

Analyzing The Legal Framework For Intellectual Property Commercialization In Indian Higher Educational Institutions: A Doctrinal Study

Unmesha Patil^{1*}, Dr. Sarika Sagar²

^{1*}Research Scholar, Vishwakarma University Pune

²Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Vishwakarma University, Pune

Citation: Unmesha Patil, (2024), Analyzing the Legal Framework For Intellectual Property Commercialization In Indian Higher Educational Institutions: A Doctrinal Study, *Educational Administration: Theory And Practice*, 30(4), 8627-8632

Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i4.2798

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
	India's remarkable ascent in the Global Innovation Index (GII), securing a spot among the top 40 countries, highlights its growing expertise in innovation, mostly driven by breakthroughs in information and communication technologies. India's innovation landscape has experienced significant growth, as evidenced by its rise from 81st place in 2015-16 to 46th place in 2021 on the GII rankings. Amidst this changing situation, the importance of higher education institutions as crucial contributors to the innovation and intellectual property landscape is becoming increasingly evident. As per the 2021-22 annual report by the office of the controller general of patent design and trademark, universities account for three out of the top five patents in the IT sector. Moreover, the increasing quantity of applications submitted in these fields reflects the Educational Institutions' dedication to innovation. This study examines the legal structure that regulates the commercialization of intellectual property in Indian higher education institutions. This paper explores the legal intricacies related to the production, ownership, and monetization of intellectual property assets in academic environments, considering the evolving innovation landscape in India and the growing influence of academic research on economic progress. The findings from this preliminary inquiry are anticipated to provide useful insights into the legal dimensions of intellectual property commercialization. These insights will drive future research and policy initiatives aiming at improving the legal framework that supports academic innovation in India.

I. Introduction:

Humans are differentiated from animals by their inquisitiveness and ingenuity, which have resulted in notable progress in diverse domains during the last 500 years, encompassing science, literature, architecture, film, software, trademarks, and industrial innovations. The accomplishments resulting from human determination and creativity are commonly referred to as Intellectual Property (IP). In order to foster innovation and originality, countries have implemented legislation referred to as Intellectual Property Rights (IPR), which bestows certain rights upon the original authors or inventors and their lawful successors. These rights empower individuals to derive financial gain from their creative works and prohibit others from using them without permission.

The IP, or intellectual property, is a crucial determinant in a nation's 'Global Innovation Index'. According to the 2021 study by the US Chamber of Commerce's Global Innovation Policy Centre, India was ranked as the fortieth out of 53 global economies on the Intellectual Property Index. Nevertheless, India falls behind countries such as China, the USA, Japan, and South Korea in multiple intellectual property (IP) categories, including Patents, Copyrights, Trademarks, Trade Secrets, Industrial Designs, Geographical Indicators, Plant Varieties, Semiconductor Integrated Circuits Layout Designs, and Traditional Knowledge. In 2020, India had a total of 53,627 patent applications from both residents and non-residents, whereas China had a far higher number of 1,400,661 patent applications.

This study examines the intricate legal structure that regulates the commercialization of intellectual property (IP) in Indian higher educational institutions (HEIs). India's strong economic expansion and focus on innovation make university research increasingly vital in promoting technical advancement and economic prosperity. Nevertheless, obstacles in converting research findings into profitable enterprises continue to exist,

encompassing concerns of intellectual property ownership, safeguarding, and the transfer of technology. This paper seeks to examine the legal elements of intellectual property (IP) commercialization in Indian higher education institutions (HEIs). It attempts to identify the main problems, analyse the applicable legislation and case precedents, and propose solutions to improve the legal framework for innovation and technology transfer. The main research goal is to comprehend the complexities of the legal framework that governs the commercialization of intellectual property and to overcome obstacles that impede the efficient transfer of technology inside academic institutions. The study article seeks to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the legislative structure governing the commercialization of intellectual property (IP), identify the legal obstacles encountered by academic institutions, and suggest measures to strengthen the legal framework that promotes innovation in Indian higher education. The study is important because it has the potential to impact policy conversations, institutional practices, and future research goals in order to create a favourable environment for intellectual property commercialization in Indian academia. The report encompasses a thorough analysis of pertinent laws, regulations, case law, institutional policies, and foreign best practices, providing a full comprehension of the legal aspects of intellectual property commercialization in Indian higher education.

II. Overview of intellectual property rights (IPR) and their significance in academic institutions:

Any products or ideas that are the result of human intelligence can be considered as possessions of humanity and are protected under Intellectual Property Rights (IPR). Research and scientific pursuits play a crucial role in creating intellectual properties, which in turn stimulate industrial and economic development for countries. These creations are subject to legal protection for a specified duration. Intellectual property rights refer to legal protections for intangible products of human intelligence, such as inventions, literary and artistic works, designs, symbols, and trade secrets. They offer a platform for exchanging and spreading knowledge for the betterment of society. In order to preserve equilibrium, certain rights are bestowed for a designated period of time. Patents, copyrights, trademarks, geographical indications, and other similar forms of intellectual property rights (IPR) are encompassed within this phrase. The main purpose of intellectual property laws is to promote the development of diverse intellectual assets.

IPR, or intellectual property rights, are crucial at academic institutions as they provide strong motivation and rewards for innovation by allowing inventors exclusive rights over their intellectual inventions. These rights not only protect the results of academic research but also enable the sharing of knowledge, transfer of technology, and commercialization. Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) play a crucial role in academic environments by enabling the dissemination of research discoveries through publications, patents, and copyrights. This process promotes the advancement of knowledge and encourages scientific development. In addition, intellectual property rights (IPR) are essential assets for universities and research organisations. They facilitate cooperation with business partners, attract financing, and generate cash through licencing and commercialization efforts. Therefore, it is crucial to acknowledge the importance of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) at academic institutions in order to effectively navigate the intricacies of technology transfer, foster innovation, and optimise the societal influence of academic research.

III. Understanding IP commercialization within the context of Indian higher educational institutions:

Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in India have a crucial role in leading advanced research and promoting innovation in various fields, including science, technology, humanities, and social sciences. Nevertheless, the process of moving from research advancements to commercialization has various obstacles, including concerns around the ownership of intellectual property (IP), the transfer of technology, securing funding, and gaining market entry. In addition, the legal and regulatory environment related to the commercialization of intellectual property in India is complex. This requires higher education institutions to carefully navigate through a complex network of laws, rules, and institutional policies.

Education has a substantial impact on economic growth, as stated by Pece. In the current competitive environment of knowledge management and technology-driven economies, Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) encounter significant difficulties in meeting market needs that are in line with social expectations, particularly those institutions that focus on professional studies with a global perspective. Higher education institutions (HEIs) face significant pressure to develop highly competent individuals who can effectively meet current demands and crises, while also fostering innovative leaders. In order to fulfil these requirements, professional higher education institutions (HEIs) must implement a methodical and progressive approach to curriculum development, ensuring that it is in line with the ever-changing expectations of society. A culture in higher education institutions (HEIs) is developed primarily through a forward-thinking approach to research activities that are smoothly incorporated into the academic curriculum and are adaptable to market needs.

Innovation acts as a powerful driver for attaining economic success and financial progress, a potential that India has not yet completely utilised. Conventional physical resources like land, labour, procedures, and goods are slowly being replaced by intangible assets such as knowledge, information, digital data, creativity, and innovation capital. These intangible assets are becoming the primary factors that drive economic growth and social progress. Nevertheless, as emphasised by Heslop (2014), India encounters obstacles such as insufficient enrollment in PhD programmes, restricted possibilities for interdisciplinary collaboration, an unsuitable

environment for innovation, and limited involvement with the industry. In a nation where a substantial proportion of researchers are working in governmental institutions, the task of connecting research and industry presents a significant obstacle. Developing successful partnerships with the sector necessitates significant dedication and navigating through administrative obstacles. As per a report by the Danish Agency for Science, Technology, and Innovation. India's business environment poses obstacles such as strict requirements for collateral when seeking funding for creative initiatives. Therefore, there is a need for enhancements to encourage investment in innovative businesses. In order to acknowledge the importance of innovation in driving national and global progress, creating jobs, fostering competitiveness, and ensuring equal access to opportunities in the 21st century, the Government of India has officially declared the period from 2010 to 2020 as the 'Decade of Innovation'.

IV. Legal Framework for IP Commercialization in India

Universities serve as knowledge-centered institutions that prioritise teaching and research, with the goal of uncovering and sharing information across many fields of study. Technology transfer involves the complete sharing of scientific and technological achievements, allowing for their unfettered utilisation and improvement. Universities and prestigious research institutions are crucial in the knowledge economy, as they actively participate in the development of science and technology through many forms of collaboration with industries. Policy makers at all levels closely monitor the safeguarding and licencing systems of intellectual property rights (IPR) in these institutions.

IP management in universities encompasses the convergence of three essential interfaces: technology management methods, legal dimensions, and business considerations. Technology management involves several activities such as research strategy, planning, contract management, invention disclosure, patent information management, search methods, and technology transfer procedures. Conversely, IP and legal elements encompass the creation of intellectual property, the transmission of information, the formulation of patent applications, the development of IP policies, legal issues, licencing, and the management of licences. The advancement of technology within universities and the legal ramifications of licencing and commercialization are crucial for the progress and advancement of the nation. The Bayh-Dole Act of 1980 in the United States implemented a standardised patent policy for federal agencies that provide funds for research.

Universities and research institutes worldwide deal with the development, administration, and monetization of intellectual property (IP) through legal regulations.

V. TECHNOLOGY COMMERCIALIZATION POLICY INITIATIVES IN INDIA

Within the changing legislative environment, there is an increasing acknowledgement among the academic and research community of the necessity to enhance collaboration with industry, despite disparities in culture and priorities. In India, the practice of academic consultancy has traditionally been given little priority or attention. Nevertheless, the lack of an established legal structure for technology transfer at both the national and institutional levels has hindered research institutions from actively seeking business partnerships. On occasion, the industry seeks the assistance of academic scholars for consultancy initiatives. Typically, the corporate sector and academic institutes establish formal relationships through memoranda of understanding (MOUs), but there are no specific norms on the ownership and transfer of intellectual property (IP). The ownership of any information produced during consulting projects generally rests with the private company that funds the engagement.

In the public sector research system, there has been a lack of emphasis on IP management as a key aspect of project management. Additionally, there has been limited focus on establishing research priorities. Recently, there has been an increased emphasis on managing intellectual property (IP) within the public research system. This has resulted in the creation of IP policies and guidelines at important national research organisations such as CSIR, ICMR, and ICAR, as well as at renowned academic institutions like the Indian Institutes of Technology (IITs) in Bombay and Delhi, and the Indian Institute of Science (IISc) in Bengaluru.

Institutions such as IISc Bangalore and IIT Bombay have IP policies that provide comprehensive instructions about extramural consulting and the resolution of conflicts of interest. In order to promote entrepreneurship at the institutional level, IISc Bangalore and IIT Bombay have established overarching organisations. For example, IISc has the Society for Innovative Development (SID), which provides assistance to teachers and students in establishing and developing their technology-based business initiatives. Similarly, IIT Bombay is home to the Society for Innovation and Entrepreneurship (SINE), an organisation that fosters entrepreneurship by offering a business incubator that assists technology-based enterprises.

VI. Examination of policies and guidelines issued by regulatory bodies

An examination of the policies and guidelines provided by regulatory entities such as the Indian Patent Office and Copyright Office provides useful insights into the practical application of intellectual property (IP) laws and regulations in India. The Indian Patent Office, under the supervision of the Controller General of Patents, Designs, and Trademarks, has the responsibility of managing the patent system in India. The organisation provides recommendations and procedural manuals to simplify the operations of patent prosecution and enforcement. The rules encompass a range of topics related to patent examination, including the standards for

determining patentability, the processes involved in filing a patent application, the examination procedures, and the subsequent post-grant proceedings such as oppositions and revocations.

Similarly, the Copyright Office, which operates under the Ministry of Education, provides regulations and recommendations to streamline the process of registering and safeguarding copyrights in India. These instructions provide clear information on the methods for registering copyrights, the necessary documentation, and the instruments for enforcing copyright laws.

Both regulatory organisations also have a substantial impact on raising awareness and implementing capacity-building programmes in relation to intellectual property. They organise workshops, seminars, and outreach programmes with the purpose of teaching stakeholders about their rights and duties within the intellectual property framework.

Stakeholders can improve their comprehension of the regulatory system controlling intellectual property (IP) in India by analysing these policies and guidelines. They possess the ability to proficiently handle the intricacies of IP registration and enforcement procedures and guarantee adherence to pertinent rules and regulations.

VII. Legal framework governing ownership rights of intellectual property created in academic institutions

Intellectual property (IP) created in academic settings is usually governed by institutional regulations, employment contracts, and applicable legislation, such as the Indian Patents Act, Copyright Act, and contractual principles. Academic institutions often claim ownership of intellectual property (IP) produced by faculty, researchers, and students through institutional IP policies. These policies might vary in terms of their extent, criteria for ownership, and distribution of rights. These rules generally specify the rights and responsibilities of artists, academic institutions, and financial authorities with regards to the ownership, administration, and commercialization of intellectual property (IP).

Nevertheless, conflicts about possession might occur as a result of ambiguous contractual agreements, contradictory institutional guidelines, or discord among partners. Legal precedents set by Indian courts play a crucial role in interpreting and resolving ownership conflicts in such cases. The Indian judiciary has resolved several ownership conflicts related to patents, copyrights, and other types of intellectual property (IP), hence developing legal principles and precedents that regulate ownership rights in academic environments. These precedents provide guidance on matters such as identifying the person who is the inventor, handling shared ownership, protecting the rights of employees, and transferring ownership stakes.

VIII. Comparative analysis of ownership policies across Indian HEIs

This comparative analysis examines the intellectual property (IP) policies of Pune University, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Bombay, IIT Kharagpur, and Delhi University. It offers insights into their unique approaches to IP ownership, management, and commercialization in the Indian higher education sector. This analysis seeks to shed light on the influence of intellectual property (IP) rules on innovation outcomes and the wider innovation ecosystem in India by comparing the clarity of ownership rights, incentives for innovation, and support for technology transfer among various institutions.

IIT Bombay has an extensive intellectual property (IP) policy that emphasises the institution's ownership rights over IP created by teachers, researchers, and students who use institutional resources or facilities. In general, the policy stipulates that IIT Bombay has exclusive ownership of intellectual property created on its facilities, although the authors are entitled to a share of the royalties or other financial advantages. In addition, the policy outlines the steps involved in transferring technology and making it commercially available. This includes creating licencing agreements and setting up new businesses that are derived from the original technology. In addition, IIT Bombay actively promotes innovation and entrepreneurship through its technology company incubator and technology licencing office.

IIT Kharagpur has a strong intellectual property (IP) strategy that aims to encourage innovation and make technology transfer easier. The policy generally affirms the institution's ownership rights over intellectual property created by faculty, researchers, and students, especially if the research is supported by institutional resources or funding. Nevertheless, IIT Kharagpur recognises the rights of creators and may grant them the ability to maintain ownership or get a share of royalties in specific cases. The institution promotes the transfer and commercialization of technology through its technology licencing office and initiatives for industrial partnership.

Delhi University's IP policy differs from that of the IITs in terms of its approach to IP ownership and administration. Although Delhi University claims ownership rights over intellectual property created by its teachers and researchers, its policy may be less strict than that of the IITs, particularly when it comes to cases involving external funding or collaborative ventures. Universities can provide creators with more choice in intellectual property (IP) ownership and allow them to either keep ownership or negotiate arrangements to share money. In addition, Delhi University fosters technology transfer and commercialization through its innovation and entrepreneurship department and programmes aimed at forming collaborations with industries.

Generally, although all three institutions place importance on innovation and the transfer of technology, their intellectual property (IP) laws differ in terms of ownership rights, the allocation of rights, and the methods used for managing and commercialising IP. The differences shown here emphasise the various strategies

employed by Indian higher education institutions to promote innovation and enhance the social influence of academic research.

IX. CONCLUSION:

The processes of academic technology transfer and commercialization are complex, involving the licencing of inventions or the creation of businesses based on university research. Several elements play a role in determining effective processes, such as the availability of research and development (R&D) resources, infrastructure, initial funding, incentives for entrepreneurs, cultural attitudes towards entrepreneurship, collaborations between universities and industries, intermediaries that facilitate interactions, and leadership from political, academic, and corporate sectors.

These endeavours produce additional advantages by fostering economic expansion through the formation of businesses based on academic technology, the provision of employment opportunities, and the generation of economic multipliers. Although numerous countries imitate the American model of commercialising technology, it is crucial to acknowledge that what is effective in one setting may not always provide the same results in another due to disparities in resources, cultures, environments, and priorities among universities, communities, and states.

Instead of exclusively striving to imitate the achievements of Silicon Valley, it is essential to investigate alternative approaches to the commercialization of technology that may be more suitable for the specific circumstances of each nation. Various nations have been implementing creative methods to encourage the process of bringing technologies to the market. For instance, several countries have prioritised the establishment of technology transfer departments within universities to streamline the process of commercialization and bridge the divide between academics and industry. Some have utilised tax incentives or financing schemes to encourage industrial participation and investment in university research.

University-led technology commercialization in India is expected to have a substantial impact on the knowledge economy. Indian universities provide extensive human resources and research skills, which have the ability to stimulate innovation and enhance economic growth by transforming innovative technology into commercial products. Nevertheless, achieving this goal would necessitate collaborative endeavours to cultivate a favourable environment that promotes entrepreneurship, fosters collaborations with industries, and offers sufficient funding and assistance for technology transfer activities. Indian universities have the potential to become significant contributors to the knowledge economy and drive technological progress and economic prosperity by utilising their academic strengths and promoting an innovative culture.

REFERENCES

1. Kevin Laland, What Made Us Unique, September 2018 Available at: <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/what-made-us-unique/>.
2. India's Rank on International Intellectual Property Index Falls from 20 in 2014 to 42 in 2023, Available at: <https://thewire.in/economy/indias-rank-on-international-intellectual-property-index-falls-from-20-in-2014-to-42-in-2023>.
3. Chandra Nath Saha and Sanjib Bhattacharya, Intellectual property rights: An overview and implications in pharmaceutical industry, *J Adv Pharm Technol Res.* 2011 Apr;2(2)
4. Ibid
5. Manoj Sharma and R. Sharma, Innovation Framework for Excellence in Higher Education Institutions. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management* (2021) 22.
6. Andreea Maria Pecea, Olivera Ecaterina Oros Simonab, Florina Salisteanu, Innovation and economic growth: An empirical analysis for CEE countries, 4th World Conference on Business, Economics and Management, WCBEM, *Procedia Economics and Finance* 26 (2015).
7. Mamta Bhusry and Jayanthi Ranjan, Implementing Knowledge Management in Higher Educational Institutions in India: A Conceptual Framework. *International Journal of Computer Applications* 29 (2011)
8. T. Aditya Srinivas and Rachagolla Varaprasad, Innovation through Collaboration: Advancing Higher Education Research. 7 (2023).
9. Jayashree B, Anirban Sarma, Vanita Sharma and Shoba Sur (Eds.) Ideas, Innovation, Implementation: India's Journey Towards the SDGs, September 2023, Reliance Foundation and Observer Research Foundation.
10. The Danish Council for Research and Innovation Policy, 2016 Available at: <https://stip.oecd.org/stip/interactive-dashboards/policy-initiatives/2023%2Fdata%2FpolicyInitiatives%2F5009>
11. Abhyankar, Ravindra, The Government of India's Role in Promoting Innovation through Policy Initiatives for Entrepreneurship Development. *Technology Innovation Management Review* (2014) 4.
12. Paul R. Sanberg et al, Changing the academic culture: Valuing patents and commercialization toward tenure and career advancement, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2014 May 6;111(18)
13. Ibid
14. Vinoth, The Evolution Of Academia-Industry Interface In India. 10 (2021).

15. Sajjan Jindal, Make in India: Unlock industry-academia collaboration Available at:
16. https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/opinion/et-commentary/make-in-india-unlock-industry-academia-collaboration/articleshow/108102038.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst
17. Ibid
18. Chandra Nath Saha and Sanjib Bhattacharya, Intellectual property rights: An overview and implications in pharmaceutical industry, J Adv Pharm Technol Res. 2011 Apr;2(2)
19. Ibid
20. Ibid
21. Ibid
22. Ibid
23. Gouri Ashok Gargate, Anindya Roy Chowdhury and Karuna Jain, IP Policy Framework- A Tool for IP Policy Development, Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, Vol 27, July 2022
24. Ibid
25. Vijay Sattiraju et al. National and Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) IP Policies: Comparison of Indian HEIs' IP Policies from a Global Perspective. Journal of the Knowledge Economy 14 (2022).
26. Ibid