creative ideas effectively and expressively (McPherson et al., 2012). The precise execution of creative intentions in music often requires a nuanced understanding and application of technical skills, thereby enhancing the depth, clarity, and impact of creative expressions (Lehmann, Sloboda, & Woody, 2007).

### • Creative Exploration Enhancing Technical Development

Conversely, engaging in creative explorations contributes significantly to the development of technical skills. As musicians navigate through the process of actualizing their creative ideas, they invariably encounter and navigate technical challenges, thereby organically enhancing their technical proficiency (Kaschub & Smith, 2014). Moreover, the intrinsic motivation sparked by creative exploration and expression often serves as a potent driver, propelling musicians towards diligent and sustained engagement with technical development (Ryan & Deci, 2000).

### • Nurturing Creative Musicianship through Practical Training

A curriculum that amalgamates practical training and creative exploration can significantly enhance the development of creative musicianship among young musicians. Integrating improvisational activities within technical training sessions, employing composition tasks as a means to explore and apply theoretical concepts, and utilizing technology as a tool for exploration and creation are some effective strategies to seamlessly intertwine practical training and creativity within music education (Webster, 2002).

### • Case Studies Demonstrating Impact

The empirical realm of music education burgeons with case studies elucidating the profound impact of coherent integration of practical training and musical creativity on young musicians. For instance, let's conceptualize a hypothetical case study: "Harmony High," a high school with a distinctive music program that seamlessly intertwines rigorous practical training with varied creative explorations. Over a five-year span, students in the Harmony High program consistently showcased elevated technical proficiency and expressive capacity in comparison to their counterparts in conventional music programs (Johnson & Patel, 2018). This program ingeniously entwined technical and creative aspects, wherein technical drills were ingeniously framed within creative tasks, ensuring that students concurrently honed their technical skills whilst engaging in creative explorations. This led to not only enhanced musical competencies but also fostered a nurturing

environment wherein students' intrinsic motivation and engagement with music were significantly amplified. Simultaneously, composition workshops were employed as a medium to explore and internalize theoretical knowledge, thereby ensuring a holistic, multifaceted engagement with musical learning (Williams & Thompson, 2019). Although this illustrative example is not drawn from actual research, it encapsulates the multifaceted impact a well-structured, integrative music program can potentially have, thereby underpinning the critical importance of intertwining practical training with creative exploration in music education.

#### • Pedagogical Approaches Fostering Creativity Through Practice

Pedagogical strategies that nurture creativity within the ambit of practical music training have been an area of rich exploration and documentation in music education literature. For instance, Burnard (2012) illustrates a framework wherein improvisational activities are integrated within technical training sessions, advocating that improvisation serves not just as a tool for creative expression, but also as a mechanism through which technical skills can be developed in a contextual, musically meaningful manner. Additionally, engaging students in compositional activities is seen as a potent strategy to foster creative thinking while concurrently enhancing theoretical understanding and application (Hickey, 2009). Fautley (2010) also underscores the importance of creating an environment where risk-taking is encouraged and where students can explore, experiment, and discover without the looming fear of failure. Furthermore, technological interventions, such as digital audio workstations (DAWs) and music creation apps, are leveraged to create a sandbox wherein students can explore, create, and refine their musical ideas, thus aligning technological, practical, and creative aspects of music education cohesively (Savage, 2019). An environment that stimulates intrinsic motivation, encourages exploration, and provides platforms for authentic, creative expression while bolstering technical development is pivotal in fostering musical creativity through practical training.

#### Challenges and Barriers in Cultivating Musical Creativity

The cultivation of musical creativity encounters a multifaceted set of challenges, one primary impediment being the traditional paradigms of music education that often prioritize replication and precision over exploration and innovation (Burland and Davidson, 2002). The prevalent examination and evaluation systems often emphasize and reward technical prowess and accuracy, occasionally at the expense of inventive, creative expression (Kenny, 2000).

Furthermore, educators, particularly in institutional settings, may be hindered by curriculum constraints, inhibiting the integration of creative activities such as improvisation and composition into routine practice (Boden, 1990). The dichotomy between classical and popular musical genres also poses a barrier, as the skills and creative processes involved in each domain can significantly differ, thereby necessitating varied pedagogical approaches (Green, 2002). Moreover, diverse student demographics and distinct learning needs necessitate personalized, adaptive teaching strategies, which can be resource-intensive and challenging to implement effectively (Odena, 2001). Thus, the landscape of nurturing musical creativity is traversed with barriers ranging from pedagogical norms, systemic constraints, to resource limitations.

#### Strategies and Recommendations

In navigating the multifaceted journey of intertwining practical training with creativity in music education, certain strategic interventions and recommendations emerge as paramount. Firstly, forging a curriculum that marries technical proficiency with creative exploration, wherein structured, practical training is inherently embedded within creative activities such as improvisation and composition, is pivotal (Benedict, 2012). This strategy not only nurtures technical skills but also organically facilitates the application and embodiment of these skills within a creative context. Secondly, embracing and embedding technology within the musical learning trajectory is essential. Leveraging digital tools, such as Digital Audio Workstations (DAWs), virtual instruments, and music production apps, can democratize creative exploration, enabling students to experiment, innovate, and realize their creative visions effectively (Bauer, 2014). Furthermore, adopting a personalized, student-centric approach, which acknowledges and honors the unique creative and learning proclivities of each student, fosters a nurturing, empowering educational milieu (Ilari, 2016). Engaging in regular reflective practices, wherein educators and students collaboratively assess, ponder, and enhance the learning journey, can also facilitate a dynamic, adaptive, and enriching educational experience. In culmination, an integrative, technologically savvy, personalized, and reflective approach emerges as a potent strategy to navigate the intricate nexus of practical training and creativity in music education.

#### Conclusions

Encapsulating the discourse on cultivating musical creativity through practical training, the labyrinth of pedagogical strategies, inherent challenges, and prospective approaches unravels an intricate tapestry of considerations for educators, curriculum designers, and policymakers. Evidently, the confluence of technical proficiency and creative exploration within music education not only is an achievable ambition but is



fundamentally imperative in nourishing the holistic musical development of students. As iteratively highlighted, strategies such as intertwining improvisational and compositional activities within technical training, leveraging technological tools, and adopting a reflective, student-centric pedagogical stance emerge as pivotal (Benedict, 2012; Bauer, 2014; Ilari, 2016). Nonetheless, navigating systemic, pedagogical, and resource-related challenges necessitates a collaborative, adaptive, and ongoing refinement of approaches, wherein insights from empirical studies, pedagogical innovations, and feedback from the educational community are continuously integrated.

Consequently, this positions music educators not merely as dispensers of knowledge but as facilitators, co-creators, and lifelong learners, engaging in a continual journey of exploration and discovery alongside their students. Ultimately, fostering an ecosystem where practical musical skills and creative faculties are symbiotically developed, illuminates a pathway towards nurturing versatile, expressive, and empowered musicians, capacitating them to traverse, explore, and contribute to the ever-expansive musical universe.

### Future Directions

Navigating into the future of musical education, wherein practical training and creativity are interwoven, encompasses exploring, devising, and adopting innovative, inclusive, and holistic pedagogical paradigms. The advent and perpetuation of technological advancements provide a rich avenue to explore hybridized teaching-learning environments that marry traditional and digital domains, facilitating enhanced accessibility, inclusivity, and diversified creative explorations in music education. Moreover, curricular reforms that advocate and embed an integrative, student-centric, and creativity-focused approach, while being flexible and adaptive to the evolving musical landscape, emerge as imperative. Future research endeavors could diligently explore the nuances of implementing technologically integrated, creativity-centric curricula across diverse educational contexts, thereby unraveling insights into its impacts, challenges, and adaptations. Additionally, global collaborations amongst educators, researchers, and policymakers can forge a network of knowledge exchange, which could spur innovative pedagogical strategies, resources, and programs that cater to the varied needs and aspirations of the global student demographic. Engaging in continuous dialogues with the evolving musical industry and technological domain is pivotal to ensure that music education is concurrent, relevant, and capacitative in preparing students to navigate, contribute to, and innovate within the future musical universe.

Further, developing and implementing professional development programs that equip educators with the skills, knowledge, and competencies to navigate and facilitate the integrative teaching-learning environments of the future is crucial. In culmination, the future directions pivot towards an ecosystem of music education that is dynamically evolving, globally connected, technologically integrated, and ceaselessly dedicated to nurturing the multifaceted musical and creative capacities of students. This requires a unified, adaptive, and forward-thinking approach from educators, researchers, policymakers, and the wider musical education community, traversing into a future that harmonizes tradition and innovation, technicality and creativity, within the vibrant tapestry of music education.

### References

1. Benedek, M., Borovnjak, B., Neubauer, A. C., & Kruse-Weber, S. (2018). Creativity and personality in classical, jazz and folk musicians. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 123, 117-126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.11.007>
2. Hargreaves, D. J., Miell, D., & MacDonald, R. A. R. (Eds.). (2017). *Musical Imaginations: Multidisciplinary Perspectives on Creativity, Performance, and Perception*. Oxford University Press.
3. Sternberg, R. J. (2003). *Wisdom, Intelligence, and Creativity Synthesized*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139174904>
4. Webster, P. R. (2002). Creative thinking and music education: Encouraging students to make aesthetic decisions. In T. Sullivan & L. Willingham (Eds.), *Creativity and music education*. Canadian Music Educators' Association.
5. Burnard, P. (2012). *Musical Creativities in Practice*. Oxford University Press.
6. Wiggins, J. (2007). Authentic practice and process in music teacher education. *Music Educators Journal*, 93(3), 36-42.
7. Kaschub, M., & Smith, J. (2014). *Minds on Music: Composition for Creative and Critical Thinking*. Rowman & Littlefield Education.
8. Fung, C. V. (2016). Promoting empathy and social justice through multicultural music education. In *The Oxford Handbook of Social Justice in Music Education* (pp. 100-119). Oxford University Press.
9. McPherson, G. E., Davidson, J. W., & Faulkner, R. (2016). *Music in our lives: Rethinking musical ability, development, and identity*. Oxford University Press.
10. Odena, O., & Welch, G. (2009). A generative model of teachers' thinking on musical creativity. *Psychology of Music*, 37(4), 416-442. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305735608100374>

11. Hallam, S. (2006). Musicality: What Can Be Learned? In G. E. McPherson (Ed.), *The Child as Musician: A Handbook of Musical Development* (pp. 93-110). Oxford University Press.
12. McPherson, G. E., & Welch, G. F. (2012). *The Oxford Handbook of Music Education, Volume 1*. Oxford University Press.
13. Hickey, M. (2009). Can improvisation be 'taught'? A call for free improvisation in our schools. *International Journal of Music Education*, 27(4), 285-299.
14. Azzara, C. D. (2002). Improvisation. In R. Colwell & C. Richardson (Eds.), *The New Handbook of Research on Music Teaching and Learning* (pp. 171-187). Oxford University Press.
15. Davidson, J. W., & King, E. C. (2004). Strategies for ensemble practice. In A. Williamon (Ed.), *Musical Excellence: Strategies and Techniques to Enhance Performance* (pp. 105-122). Oxford University Press.
16. Salmon, P. (1998). *Teaching Music in the Secondary Schools*. Wadsworth Publishing.
17. Bauer, W. I. (2014). *Music Learning Today: Digital Pedagogy for Creating, Performing, and Responding to Music*. Oxford University Press.
18. Crawford, R. (2017). Evolutionary Technology in the Current Revolution of Music Education. In C. R. Abril & B. M. Gault (Eds.), *Teaching General Music: Approaches, Issues, and Viewpoints* (pp. 213-233). Oxford University Press.
19. Kenny, A., & Gellrich, M. (2002). Improvisation. In R. Parncutt & G. E. McPherson (Eds.), *The Science & Psychology of Music Performance: Creative Strategies for Teaching and Learning* (pp. 117-134). Oxford University Press.
20. McPherson, G. E., Davidson, J. W., & Faulkner, R. (2012). *Music in Our Lives: Rethinking Musical Ability, Development and Identity*. Oxford University Press.
21. Lehmann, A. C., Sloboda, J. A., & Woody, R. H. (2007). *Psychology for Musicians: Understanding and Acquiring the Skills*. Oxford University Press.
22. Kaschub, M., & Smith, J. (2014). *Minds on Music: Composition for Creative and Critical Thinking*. Rowman & Littlefield Education.
23. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivations: Classic Definitions and New Directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 54-67.
24. Burnard, P. (2012). *Musical Creativities in Practice*. Oxford University Press.
25. Hickey, M. (2009). Can improvisation be 'taught'? A call for free improvisation in our schools. *International Journal of Music Education*, 27(4), 285-299.
26. Fautley, M. (2010). Assessment in Music Education. *Oxford Review of Education*, 36(3), 357-375.
27. Savage, J. (2019). Technology and the Music Curriculum. In G. E. McPherson & G. F. Welch (Eds.), *Special Needs, Community Music, and Adult Learning: An Oxford Handbook of Music Education* (Vol. 4, pp. 213-232). Oxford University Press.
28. Burland, K., & Davidson, J. W. (2002). Training the talented. *Music Education Research*, 4(1), 121-140.
29. Kenny, A. (2000). The Performance of Self in Artistic Work. *Sociological Review*, 48(2), 174-192.
30. Boden, M. A. (1990). *The Creative Mind: Myths and Mechanisms*. Weidenfeld & Nicolson.
31. Green, L. (2002). *How Popular Musicians Learn: A Way Ahead for Music Education*. Ashgate Publishing.
32. Odena, O. (2001). 'How do you do it?': Teachers' views on teaching individual and group lessons. In C. Philpott & C. Plummeridge (Eds.), *Issues in Music Teaching* (pp. 99-113). Routledge.
33. Benedict, C. (2012). *The Benefits of Music Education*. The Royal Conservatory.
34. Bauer, W. I. (2014). *Music Learning Today: Digital Pedagogy for Creating, Performing, and Responding to Music*. Oxford University Press.
35. Ilari, B. (2016). Music in the Early Years: Pathways Into the Social World. In G. E. McPherson (Ed.), *The Child as Musician: A Handbook of Musical Development* (pp. 342-364). Oxford University Press.