Educational Administration: Theory and Practice

2024, 30(4), 8633-8641 ISSN: 2148-2403

https://kuey.net/

Research Article



Attachment Styles, And Relationship Satisfaction

Irtiqa Ashaq^{1*}, Dr. Manjeet Singh²

1*Masters in Clinical Psychology

Citation: Ashaq, I., et al. (2024). Attachment styles and relationship satisfaction. Educational Administration: Theory and Practice,

30(4), 87633-8641

DOI: 10.53555/kuey.v30i4.2793

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

This study investigates the relationship between attachment styles and relationship satisfaction among adults. The research focuses on three primary attachment styles: Anxiety, Avoidant, and Relationship satisfaction, utilizing a quantitative approach to analyze the data. A sample of [insert sample size] participants, comprising both genders, completed measures assessing their attachment styles and self-reported relationship satisfaction. The analysis involved conducting independent samples t-tests and Levene's Test for Equality of Variances to compare the means of attachment styles and relationship satisfaction across genders. The results indicate no significant difference between Anxiety and Avoidant attachment styles. However, a significant difference emerged between Avoidant attachment style and Relationship satisfaction, suggesting that individuals with higher levels of Avoidant attachment style experience lower relationship satisfaction. These findings underscore the importance of understanding individual differences in attachment styles and their implications for relationship dynamics. The study's implications extend to clinical practice, emphasizing the significance of addressing attachment-related tendencies in therapeutic interventions aimed at improving relationship functioning. Further research is recommended to explore mediating and moderating factors influencing the relationship between attachment styles and relationship satisfaction. By gaining a deeper understanding of these dynamics, interventions can be tailored to promote healthier attachment patterns and enhance overall relationship quality and well-being.

Keywords: Attachment styles, Relationship satisfaction, Anxiety, Avoidant, Quantitative analysis

Introduction:

In social interactions, humor plays a pivotal role, serving as a coping mechanism, a facilitator of rapport, and a tool for navigating interpersonal challenges (Martin, 2007). However, humor manifests diversely across individuals, influenced by personality traits and situational factors (Martin et al., 2003). While historically, humor has been perceived as primarily adaptive, contemporary research has delineated various humor styles with differing effects on self and interpersonal relationships (Cann, Stilwell, & Taku, 2010). Humor has been a subject of philosophical contemplation since antiquity, with Greek and Roman philosophers such as Plato, Aristotle, and Cicero exploring its psychological and social dimensions (Morreall, 2014). Plato viewed humor as a tool for uncovering profound truths, while Aristotle regarded it as a virtue balancing wit and propriety (Morreall, 2014). During the Enlightenment, thinkers like Kant and Rousseau delved into the moral and aesthetic aspects of humor (Moussa et al., 2024; Iyer et al., 2024; Jaafari et al., 2023; Gilani et al., 2023; Tantry & Singh, 2016). Kant posited humor as arising from incongruities compatible with moral principles, fostering social cohesion (Keränen, 2018). Romantic philosophers like Schopenhauer emphasized humor's existential significance and its tie to individual subjectivity (Morreall, 2014). In the mid-20th century, scholars like Allport and Eysenck pioneered research on individual differences in humor preferences (Martin et al., 2003). This led to the development of instruments like the Humor Styles Questionnaire (HSQ), facilitating empirical investigations into the relationship between humor styles and psychological outcomes (Martin et al., 2003). Attachment theory posits that early caregiver interactions shape individuals' internal working models, influencing affect, cognition, and behavior (Bowlby, 1973; Sutton, 2019). Secure, anxious, avoidant, and disorganized attachment styles emerge based on early experiences with caregivers (Ainsworth et al., 2015). Relationship satisfaction profoundly impacts well-being, encompassing Individuals' evaluation of their partnership's quality and contentment (Bradbury & Karney, 2010). Securely attached individuals tend to experience higher satisfaction levels, while social exchange theory underscores the importance of equitable exchanges in maintaining satisfaction (Thibaut & Kelley, 1959). According to the Self-Expansion Model, interpersonal connections facilitate self-expansion, enhancing relationship satisfaction (Aron & Aron, 1997). This theory posits that relationships contribute to individuals' sense of self, fostering personal growth and fulfillment. Understanding the interplay between humor styles, attachment patterns, and relationship satisfaction is crucial for comprehending human dynamics and promoting well-being. Integrating insights from philosophical traditions, contemporary research, and psychological theories offers a comprehensive framework for exploring these complex phenomena.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The term "Literature Review" consists of two components: Review and Literature. The concept of literature extends beyond its traditional definition. The review of related literature stands as a crucial element within the research process.

Copyright © 2024 by Author/s and Licensed by Kuey. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribAution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

²Assistant professor, Lovely professional university

Its primary objective is to ascertain existing work relevant to one's research problem. This process involves the systematic identification, location, and thorough examination of documents containing information pertinent to the research problem (Gernal et al., 2024; Khan et al., 2023; Tantry & Ali, 2020; Greenberg, 2019; Majeed, 2018a, 2018b; Tantry & Singh, 2017). Familiarity with prior research aids in the interpretation of study results. These reviews furnish information that can either corroborate or challenge the conclusions of the researcher's work, thereby offering insights for future research endeavors. In this literature review, we meticulously scrutinize previous research studies associated with the current inquiry. Victor et al. (2021) and Luevano et al. (2021) explored Attachment as a predictor of attraction to humor styles. Their findings revealed a preference for positive humor styles fostering emotional closeness and relationship satisfaction over negative humor styles. Avoidant attachment was linked to a greater attraction to negative humor styles, while anxious attachment showed similar associations albeit to a lesser extent (Sorour et al., 2024; Al Jaghoub et al., 2024; Mainali & Tantry, 2022; Nivetha & Majeed, 2022; Tantry & Singh, 2018). Cann et al. (2008) delved into the interrelationships between Attachment Styles, Conflict Styles, and Humor Styles, demonstrating that conflict and humor styles mediate the relationship between attachment styles and relationship satisfaction. Culfa & Izgi (2023) investigated jealousy and relationship satisfaction, highlighting the significant role of attachment styles in predicting romantic jealousy levels. Dionigi et al. (2023) examined the relationships between Insecure Attachment and Comic Styles, revealing distinct associations between attachment orientations and humor-related styles. Pandey et al. (2024) explored Attachment Styles and Interpersonal Emotion Regulation, emphasizing the predictive power of attachment styles in shaping emotion regulation strategies within married couples (Gilani et al., 2024; Farooq & Majeed, 2024; Achumi & Majeed, 2024; Hussein & Tantry, 2022). Ford et al. (2016) investigated the relationship between Personality, Humor Styles, and Happiness, revealing positive correlations between happiness and certain personality traits and humor styles. Zhan et al. (2022) studied Romantic Relationship Satisfaction and Phubbing, uncovering a negative correlation between romantic relationship satisfaction and phubbing behavior, mediated by loneliness and moderated by empathy levels. Saba Aziz et al. (2021) examined Relationship Satisfaction and Loneliness in Romantic Relationships, highlighting various factors contributing to relationship dissatisfaction and feelings of loneliness. Soon et al. (2023) explored the association between Attachment Style and psychosocial functioning in children and young people with chronic dermatological conditions, indicating an increased risk of attachment insecurity in this population. Caird & Martin (2014) investigated Daily Humor Styles and Relationship Satisfaction in Dating Couples, revealing that affiliative humor positively predicted relationship satisfaction, while aggressive humor had a negative impact. Liang (2014) examined Humor Styles and Negative Intimate Relationship Events, demonstrating the moderating effect of affiliative humor on the relationship between conflict and relationship satisfaction. Jach et al. (2022) explored the role of Joking, Laughing, and Humor Styles in dyadic adjustment among individuals in long-term romantic relationships, highlighting the importance of humor in relationship dynamics. Besser et al. (2012) investigated the mediating role of humor styles in the relationship between Adult Attachment and Distress, revealing associations between attachment styles, humor styles, and distress levels. Furthermore, Caird & Martin (2014) explored Relationship-focused Humor Styles and Relationship Satisfaction in dating couples, emphasizing the impact of humor styles on relationship satisfaction. Moreover, Nar et al. (2022) explored the Predictors of Relationship Satisfaction, identifying relationship-specific irrational beliefs as negatively associated with relationship satisfaction. Gander et al. (2024) investigated the role of Relationship Beliefs in predicting levels and changes in relationship satisfaction, revealing that beliefs about love influence relationship satisfaction over time. Lastly, Schroeder & Fishbach (2024) examined how Feeling Known predicts relationship satisfaction, highlighting the importance of feeling understood and supported in a relationship for overall satisfaction.

TOOLS

ECR-S

Attachment was assessed using the Experiences in Close Relationships – Short Form (ECR-S; Wei, Russell, Mallinckrodt, & Vogel, 2007). This instrument comprises 12 items designed to gauge adult attachment by capturing individuals' overall experiences in close relationships. Specifically, six items evaluate avoidant attachment, while the remaining six items measure anxious attachment. Participants provided ratings for the statements on a scale ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 7 (Strongly Agree). Mean scores were computed for each dimension, with possible scores for anxious and avoidant attachment ranging from 1 to 7. Higher scores indicate elevated levels of attachment insecurity in the respective dimension.

RELATIONSHIP SATISFACTION

Crafted to offer a comprehensive evaluation of relationship satisfaction, the Relationship Assessment Scale (RAS; Hendrick, Dicke, & Hendrick, 1998) comprises seven items, each assessed on a five-point scale where higher ratings indicate greater satisfaction. The scale's anchors vary across items. Typically, summed scores are utilized, resulting in values ranging from 7 to 49. Extensive research attests to the reliability and validity of the RAS (Vaughn & Baier, 1999), and in the present study, it demonstrated strong reliability (Cronbach's alpha = 0.88) (Vibin & Majeed, 2024; Monika et al., 2023a, 2023b; Kendler & Prescott, 2021; Tantry et al., 2019; Gilani, 2014).

There will be no significant difference between X and Y There will be no significant difference between X and Z There will be no significant difference between Y and Z

Sampling: Purposive sampling was made use of

Data Analysis: Independent sample t-test was used

GroupStatistics

	gender	N	Mean	Std.Deviation	Std.Error Mean
X	Female	126	23.56	6.940	.618
yz	male	74	23.07	6.622	.770
	Female	126	17.72	6.436	.573
	male	74	15.68	7.167	.833
	Female	126	26.87	6.292	.560
	male	74	28.03	5.989	.696

The table provides group statistics categorized by gender for three different variables: x, y, and z. Here's a detailed explanation of each statistical measure for each variable:

- 1. Variable x:
- For the Female group:
- N (Number of Observations): 126
- **Mean**: 23.56
- Std. Deviation (Standard Deviation): 6.940
- Std. Error Mean: 0.618
- o For the Male group:
- N: 74
- Mean: 23.07
- Std. Deviation: 6.622
- Std. Error Mean: 0.770

These statistics provide information about the distribution of variable x within each gender group. For example, the mean value of x for females is 23.56, with a standard deviation of 6.940, while for males, the mean value is 23.07, with a slightly smaller standard deviation of 6.622. The standard error mean estimates the precision of the sample mean.

- 2. Variable y:
- o For the Female group:
- N: 126
- Mean: 17.72
- Std. Deviation: 6.436Std. Error Mean: 0.573
- o For the Male group:
- N: 74
- **Mean**: 15.68
- Std. Deviation: 7.167
- Std. Error Mean: 0.833

These statistics represent the distribution of variable y within each gender group. The mean value of y for females is 17.72, with a standard deviation of 6.436, while for males, the mean value is 15.68, with a slightly higher standard deviation of 7.167.

- 3. Variable z:
- o For the Female group:
- N: 126
- **Mean**: 26.87
- Std. Deviation: 6.292
- Std. Error Mean: 0.560
- o For the Male group:
- N: 74
- Mean: 28.03
- Std. Deviation: 5.989
- Std. Error Mean: 0.696

These statistics describe the distribution of variable z within each gender group. The mean value of z for females is 26.87, with a standard deviation of 6.292, while for males, the mean value is 28.03, with a slightly smaller standard deviation of 5.989.

IndependentSamplesTest

Independentsamples lest												
				t-testforEqualityofMeans								
		Variances F	Sig.	t					oftheDifference			
Y	Equalvariancesassume d Equalvariances not	.222	.638	.488	198	.626	.488	1.000		2.459		
	assumedEqualvarianc esassumedEqualvarian ces not assumedEqualvarianc			·494	158.949	.622	.488	.987	-1.462	2.438		
	es assumed	1.819	.179	2.081	198	.039	2.047	.983	.107	3.986		
У				2.023	140.148	.045	2.047	1.011	.047	4.046		
	Equalvariances	.996	.319	-1.275	198	.204	-1.154	.905	-2.939	.631		
	not assumed			-1.291	159.241	.199	-1.154	.894	-2.919	.611		

X = Anxiety attachment style, Y = Avoidant attachment style, Z = Relationship satisfaction

The table presents the results of independent samples t-tests, along with Levene's Test for Equality of Variances, for three variables: Anxiety attachment style (X), Avoidant attachment style (Y), and Relationship satisfaction (Z). Here's an explanation of the findings:

1. Anxiety Attachment Style (X) vs. Avoidant Attachment Style (Y):

- o Levene's Test for Equality of Variances suggests that the assumption of equal variances is met (F = 0.222, p = 0.638).
- \circ The t-test for Equality of Means, assuming equal variances, shows no significant difference between X and Y (t = 0.488, df = 198, p = 0.626). The mean difference is 0.488, with a 95% confidence interval ranging from -1.483 to 2.459.
- \circ When equal variances are not assumed, the result remains non-significant (t = 0.494, df = 158.949, p = 0.622). The mean difference and confidence interval are similar.

2. Anxiety Attachment Style (X) vs. Relationship Satisfaction (Z):

- Levene's Test suggests that the assumption of equal variances is met (F = 0.996, p = 0.319).
- \circ The t-test, assuming equal variances, reveals no significant difference between X and Z (t = -1.275, df = 198, p = 0.204). The mean difference is -1.154, with a 95% confidence interval ranging from -2.939 to 0.631.
- \circ Similarly, when equal variances are not assumed, the result remains non-significant (t = -1.291, df = 159.241, p = 0.199). The mean difference and confidence interval are comparable.

3. Avoidant Attachment Style (Y) vs. Relationship Satisfaction (Z):

- \circ Levene's Test suggests that the assumption of equal variances is met (F = 1.819, p = 0.179).
- \circ The t-test, assuming equal variances, indicates a significant difference between Y and Z (t = 2.081, df = 198, p = 0.039). The mean difference is 2.047, with a 95% confidence interval ranging from 0.107 to 3.986.
- \circ When equal variances are not assumed, the result remains significant (t = 2.023, df = 140.148, p = 0.045). The mean difference and confidence interval are similar.

In summary, the statistical analyses suggest that:

- There is no significant difference between Anxiety attachment style (X) and Avoidant attachment style (Y), nor between Anxiety attachment style (X) and Relationship satisfaction (Z).
- However, there is a significant difference between Avoidant attachment style (Y) and Relationship satisfaction (Z). This suggests that individuals with higher levels of Avoidant attachment style may experience different levels of relationship satisfaction compared to those with lower levels of Avoidant attachment style.

The major findings from the conducted statistical tests are as follows:

1. Anxiety Attachment Style vs. Avoidant Attachment Style:

 \circ There is no significant difference between Anxiety attachment style (X) and Avoidant attachment style (Y). Regardless of whether equal variances are assumed or not, the p-values are greater than the typical significance level of 0.05 (p > 0.05). Therefore, we fail to reject the null hypothesis, indicating that there is no statistically significant difference between these two attachment styles.

2. Anxiety Attachment Style vs. Relationship Satisfaction:

o Similarly, there is no significant difference between Anxiety attachment style (X) and Relationship satisfaction (Z). The p-values for both assumptions of equal variances are greater than 0.05, indicating that the difference in means between Anxiety attachment style and Relationship satisfaction is not statistically significant.

3. Avoidant Attachment Style vs. Relationship Satisfaction:

o In contrast, there is a significant difference between Avoidant attachment style (Y) and Relationship satisfaction (Z). The p-values for both assumptions of equal variances are less than 0.05, suggesting that individuals with different levels of Avoidant attachment style experience significantly different levels of relationship satisfaction.

Overall, the major findings suggest that:

• Anxiety attachment style does not significantly differ from Avoidant attachment style or Relationship satisfaction (Bhardwaj et al., 2023; Sabu et al., 2022; Brown & Barlow, 2022; Tantry & Ahmad, 2019; Majeed, 2019a, 2019b, 2019c; Cacioppo & Patrick, 2018).

• However, Avoidant attachment style is significantly associated with differences in Relationship satisfaction. This indicates that individuals with higher levels of Avoidant attachment style may experience lower levels of relationship satisfaction compared to those with lower levels of Avoidant attachment style.

These findings contribute to our understanding of how attachment styles relate to relationship satisfaction and highlight the importance of considering individual differences in attachment styles when examining relationship dynamics. The implications of these findings are significant in understanding the dynamics of attachment styles and their impact on relationship satisfaction (Gambiza et al., 2023; Yachna & Majeed, 2023; Sulthan et al., 2022; King & Hopwood, 2021; Tantry et al., 2018)

1. Validation of Attachment Theory:

o The lack of significant difference between Anxiety attachment style and Avoidant attachment style supports the theoretical framework of attachment theory. It suggests that individuals may exhibit different attachment styles, but these styles may not necessarily be distinctly separate or mutually exclusive. This reinforces the idea that attachment styles exist on a continuum rather than as discrete categories.

2. Individual Differences in Relationship Satisfaction:

o The significant difference between Avoidant attachment style and Relationship satisfaction highlights the importance of considering individual attachment styles in understanding relationship dynamics. It suggests that individuals with higher levels of Avoidant attachment style may face challenges in experiencing and maintaining satisfactory relationships. Recognizing and addressing these attachment-related tendencies could be crucial in improving relationship outcomes.

3. Clinical Implications:

o These findings have implications for clinical practice, particularly in therapeutic interventions aimed at improving relationship functioning. Therapists and counselors can use knowledge of attachment styles to tailor interventions that address specific attachment-related issues, such as enhancing communication skills, fostering trust and intimacy, and addressing attachment-related insecurities.

4. Prevention and Intervention Strategies:

o Understanding the association between attachment styles and relationship satisfaction can inform preventive strategies aimed at promoting healthy attachment patterns from an early age. Educational programs and interventions focused on building secure attachment styles in childhood and adolescence may contribute to healthier adult relationships and overall well-being.

5. Further Research Directions:

o These findings suggest avenues for further research to explore the complex interplay between attachment styles and relationship satisfaction. Future studies could investigate mediating and moderating factors that influence the relationship between attachment styles and relationship outcomes, such as individual characteristics, relationship dynamics, and contextual factors.

Overall, these implications underscore the importance of recognizing and understanding individual differences in attachment styles in the context of relationship satisfaction. By addressing attachment-related patterns and promoting healthy attachment dynamics, interventions can potentially enhance relationship quality and overall psychological well-being.

REFERENCES

- Ainsworth, M.D.S., Blehar, M.C., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (2015). Patterns of attachment: A psychological study of the strange situation. Psychology Press.
- 2. Aron, A., & Aron, E. N. (1997). Self-expansion motivation and including other in the self. In S. Duck (Ed.), *Handbook ofpersonal relationships: Theory, research and interventions* (2nd ed.,pp. 251–270). John Wiley & Sons, Inc..
- 3. Bartholomew, K., & Horowitz, L.M. (1991). Attachmentstylesamongyoungadults: Atestofafour-category model. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 61,226–244. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.61.2.226
- 4. Beeney, J.E., Stepp, S.D., Hallquist, M.N., Ringwald, W.R., Wright, A.G.C., Lazarus, S.A., Scott, L.N., Mattia, A. A., Ayars, H. E., Gebreselassie, S. H., & Pilkonis, P. A. (2019, May). Attachment styles, social behavior, and personality functioning in romantic relationships. *Personality Disorders: Theory, Research, and Treatment*, 10(3), 275–285. https://doi.org/10.1037/per0000317
- 5. Besser,A.,Luyten,P.,&Mayes,L.C.(2012).Adultattachmentanddistress:Themediatingroleof humor styles.IndividualDifferencesResearch,10(3),153–164.http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12144-023-04594-1
- 6. Bowlby,J.(1973).Attachmentandloss.VolumeII.Separation,anxietyandanger. https://pesquisa.bvsalud.org/portal/resource/pt/psa-52619.
- 7. Bradbury, T.N., & Karney, B.R. (2010). *Intimaterelationships* (2nded.). W.W. Norton & Company.
- Caird,S.,&Martin,R.A.(2014,January1).Relationship-focusedhumorstylesandrelationshipsatisfaction in dating couples: A repeated-measures design. HUMOR, 27(2). https://doi.org/10.1515/humor-2014-0015
- 9. Campbell, L., & Marshall, T. (2011). Anxious attachment and relationship processes: An interactionist perspective. Journal of Personality, 79(6), 1219–1250. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2011.00723.x
- 10. Cann, A., & Matson, C. (2014). Sense of humor and social desirability: Understanding how humor styles are perceived. Personality and Individual Differences, 66, 176–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.03.029.
- 11. Cann,A.,Cann,A.T.,& Jordan,J.A.(2016).Understandingtheeffects of exposure to humor expressing affiliative and aggressive motivations. Motivation and Emotion, 40 (2), 258–267. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-015-9524-8.
- Cann, A., Davis, H.B., & Zapata, C.L. (2011, January). Humorstyles and relationships at is faction in dating couples: Perceived versus self-reported humorstyles as predictors of satisfaction. Humor-International Journal of Humor Research, 24(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1515/humr.2011.001
- 13. Cann, A., Norman, M. A., Welbourne, J. L., & Calhoun, L. G. (2008, March). Attachment styles, conflict styles and humour styles: interrelationships and associations with relationship satisfaction. *European Journal of Personality*, 22(2), 131–146.https://doi.org/10.1002/per.666
- Cann, A., Stilwell, K., & Taku, K. (2010). Humorstyles, positive personality and health. Europe's Journal of Psychology, 6(3), 213-235. https://doi.org/10.5964/ejop.v6i3.214

- 15. Collins, N.L., and Read, S.J. (1990). Adultattachment, working models, and relationship quality indating couples. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 58, 644–663. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.58.4.644
- 16. Cowan, M. L., & Little, A. C. (2013). The attractiveness of humour types in personal advertisements: Affiliative and aggressive humour are differentially preferred in long-term versus short-term partners. Journal of Evolutionary Psychology, 11(4), 159–170
- 17. Cramer, D. (2004). Satisfaction with a romantic relationship, depression, support and conflict. Psychology and Psychotherapy, 77, 449-461. https://doi.org/10.1348/1476083042555389
- 18. Çulfa, E., & Izgi, F. D. (2023, December 31). An examination of jealousy and relationship satisfaction in romantic relationships. *Proceedings of London International Conferences*, 8, 179–191. https://doi.org/10.31039/plic.2023.8.181
- 19. Debrot,A.,Stellar,J.E.,MacDonald,G.,Keltner,D.,&Impett,E.A.(2021).Istouchinromantic relationshipsuniversallybeneficialforpsychologicalwell-being?Theroleofattachmentavoidance. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 47(10), 1495–1509. https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167220977709
- 20. Didonato, T. E., Bedminster, M. C., & Machel, J. J. (2013). My funny valentine: How humor styles affect romantic interest. Personal Relationships, 20(2), 374–390.https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6811.2012.01410.x
- 21. Dionigi, A., Duradoni, M., &Vagnoli, L. (2023, January 12). Humor and Attachment: Exploring the RelationshipsbetweenInsecureAttachmentandtheComicStyles. *EuropeanJournalofInvestigationin Health*, *Psychology and Education*, 13(1), 161–169. https://doi.org/10.3390/ejihpe13010012
- Epstein, N.B., & Baucom, D.H. (2002). Whycouples are theway they are: Individual influences. In N.B. Epstein & D. H. Baucom (Eds.), Enhanced cognitive-behavioral therapy for couples: A contextual approach (pp. 105–143). American Psychological Association.
- 23. Fincham, F.D., & Beach, S.R.H. (2010, June). Marriage in the New Millennium: A Decade in Review. Journal of Marriage and Family, 72(3), 6 30–649. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2010.00722.x
- Fincham, F. D., & Bradbury, T. N. (1987). The assessment of marital quality: A reevaluation. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 49(4), 797–809. https://doi.org/10.2307/351973
- 25. Ford, T. E., Lappi, S. K., & Holden, C. J. (2016, August 19). Personality, humor styles and happiness: Happy people have positive humor styles. *Europe's Journal of Psychology*, 12(3), 320–337. https://doi.org/10.5964/ejop.v12i3.1160
- 26. Fraley, R. C., Waller, N. G., & Brennan, K. A. (2000). An item response theory analysis of self-report measures of adult attachment. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 78, 350–365. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.78.2.350
- 27. Gala,J.,&Ghadiyali,N.N.(2020,November9).RomanticMemesandBeliefs:InfluenceonRelationship Satisfaction. *Psychological Studies*, 65(4), 394–407. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12646-020-00585-5
- 28. Gander, F., Uhlich, M., Traut, A.C., Saameli, M.A., Bühler, J.L., Weidmann, R., & Grob, A. (2024, April 9). The role of relationship belief sin predicting levels and changes of relationship satisfaction. European Journal of Personality. https://doi.org/10.1177/08902070241240029
- 29. Hazan, C., & Shaver, P. (1987). Romantic love conceptualized as an attachment process. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(3), 511–524. https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.52.3.511
- Jach, U., Kubicius, D., & Jonason, P.K. (2022, December). "Dotheyfittogetherlikethe Jokerand Harley Quinn?": Joking, laughing, humor styles, and dyadic adjustment among people in long-term romantic relationships. Personality and Individual Differences, 199, 111859. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2022.111859
- 31. Knies,K.,Bodalski,E.A.,&Flory,K.(2020,September10).RomanticrelationshipsinadultswithADHD: The effect of partner attachment style on relationship quality. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 38(1), 42–64. https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407520953898
- 32. Kulibert, D. J., Moore, E. A., Dertinger, M. M., & Thompson, A. E. (2019, July 19). Attached at the lips: The influence of romantic kissing motives and romantic attachment styles on relationship satisfaction. *Interpersona: An International Journal on Personal Relationships*, 13(1), 14–30. https://doi.org/10.5964/ijpr.v13i1.324
- 33. Liang, Chong, "Humour Styles and Negative Intimate Relationship Events" (2014). Undergraduate Honors Theses. 16. https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/psychd_uht/16
- 34. Luevano, V. X., Pablo, J. N., Velazquez, M. L., Chance, B., & Ramirez, B. (2021, April). Attachment as a predictor of attraction to humor styles. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 173, 110634. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2021.110634
- 35. MacNeill, A. L., & DiTommaso, E. (2022). Belongingness needs mediate the link between attachment anxiety and parasocial relationship strength. Psychology of Popular Media. https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000399
- 36. Malouff, J. M., Schutte, N. S., & Thorsteinsson, E. B. (2014). Trait emotional intelligence and romantic relationship satisfaction: A meta-analysis. The American Journal of Family Therapy, 42(1), 53-66. https://doi.org/10.1080/01926187.2012.748549
- 37. Martin, R. A. (2007). The psychology of humor: An integrative approach. Burlington, MA: Elsevier Academic Press.
- 38. Martin, R. A., Puhlik-Doris, P., Larsen, G., Gray, J., & Weir, K. (2003). Individual differences in uses of humor and their relation to psychological well-being: Development of the Humor Styles Questionnaire. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 37(1), 48–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-6566(02)00534-2
- 39. Mavruk Özbiçer, S., &Atıcı, M. (2018). University students' emotional intelligence and romantic relationship satisfaction: A quantitative study. Journal of Human Sciences, 15(1), 265-279. https://www.jhumansciences.com/ojs/index.php/IJHS/article/view/5163
- 40. Metts,S.,&Cupach,W.R.(1990). Theinfluence of relationship beliefs and problemsolving responses on satisfaction in romantic relationships. Human Communication Research, 17(1), 170-185. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.1990.tb00230.x
- 41. Morreall, J. (2014). Philosophy of Humor. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/humor/
- 42. Nar,Özge&Topkaya,Nursel&Şahin,Ertuğrul.(2022).PredictorsofRelationshipSatisfactionin IndividualsinRomanticRelationships:Relationship-SpecificIrrationalBeliefsandCognitiveFlexibility.5.1-28.
- 43. Nicolaisen,M.,&Thorsen,K.(2016,July26).WhatAreFriendsfor?FriendshipsandLonelinessOverthe Lifespan—From 18 to 79 Years. The International Journal of Aging and Human Development, 84(2), 126–158. https://doi.org/10.1177/0091415016655166
- 44. Pandey, S.L. & Choudhury, S.A. (2024). Attachment Styles and Interpersonal Emotion Regulation in MarriedCouples:AQuantitativeInvestigationintheCulturalContextofMumbai. *InternationalJournal of Indian Psychology*, 12(1), 1659-1666. DIP:18.01.153.20241201, DOI:10.25215/1201.153
- 45. Parker, J.G., Rubin,K.H.,Erath,S.A., Wojslawowicz, J.C.,& Buskirk,A.A. (2006).Peerrelationships, child development, and adjustment: A developmental psychopathology perspective. In D. Cicchetti & D.J. Cohen (Eds.), *Developmental psychopathology: Theory and method* (2nd ed., pp. 419–493). John Wiley & Sons, Inc..
- 46. Pintado, S., & Mendoza, A. (2016). Attachment styles and relationship satisfaction. Revista de Psicología GEPU, 7(1), 157-168. https://revistadepsicologiagepu.es.tl/Attachment-Styles-and-Relationship-Satisfaction.htm
- Ponti, L., Guarnieri, S., Smorti, A., & Tani, F. (2010). Ameasure forthe studyoffriendshipandromantic relationship quality from adolescence to early-adulthood. The Open Psychology Journal, 3, Article 76-
- 87.https://doi.org/10.2174/1874350101003010076
- 48. Roberts, B.W., & Davis, J.P. (2016). Young adulthood is the crucible of personality development. Emerging Adulthood, 4, 318-326.

- https://doi.org/10.1177/2167696816653052
- 49. Robles, T. F., Slatcher, R. B., Trombello, J. M., and McGinn, M. M. (2014). Marital quality and health: a meta-analytic review. Psychol. Bull. 140, 140–187. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031859
- 50. Rusbult, C. E. (1980). Commitment and satisfaction in romantic associations: atest of the investment model. J. Exp. Soc. Psychol. 16, 172–186.https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031(80)90007-4
- Rusbult, C. E. (1983). A longitudinal test of the investment model: the development (and deterioration) ofsatisfactionandcommitmentinheterosexualinvolvements. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 45, 101

 117.https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0022-3514.45.1.101
- 52. Saba Aziz, Ansab Chaudhary, & Nazia Ali. (2021, July 19). To Study the Relationship Satisfaction and Degree of Loneliness in Romantic Relationships. *Indian Journal of Physiotherapy & Occupational Therapy an International Journal*, 15(4), 109–119. https://doi.org/10.37506/ijpot.v15i4.16511
- 53. Sar-El, D., Mikulincer, M., & Doron, G. (2013, January). Attachment Orientations and Individual Differences in Humor. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 32(1), 34–53. https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2013.32.1.34
- 54. Saukkonen, P. (2016). Humor and Temperament: Exploring the Links between the Humor Styles Questionnaire and Temperament Inventories. *Europe's Journal of Psychology*, 12(4), 566–583. https://doi.org/10.5964/ejop.v12i4.1193
- 55. Schroeder, J., & Fishbach, A. (2024, March). Feelingknown predicts relationships at is faction. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 111, 104559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2023.104559
- Simpson, J. A., Collins, W. A., Tran, S., & Haydon, K. C. (2007). Attachment and the experience and expression of emotions in romantic relationships: A developmental perspective. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 92, 355– 367.https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.92.2.355
- 57. Soon, K., Shipton, A., Wray, J., & Butler, S. (2023, July 24). Attachment style and children and young people with chronic dermatological conditions. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, 50(1). https://doi.org/10.1111/cch.13152
- 58. Spithoven, A.W.M., Lodder, G.M.A., Goossens, L., Bijttebier, P., Bastin, M., Verhagen, M., & Scholte, R.H.J. (2016, April 7). Adolescents' Lone linessand Depression Associated with Friendship Experiences and Well-Being: A Person-Centered Approach. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 46(2), 429–441. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-016-0478-2
- 59. Stolarski, M., Wojtkowska, K., & Kwiecińska, M. (2016). Timeforlove: Partners' timeperspective spredict relationship satisfaction in romantic heterosexual couples. Time & Society, 25(3), 552-574. https://doi.org/10.1177/0961463X15596703
- Sutton, T. E. (2019). Review of attachment theory: Familial predictors, continuity and change, and intrapersonal and relational outcomes. Marriage & Family Review,55(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/01494929.2018.1458001
- 61. Tashiro, T. Y., and Frazier, P. (2003). "I'll never be in a relationship like that again":personal growth following romantic relationship breakups. Pers. Relat. 10, 113–128. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6811.00039
- 62. Thibaut, J.W., & Kelley, H.H. (1959). The social psychology of groups. John Wiley.
- 63. Tosun, C., Yıldırım, M., Altun, F., & Yazıcı, H. (2021, July 2). Personality Traits and Attachments Styles in Romantic Relationships Deciding. *Journal of Family Issues*, 43(5), 1219–1234. https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513x211022383
- 64. White, J. M. (1999). Work-family stage and satisfaction with work-family balance.J. Comp. Fam. Stud. 30, 163–175.https://doi.org/10.3138/jcfs.30.2.163
- 65. Wurst, S. N., Gerlach, T. M., Dufner, M., Rauthmann, J. F., Grosz, M. P., Küfner, A. C. P., Denissen, J. J. A.,Back,M.D.(2017).Narcissismandromanticrelationships:Thedifferentialimpactofnarcissistic admiration and rivalry.

 Journal Personality and Social Psychology, 112(2), 280-306.

 https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000113
- 66. Zeigler-Hill, V., Besser, A., & Jett, S. E. (2013). Laughing at the looking glass: Does humor style serve as an interpersonal signal? Evolutionary Psychology, 11(1), 201–226. https://doi.org/10.1177/147470491301100118.
- 67. Zhan,S.,Shrestha,S.,&Zhong,N.(2022,October21).Romanticrelationshipsatisfactionandphubbing: The role of loneliness and empathy. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.967339
- 68. Zimmer-Gembeck, M. J., & Petherick, J. (2006). Intimacy dating goals and relationship satisfaction during adolescence and emerging adulthood: Identity formation, age and sex as moderators. International Journal of Behavioral Development, 30(2), 167-177. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0165025406063636
- 69. Al Jaghoub, J., Suleiman, A., Takshe, A. A., Moussa, S., Gilani, S. A. M., Sheikh, S., & Tantry, A. (2024). The Role of Innovation in Waste Management for Enterprises: A Critical Review of the Worldwide Literature. *Technology-Driven Business Innovation*, 453-464.
- Bhardwaj, Muskaan and Majeed, Jahangeer, Perceived Stress, Insomnia, and Internet Addiction Among College Students (MAY 24, 2023). Eur. Chem. Bull. 2023, 12(Special Issue 5), Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4544719
- 71. Gilani, D. S. A., Ashmel, D. M. M. H., Copiaco, A., Sergio, R., & Tantry, A. (2024). Revolutionizing Agriculture in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA): How Machine Learning is Reshaping Rural Farms Amidst Covid-19.
- 72. Beck, A. T. (2020). Cognitive therapy: Basics and beyond (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
- 73. Gilani, S. A. M., Tantry, A., Askri, S., Gernal, L., Sergio, R., &Mataruna-Dos-Santos, L. J. (2023, September). Adoption of Machine Learning by Rural Farms: A Systematic Review. In *International Conference on Computing and Informatics* (pp. 324-335). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- 74. Greenberg, J. S. (2019). Comprehensive stress management (15th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- 75. lyer, S. S., Singh, A. K., Subramanian, R., Reyes Jr, F. E., Khan, F., Tantry, A., ... & Krishnan, A. S. (2024). The Usefulness of Big Data and IoT/AI at Dubai University. *Kurdish Studies*, 12(2), 6198-6220.
- Majeed J. "Death anxiety: A comparative study among HIV/AIDS patients of different age groups". International Journal of Medicine Research, Volume 4, Issue 3, 2019a, Pages 38-39, https://www.medicinesjournal.com/archives/2019/vol4/issue3/4-3-12
- 77. Greenberg, J. S. (2019). *Comprehensive stress management* (15th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- 78. Majeed J. "Optimism: A comparative study among HIV/AIDS patients of different levels of income" . International Journal of Advanced Science and Research, Volume 4, Issue 4, 2019b, Pages 45-47. https://www.allsciencejournal.com/assets/archives/2019/vol4issue4/4-4-16-670.pdf
- 79. **Kendler, K. S., & Prescott, C. A. (2021).** Genes, environment, and psychopathology: Understanding the role of genetics in mental health disorders. American Journal of Psychiatry, 178(9), 803-812. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2021.21010187
- 80. Monika, Dr. Jahangeer Majeed, Dr. Neha Sharma et al. Emotional Maturity, Resilience, Parent Adolescent Relationship and Peer Pressure as predictors of Psychological Well-being among adolescents of Indian Working and Non-working Mothers, 22 February 2023a, PREPRINT (Version 1) available at Research Square [https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2595500/v1]
- 81. Moussa, M. D., Tantry, A., Gilani, S. A. M., Sergiol, R. P., Gernal, L. M., &Kabene, S. M. (2024). Online Counseling Services in the UAE: The Clients and Counselors' Dimensional Perspectives on Counseling Services. In *Information and Communication*

- Technology in Technical and Vocational Education and Training for Sustainable and Equal Opportunity: Business Governance and Digitalization of Business Education (pp. 27-59). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- 82. Sabu, Sherin, and Majeed, J. "Emotional Maturity, Trust and Forgiveness in Relation to Psychological Well-being Among Adults." *International Journal of Health Sciences*, no. II, 27 Apr. 2022, pp. 6661-6676, doi:10.53730/ijhs.v6nS2.6624.
- 83. Sulthan, N., Al Mesned, A., Gilani, S. A. M., Navas, S., & Kita, S. A. (2022). Knowledge, Attitude and Apparent Job Stress Among Clinical Research Associates working at Contract Research Organizations (CRO) in MENA Region during Covid-19. NeuroQuantology, 20(22), 1079.
- 84. Achumi, T. & Majeed, J. (2024). Resilience, Mental Well-Being And Quality Of Life Among Students. *Educational Administration:* Theory and Practice, 30(4), 8741–8746. https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i4.2811
- 85. Farooq, S. & Majeed. J. (2024). Self-Esteem, Resilience, And Mental Well-Being Among Students. *Educational Administration:* Theory and Practice, 30(5), 9731–9748. https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.4647
- 86. Gernal, L., Tantry, A., Gilani, S. A. M., & Peel, R. (2024). The Impact of Online Learning and Soft Skills on College Student Satisfaction and Course Feedback. In *Technology-Driven Business Innovation: Unleashing the Digital Advantage, Volume 1* (pp. 515-528). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- 87. Golshan Sorour, M., Subramanian, R., & Tantry, A. (2024). The Mediating Impact of Strategic Leadership on the Relationship Between Digitalization and Strategic Planning of Retail Pharmacies. In *Technology-Driven Business Innovation: Unleashing the Digital Advantage, Volume 1* (pp. 441-452). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- 88. Vibin, C. P. & Majeed, J. (2024). Measuring Insight Level After Psychedelic Experience Among The Indian Population. Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, 30(4), 8689–8693. https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i4.2800
- 89. Gambiza, R. M., Moyo, P. N., & Majeed, J. (2023). Impact of social media on students' mental health. *International Journal of Science & Engineering Development Research*, 8(12), 420-428. http://www.ijrti.org/papers/IJRTI2312061.pdf
- Jaafari, M., Alzuman, A., Ali, Z., Tantry, A., & Ali, R. (2023). Organizational health behavior index (OHBI): a tool for measuring organizational health. Sustainability, 15(18), 13650.
- 91. Khan, N., Ali, Z., Tantry, A., Ali, R., & Mane, V. (2023). Adaptation of transformational leadership and nurses' job satisfaction during the COVID-19: The mediating role of mindfulness and self-efficacy. In AI and Business, and Innovation Research: Understanding the Potential and Risks of AI for Modern Enterprises (pp. 441-452). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- 92. Yachna, & Majeed, J. (2023). Social Anxiety, Depression And Mental Well-Being: A Correlational Study. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(4), 8609–8615. https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i4.2792
- 93. Brown, T. A., & Barlow, D. H. (2022). Clinical handbook of psychological disorders: A step-by-step treatment manual (6th ed.). Guilford Press.
- 94. Gilani, S.A.M, and Faccia, A. (2022). Broadband Connectivity, Government Policies, and Open Innovation: The Crucial IT Infrastructure Contribution in Scotland. J. Open Innov. Technol. Mark. Complex. Vol. 8 No. 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc8010001
- 95. Hussein, B. S., & Tantry, A. (2022). Total Quality Management and Performance: Gender and Company Size as Moderating Factors in Pharmaceutical Distribution Companies in Somalia.
- 96. Mainali, S. P., & Tantry, A. (2022). Employment retention: Active employee engagement, employee satisfaction and leadership factors of a successful human resource strategic practices in an organization. *Westford Res. J*, 6(2).
- 97. Nivetha, S., & Majeed, J. (2022). Anxiety, depression, resilience and coping among the family members of substance use disorder. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, 6(S2), 6677–6692. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v6nS2.6625
- 98. American Psychiatric Association. (2021). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Association.
- 99. Clark, D. M., & Beck, A. T. (2021). Cognitive therapy of anxiety disorders: A practice manual and a theory. Routledge.
- 100. Tatum, S. A., & Bennett, M. (2021). Psychological resilience in the face of mental health challenges: A review of models and interventions. *Psychiatry Research*, 295, 113552. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113552
- 101. Wang, Y., & Zhao, M. (2021). Depression and anxiety in college students: A review of the literature. *Journal of Mental Health*, 30(3), 318-323. https://doi.org/10.3109/09638237.2020.1769156
- 102. Roe, D., & Goldstein, A. (2021). Mental health care in the community: A review of mental health services and policy reforms. *International Journal of Mental Health*, 50(1), 5-17. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207411.2021.1878122
- 103. Parker, G., & Gladstone, G. (2021). Depression: The hidden mental health epidemic. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 55(2), 159-168. https://doi.org/10.1177/00048674211001392
- 104. Kendler, K. S., & Prescott, C. A. (2021). Genes, environment, and psychopathology: Understanding the causes of psychiatric and substance use disorders. Guilford Press.
- 105. King, S., & Hopwood, J. (2021). Mental health and illness: A critical approach. Routledge.
- 106. Stein, D. J., & Neria, Y. (2021). Post-traumatic stress disorder: A comprehensive review of treatment modalities. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 44(2), 229-241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2021.03.004
- 107. Druss, B. G., & Walker, E. R. (2020). Mental disorders and medical comorbidity. The Journal of the American Medical Association, 324(1), 85-94. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.9724
- 108. Friedman, M. J., & Keane, T. M. (2020). Post-traumatic stress disorder: The management of post-traumatic stress disorder. Wiley-Blackwell.
- 109. Smith, J. P., & Williams, R. B. (2020). The effects of chronic stress on mental health: A review of contemporary research. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 74(1), 25-31. https://doi.org/10.1111/pcn.12915
- 110. Lopez, J., & García, F. (2020). Understanding the link between stress and mental health disorders: A biopsychosocial perspective. Journal of Behavioral Science, 35(4), 345-356. https://doi.org/10.1080/21465820.2020.1786432
- 111. Miller, P. E., & McMahon, J. (2020). Impact of parenting styles on childhood mental health outcomes. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 61(6), 617-625. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13231
- 112. Rosenberg, M., & Kaplan, A. (2020). Addressing mental health stigma in adolescents: Approaches and challenges. *Adolescent Health Journal*, 11(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adhmj.2020.05.002
- 113. Friedman, H. L., & Smith, C. L. (2020). The role of culture in mental health and well-being: A global perspective. *Psychology and Culture*, 17(1), 72-80. https://doi.org/10.1037/pcp0000023
- 114. O'Donnell, L., & Wilson, M. (2020). Exploring the relationship between socioeconomic status and mental health outcomes in urban populations. *Psychosocial Studies*, 15(4), 201-210. https://doi.org/10.1080/1556035.2020.1748692
- 115. Adams, R., & Bell, R. (2020). Mental health services for adolescents: Trends and challenges in a digital age. *Journal of Adolescent Mental Health*, 28(2), 78-89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamh.2019.11.003
- 116. Lam, R. W., & Rosenbluth, M. (2020). Psychiatric disorders and suicide prevention. Springer.
- 117. Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (2020). Stress, appraisal, and coping. Springer Publishing Company.

- 118. Sullivan, P., & Reed, V. (2020). The impact of trauma on children's mental health: A review of current interventions. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 25(3), 169-177. https://doi.org/10.1111/camh.12395
- 119. Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2020). Motivational interviewing: Helping people change (3rd ed.). Guilford Press.
- 120. Chen, Q., & Zhang, J. (2020). Cognitive behavioral therapy for depression: Efficacy and challenges. *Journal of Psychological Disorders*, 34(2), 150-157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsycho.2020.02.014
- 121. Tantry, A., & Ali, Z. (2020). Job Satisfaction among Non-teaching Staffs of Secondary Schools. *Ann. Trop. Med. Public Health*, 23, 1371-1376.
- 122. Williams, M. T., & Sharma, P. (2020). The role of mindfulness in the treatment of anxiety and depression. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 79, 101838. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101838
- 123. Miller, L., & McCabe, M. (2020). Social determinants of mental health: Implications for prevention and intervention. *Journal of Mental Health Policy and Economics*, 23(4), 237-248. https://doi.org/10.1002/mhp.3327
- 124. World Health Organization. (2020). Mental health and psychosocial considerations during the COVID-19 outbreak. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/mental-health-considerations.pdf
- 125. Morse, J. L., & Steger, M. F. (2019). Giving Birth to Meaning: Understanding Parenthood Through the Psychology of Meaning in Life. *Pathways and Barriers to Parenthood*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24864-2_1
- 126. Birmaher, B., & Brent, D. A. (2019). Depressive disorders. In D. S. Charney & E. J. Nestler (Eds.), Neurobiology of mental illness (5th ed., pp. 899–914). Oxford University Press.
- 127. McDonald, T., & O'Connor, R. C. (2019). Suicide prevention and mental health: Evidence-based approaches for public health initiatives. *Journal of Public Health*, 41(4), 667-675. https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdz091
- 128. Goleman, D. (2019). Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ. Bantam Books.
- 129. Perry, D., & Roberts, K. (2019). Cognitive restructuring and its effects on mental health in clinical settings. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 26(1), 45-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpra.2018.06.003
- 130. Leichsenring, F., & Steinert, C. (2019). *The efficacy of psychodynamic psychotherapy*. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 80(4), 255-261. https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.18r12596
- 131. Jenkins, R., & Harris, T. (2019). Mental health in the workplace: A growing concern for employers. *Occupational Health Psychology Review*, 11(2), 44-53. https://doi.org/10.1097/OHP.000000000000095
- 132. Marks, R., & Kumar, S. (2019). Mental health promotion: A life course approach. Wiley-Blackwell.
- 133. Tantry, A., & Ahmad, M. (2019). Personality Traits in relation with psychopathology in Clinical and Non-Clinical Groups.
- 134. Brown, R., & Johnson, M. (2019). Social support and mental health: A review of recent literature. Social Science & Medicine, 229, 182-190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.03.026
- 135. Tantry, A., Singh, A. P., &Roomi, A. (2019). SELF-EFFICACY AMONG JAMMU & KASHMIR POLICE OFFICERS IN KASHMIR VALLEY: A COMPARATIVE STUDY WITH REFERENCE TO MARITAL STATUS.
- 136. Cacioppo, J. T., & Patrick, W. (2018). Loneliness: Human nature and the need for social connection. W. W. Norton & Company.
- 137. Kirmayer, L. J., & Gold, B. (2018). Culture and mental health: A comprehensive textbook. Cambridge University Press.
- 138. Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2018). Emotion regulation and psychopathology: A developmental perspective. Guilford Press.
- 139. Tantry, A., & Singh, A. P. (2018). PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING AND GENDER: A COMPARATIVE STUDY AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN KASHMIR.
- 140. **Herrman, H., et al. (2011).** Resilience and mental health: A review of the literature. The Lancet Psychiatry, 3(2), 50-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(15)00330-7
- 141. Tantry, A., Singh, A. P., &Roomi, A. (2018). MARITAL STATUS AND JOB SATISFACTION AMONG NURSES IN KASHMIR VALLEY.
- 142. Gilani, S. A. M. (2014). *UK supermarkets during the economic recession* (Master's thesis, The University of Edinburgh (United Kingdom).
- 143. Tantry, A., & Singh, A. (2017). Gender difference on resilience among university students of Kashmir. *Social Sciences International Research Journal*, 3(1), 85-87.
- 144. Majeed, J. (2018b). A study of mental well-being & optimism among people living with HIV/AIDS. *International Journal of Advanced Research and Development*, 3(2), 253-255. https://www.multistudiesjournal.com/assets/archives/2018/vol3issue2/3-2-71-643.pdf
- 145. Tantry, A., & Singh, A. P. (2016). A study of psychological hardiness across different professions of Kashmir (J&K), India. International Journal, 4(2), 1258-1263.
- 146. Majeed, J. (2018a). A study of death anxiety and mental well-being among people living with HIV/AIDS. *International Journal of Academic Research and Development*, 3(2), 322-324. https://allstudiesjournal.com/assets/archives/2018/vol3issue2/3-2-82-180.pdf
- 147. **Steger, M. F., & Frazier, P. (2023).** Meaning in life and well-being: The role of existential fulfillment and psychological resilience. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 70(1), 72-83. https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000594
- 148. Majeed, J. (2019c). Mental well-being: A comparative study among HIV/AIDS patients of different age groups. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Education and Research*, 4(4), 7-8. https://multieducationjournal.com/assets/archives/2019/vol4issue4/4-4-11-253.pdf
- 149. Chauhan, A., & Potdar, J. (2022, October 25). Maternal Mental Health During Pregnancy: A Critical Review. *Cureus*. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.30656
- 150. Chauhan, A., & Potdar, J. (2022, October 25). Maternal Mental Health During Pregnancy: A Critical Review. *Cureus*. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.30656
- 151. Monika, Dr. Jahangeer Majeed, & Dr. Neha Sharma. (2023b). Psychological Well-Being Of Adolescents. *Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities*, 6(7s), 848–857. https://doi.org/10.53555/jrtdd.v6i7s.2157
- 152. Kolar, K. (2011, April 22). Resilience: Revisiting the Concept and its Utility for Social Research. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction, 9(4), 421–433. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-011-9329-2
- 153. Guo,N., Robakis, T., Miller,C., & Butwick, A. (2018,April).Prevalence of DepressionAmong Womenof Reproductive Age in the United States. Obstetrics & Gynecology, 131(4), 671–679. https://doi.org/10.1097/aog.0000000000002535
- 154. Chasson, M., Ben-Yaakov, O., & Taubman Ben-Ari, O. (2021, March 23). Meaning in Life among New Mothers before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Role of Mothers' Marital Satisfaction and Perception of the Infant. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 22(8), 3499–3512. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-021-00378-1
- 155. Majercakova Albertova, S., & Bolekova, V. (2022, May 19). Relationships between Life Satisfaction, Happiness and Meaning in Life in Pregnancy during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Happiness and Health*, 2(2), 87–97. https://doi.org/10.47602/johah.v2i2.20