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#### Abstract

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT Introduction: Due to the importance of public-key cryptography in information and communication security, it is widely employed in various applications for secure communication. Materials and Methods: multiplication and exponentiation of large numbers are used in cryptography algorithms such as RSA, ElGamal, and elliptic curve cryptography. Results and Discussion: The residue number system in these algorithms is very efficient since calculations are performed on small residues, resulting in a fast arithmetic operation, as well as lower power consumption. The modular reduction of large numbers is one of the main operations in most public-key cryptography systems, which includes large computations in finite fields. This paper presents an efficient implementation of modular reduction. To this end, arithmetic-friendly moduli were selected and employed in the implementation of the improved sum of residues reduction algorithm. The SOR algorithm using the proposed moduli set was described in VHDL language and synthesized on the Xilinx virtex7 FPGA family using ISE14.7 software. Conclusion: The results showed that, compared to the recent similar works, the implementation of the improved sum of residues algorithm using the proposed moduli set has achieved higher speed and uses less hardware resources.

Keywords: residue number system (RNS); modular reduction; modular multiplication; sum of residues (SOR) reduction; arithmetic residue.


## INTRODUCTION

Public key cryptography algorithms have an important role in information and communication security and are widely employed in various applications [1-2]. Modular addition and multiplication are the most basic components of these algorithms. With the growth of the complexity of algorithms, computational cost dramatically increases. Nowadays, with the proliferation of portable computers and electronic devices as well as the use of general-purpose or special-purpose processors, low-power and high-speed computing are very much needed. The Residue Number System (RNS) is a non-weighted number system [3], which provides parallel and fast computational operations with high accuracy. In this system, calculations are performed on residues. Many works used RNS for implementing public-key cryptography algorithms such as RSA [4-5], ElGamal [6], and ECC [7-10] where modular multiplication and exponentiation are used for very large numbers. Therefore, one of the ways to significantly increase the speed and decrease the computing power in these algorithms is to utilize the residue number system. RNS usually is used for applications such as digital signal processing [11, 12], digital filters [13, 14], image processing [15, 16], and error correction systems [17, 18].

The Montgomery modular multiplication [19] is one of the efficient methods for modular multiplication and exponentiation in public-key cryptography algorithms. For simultaneous use of the advantages of the residue number system and Montgomery modular multiplication, RNS Montgomery modular multiplication is presented in [20]. A new algorithm and VLSI architecture for RNS Montgomery modular multiplication are stated in [21] and a survey of Montgomery reduction in the context of RNS arithmetic is reported in [22]. In [23] a modern architecture which comes with two new well-formed 4-moduli RNS bases $\left\{2^{n-2}+1,2^{n-3-1}, 2^{n-3+1}\right.$, $\left.2^{n-5}-1\right\},\left\{2^{n}+1,2^{n}, 2^{n-1}-1,2^{n-1}+1\right\}$, for performing RNS Montgomery modular multiplication is offered. The main advantage of the RNS Montgomery modular multiplication method is the efficiency of this procedure in using hardware resources. The sum of residues algorithm is one of the new and important methods to perform modular reduction which was first introduced in [24]. Hardware implementation of the sum of residues algorithm was proposed later in [25]. The proposed implementation in [25] is very large in terms of area. In [10], the sum of residues algorithm [24] was employed to perform modular reduction, and general RNS moduli were chosen to implement the ECC processor. Further, by modified series/parallel implementation of the sum of residues, the proposed ECC processor has become more effective. In [10], the absence of balanced RNS moduli set was observed significantly. In [27], by introducing the correction factor $k$ to obtain an accurate result, the sum of residues algorithm was improved. Furthermore, by using a balanced and efficient 8-moduli set $\left\{2^{66-1}, 2^{66-2^{2}-1}, 2^{66-23-1}, 2^{66-24-1}, 2^{66-25-1}, 2^{66-2}-1,2^{66-2}-1,2^{66-2}-1\right\}$, a new design was represented for improving the area compared to [25], as well as the timing of its design, was improved compared to the RNS Montgomery modular multiplication. In addition, in [27], two implementations were performed for the 256bit prime field of the elliptic curve SEC 2 P 256 K 1 and the 255 -bit prime field of the ED25519 elliptic curve. In [28], a hardware architecture based on the residue number system that supports quick elliptic curve point doubling, point tripling, and point addition, based on the chosen 8-moduli set in [27], is presented.
Moduli in the forms of $2^{n}$ and $2^{n} \pm 1$ can reduce the required arithmetic operations, leading to an efficient implementation of hardware in the reside number system [3]. The use of well-formed and balanced moduli in the forms $2^{n}$ and $2^{n} \pm 1$ can significantly improve the system performance. In [9], parts of RNS bases were chosen in the forms $2^{n}$ and $2^{n} \pm 1$ for achieving higher efficiency. In this paper, to obtain the advantages of the moduli in the forms $2^{n}$ and $2^{n} \pm 1$ and the hardware implementation of the improved sum of residues reduction algorithm, the new 8 -moduli set is selected as $\left\{2^{d+6}-1,2^{d+4-1}, 2^{d}-1,2^{d-2}-1,2^{d-3}-1,2^{d-4}-1,2^{d-6}-1,2^{d-8}-1\right\}$ where $d=$ $6 b+1, b=2,3,4, \ldots, 13$, and $\langle b\rangle_{5} \neq 0,2,3$.
The novelties of the article are as follows:

2- Hardware implementation of improved sum of residues reduction algorithm with new 8-moduli set.
The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2 , the related mathematical background is presented. Section 3 explains the proposed RNS moduli selection for SOR. In section 4, a performance evaluation with recent works is presented. Finally, section 5 concludes the paper.

## MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND

## RNS background

The RNS is described in terms of relatively prime moduli set $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}$ where $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{i}, m_{j}\right)=1$ for $i \neq j$. A weighted number $X$ can be displayed as $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)$, where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}=X \bmod m_{i}=\langle X\rangle_{m_{i}}, \quad 0 \leq x_{i}<m_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a representation is unique for any integer $X$ in the range [o, $M-1$ ], where $M$ is the dynamic range of the moduli set $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}$, which is equal to the product of $m_{i}$ terms $\left(M=m_{1} \times m_{2} \times \ldots \times m_{n}\right)$ [29]. The RNS generally includes three sections: the forward converter, arithmetic unit, and reverse converter [3]. In RNS, the weighted numbers are converted to their equivalent residue numbers by a forward converter [30]. The arithmetic unit of the residue number system includes the modular adder, multiplier, and subtractor for each modulus channel [31-32]. The residue numbers are converted to their weighted equivalents in the binary system by a reverse converter to utilize the outcomes of arithmetic operations [33-34]. Reverse converter algorithms are basically based on the mixed-radix conversion (MRC) [35], Chinese remainder theorem (CRT) [36-37], new Chinese remainder-1 [38-39], and new Chinese remainder-2 [40].

## Chinese remainder theorem

The Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) [41] may be considered as one of the most fundamental results in the theory of residue number systems. Computing weighted number $X$ from its RNS representation, i.e., $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, based on the moduli set $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle x_{i} N_{i}\right\rangle_{m_{i}} M_{i}\right\rangle_{M} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $M=m_{1} \times m_{2} \times \ldots \times m_{n}, M_{i}=M / m_{i}, N_{i}=\left|M_{i}^{-1}\right|_{m_{i}}$ is the multiplicative inverse of $M_{i}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.

## Sum of residues reduction background

Sum of residues RNS modular multiplication algorithm is a novel algorithm to perform modular multiplication in the residue number system [24-25]. In SOR, calculations are performed in RNS modules. SOR [24] is a rival to Montgomery modular multiplication [19]. CRT [41] is used to Conclude a RNS algorithm for the sum of residues reduction $[10,24]$. A brief description of the sum of residues reduction is provided for understanding [24].
Display of the integer $X, 0 \leq X<m$, using CRT is given in Eq. (2). Assume two $l$-bit integers, $X$ and $Y$. Then the multiplication outcome $Z=X \times Y$ is a $2 l$-bit integer.
The presentation of $Z$ in the residue number system is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R N S(Z)=\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{N}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $z_{i}=\left|x_{i} \cdot y_{i}\right|_{m_{i}}$, and $N$ is equal to the number of moduli.
The CRT performs condition $Z<M$. otherwise, the $N$-tuple RNS set in Eq. (3) doesn't display integer $Z$. Defining $\gamma_{i}=\left|z_{i} M_{i}^{-1}\right|_{m_{i}}$, the integer $Z$ can be offered as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i} M_{i}\right\rangle_{M} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\alpha$ is an integer coefficient, which can be computed such as follows [42]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i} M_{i}-\alpha M \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reduction of $Z$ by the modulus $p$ is shown as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \bmod p=\langle Z\rangle_{p}=\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i} M_{i}\right\rangle_{p}-\langle\alpha M\rangle_{p} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The computation of $\alpha$ has been debated in [25-43]. It is shown that selecting suitable constants $q, \Delta$ and performing boundary condition of Eq. (7), $\alpha$ can be computed using Eq. (8).

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leq X<(1-\Delta) M .  \tag{7}\\
\alpha=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2^{q}} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\lfloor\frac{\gamma_{i}}{2^{n-q}}\right\rfloor+2^{q} . \Delta\right\rfloor \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

In Eq. (8), $\Delta$ is a constant-point rectification term and $q$ is an integer constant that determines the number of bits shorted of $\gamma_{i}$ terms in the sum.

## Improved Sum of residues reduction algorithm

The improved sum of residues reduction algorithm [27] is presented to compute the accurate value of " $X$ mod $p "$ straightly in the RNS representation of an integer.
Algorithm 1 shows the RNS modulus $p$ multiplication $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\} \times\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{N}\right\} \bmod p$ over chosen moduli set using improved sum of residues manner [27].

Algorithm 1: improved sum of residues reduction

$$
\text { Require: } p, \Delta, q, \beta=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N}\right\}, m_{1}>m_{2}>\cdots>m_{N}, n=\left\lceil\log _{2} m_{1}\right\rceil
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W= & \left\lceil\log _{2} p\right\rceil, T, N \geq\left\lceil\frac{2 W}{n}\right\rceil \\
& \text { Require: } M=\prod_{i=1}^{N} m_{i}, \hat{M}=(1-\Delta) M, M_{i}=\frac{M}{m_{i}} \text { for } i=1 \text { to } \mathrm{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\text { Require: pre-computed tables } & {\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left\langle M_{1}^{-1}\right\rangle_{m_{1}} \\
\left\langle M_{2}^{-1}\right\rangle_{m_{2}} \\
\vdots \\
\left\langle M_{N}^{-1}\right\rangle_{m_{N}}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}
\langle-p\rangle_{m_{1}} \\
\langle-p\rangle_{m_{2}} \\
\vdots \\
\langle-p\rangle_{m_{N}}
\end{array}\right] \text {, and }\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\left\langle M_{1}\right\rangle_{p}}{2^{W-T}} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\left\langle M_{N}\right\rangle_{p}}{2^{W-T}}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}\right] .\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left\langle\left\langle M_{i}\right\rangle_{p}\right\rangle_{m_{1}} \\
\left\langle\left\langle M_{i}\right\rangle_{p}\right\rangle_{m_{2}} \\
\vdots \\
\text { Require: pre-computed table }\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left.\left\langle M_{i}\right\rangle_{p}\right\rangle_{m_{N}}
\end{array}\right] \text { for } i=1 \text { to } N . \\
\text { Require: pre-computed table }\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left\langle\alpha \cdot\langle-M\rangle_{p}\right\rangle_{m_{1}} \\
\left\langle\alpha \cdot\langle-M\rangle_{p}\right\rangle_{m_{2}} \\
\vdots \\
\left\langle\alpha \cdot\langle-M\rangle_{p}\right\rangle_{m_{N}}
\end{array}\right] \text { for } \alpha=1 \text { to } N-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

input: Integers $X$ and $Y, 0 \leq X, Y<\hat{M}$ in form of RNS: $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{N}\right\}$. output : presentation of $Z=X Y \bmod p$ in RNS: $\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{N}\right\}$.

1. for $i=1$ to $N$ do
$\mid x y_{i} \leftarrow\left\langle x_{i} \cdot y_{i}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}$.
end
2. for $i=1$ to $N$ do
$\mid \gamma_{i} \leftarrow\left\langle x \cdot y_{i}\left\langle M_{i}^{-1}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}$.
end
3. for $i=1$ to $N$ do
for $j=1$ to $N$ do

$$
\mid \mathrm{Y}_{i j} \leftarrow \gamma_{i}\left\langle\left\langle M_{i}\right\rangle_{p}\right\rangle_{m_{j}} .
$$

end
end
4. for $i=1$ to $N$ do

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4.1 \alpha \leftarrow\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2^{q}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\lfloor\frac{\gamma_{i}}{2^{n-q}}\right\rfloor+2^{q} \Delta\right)\right\rfloor . \\
& 4.2 k \leftarrow\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2^{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i}\left\lfloor\frac{\left\langle M_{i}\right\rangle_{p}}{2^{W-T}}\right\rfloor\right\rfloor . \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. for $i=1$ to $N$ do

5.1 Calculate $\left\langle k \cdot\langle-p\rangle_{m_{i}}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}$.
5.2 $\operatorname{Read}\left\langle\alpha\langle-M\rangle_{p}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}$ from the table.
$5.3 \operatorname{sum}_{i} \leftarrow\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{j i}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}$.
end
6. for $i=1$ to $N$ do

$$
\mid z_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{sum}_{i}+\left\langle\alpha\langle-M\rangle_{P}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}+\left\langle k\langle-p\rangle_{m_{i}}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}
$$

end

## RNS moduli selection for SOR

As discussed in section 1, many works are done to select efficient RNS moduli set for effective implementation of SOR. In the work reported in [25], the $N$ general moduli are chosen with same word length. This shows that dynamic range of the residue number system is equally dispensed into $N$ moduli. The SOR implementation of [25], later is employed in the implementation of elliptic curve point multiplication reported in [10]. This work only concentrated on general moduli to show that rapid implementation of modular multiplication and missed the special attributes of the well-formed moduli. In [27], for efficient implementation of the SOR, moduli set in form of $2^{n}-2^{t_{i}}-1(n=66)$ are selected. Moduli in the form of $2^{n}-2^{t_{i}}-1$, first presented in [44] and modular reduction in RNS addition and multiplication can be realized in a adder base structure.
In order to improve efficiency of SOR implementation and employ the property of moduli in the form of $2^{n-1}$, a new 8 -moduli set, namely $\beta=\left\{2^{d+6}-1,2^{d+4-1}, 2^{d}-1,2^{d-2}-1,2^{d-3-1}, 2^{d-4-1}, 2^{d-6}-1,2^{d-8}-1\right\}$ where, $d=6 b+1, b=2,3$, $4, \ldots, 13$, and $\langle b\rangle_{5} \neq 0,2,3$, are selected. With substituting $b=11$, moduli set $\left\{2^{73-1}, 2^{71-1}, 2^{67-1}, 2^{65-1}, 2^{64-1}\right.$, $2^{63-1}, 2^{61}-1,2^{59-1\}}$ is resulted which provides 523 - bit dynamic range. Since RNS modular multiplication in the 256-bit prime field requires a dynamic range of at least 512 bits, the provided 523 - bit dynamic range makes it suitable for RNS modular multiplication in 256-bit prime field. The selected moduli set for 256-bit prime field are shown in Table 1.

In the following, theorem to prove that the selected modules are relatively prime is included.
Theorem 1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. If there exist integers $x$ and $y$ such that $a x+b y=1$ then $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$.
Proof. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$. Then $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$.
Hence $d \mid(a x+b y)$, thus $d \mid 1$. Which implies $d= \pm 1$, since gcd is the greatest, $d=1$.
Because modules are large numbers, a Python program is coded for calculations and verification.
Due to the fact that the selected modules are in the form $2^{n-1}$, it leads to fast and simple arithmetic operations in RNS [45-46] compared to the modules provided in [25] and [27].

Table 1. Co-prime moduli set $\beta$

| $2^{73-1}$ | $2^{71}-1$ | $2^{67-1}$ | $2^{65-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2^{64-1}$ | $2^{63-1}$ | $2^{61}-1$ | $2^{59-1}$ |

## Proposed RNS adder and multiplier circuits

Modular addition is basic operation in residue number system, since the modular adders are essential building blocks for sketching modular multipliers and as well as modular subtraction can be perform by using modular adders [3].
Figure. 1-(a), shows the design of a $n$-bit RNS adders.
The formula for performing the $\left(A+B \bmod 2^{n}-1\right)$ is [3]:

$$
(A+B) \bmod \left(2^{n}-1\right)= \begin{cases}(A+B+1) \bmod 2^{n} & \text { if } A+B+1 \geq 2^{n}  \tag{9}\\ A+B & \text { if } A+B+1<2^{n}\end{cases}
$$

Due to the condition $x+y+1 \geq 2^{n}$, both additions in Eq. (9) are performed in parallel, and the correct answer is selected by a multiplexer as shown in figure 1-(a).

In this paper, $n=73$ is considered.


Figure 1. a) Modulus $\mathbf{2 n}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{- 1}$ RNS adder, b) Modulus $\mathbf{2 n}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{- 1}$ RNS multiplier

Figure. 1-(b), shows the design of a $n$-bit RNS multiplier circuit.
The formula for performing the multiplication circuit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=A \times B \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result $S$ has $2 n$-bit length and divided into two $n$-bit as $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$.
Since moduli in the form of $2^{n-1}$ are selected, RNS adders and multiplier circuits are less complex compared to the circuits employed in [27] for moduli in the form of $2^{n}-2^{t_{i}}-1(n=66)$. RNS adder and multiplier for $n$ $=73$ (largest selected moduli) are implemented on the different Xilinx FPGAs family which is shown in Table 2. The results are listed based on maximum logic, net and combinational delays of the RNS adder and multiplier. Although the selected moduli set have higher bit length compared to moduli set selected in [27], the simple hardware results in noticeable improvement in delay of RNS addition and multiplication.

Table 2. Implementation results of SOR components on ARTIX7 \& VIRTEX 7 FPGAs series.

| Unit | Device | Max. Logic Delay <br> $(\mathrm{ns})$ | Max. Net Delay <br> $(\mathrm{ns})$ | Combinational <br> Delay (ns) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RNS Multiplier <br> [27] | ARTIX 7 | 16.206 | 5.112 | 21.318 |
| RNS Multiplier ( $n$ <br> 73) | ARTIX 7 | 14.987 | 2.790 | 17.777 |
| RNS Adder [27] | ARTIX 7 $^{2}$ | 6.017 | 2.303 | 8.32 |
| RNS Adder ( $n$ <br> (73) | ARTIX 7 | 3.724 | 1.550 | 5.274 |
| RNS Multiplier <br> [27] | VIRTEX 7 | 11.525 | 3.793 | 15.264 |
| RNS Multiplier ( $n$ <br> =73) | VIRTEX 7 | 10.58 | 2.499 | 13.079 |
| RNS Adder [27] | VIRTEX 7 | 3.931 | 1.469 | 5.4 |
| RNS <br> $(n=73)$ | 2.087 | 1.393 | 3.481 |  |

In order to achieve efficient modular multiplication on FPGA, DSP modules are used for implementation of $73 \times 73$-bit, $71 \times 71$-bit, $67 \times 67$-bit, $65 \times 65$-bit, $64 \times 64$-bit, $63 \times 63$-bit, $61 \times 61$-bit and $59 \times 59$-bit multipliers, that are followed by a combinational reduction logic to construct the RNS multiplier. The total number of 116 DSP resources are used for a RNS multiplier. Table 3 presents number of DSP 48E1s for multipliers.

Table 3. Number of DSP 48E1s for multipliers

| Multiplier | DSP 48E1s |
| :--- | :--- |
| $73 \times 73$-bit | 20 |
| $71 \times 71$-bit | 20 |
| $67 \times 67$-bit | 16 |


| $65 \times 65$-bit | 12 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $64 \times 64$-bit | 12 |
| $63 \times 63$-bit | 12 |
| $61 \times 61$-bit | 12 |
| $59 \times 59$-bit | 12 |

## Numerical Example

The algorithm 1 can be more clarify with the help of a numerical example with the following inputs and precomputations. The python program is used to attain algorithm outputs.
Inputs:
$T=72, q=8, \Delta=1 / 2^{4}, n=73$ (largest moduli in selected RNS moduli set), $N=8, w=256$,
$p=2^{256}-2^{32}-977$
$\Rightarrow p=115792089237316195423570985008687907853269984665640564039457584007908834671663$
$X=2^{256}-2^{35}-977$
$\Rightarrow X=115792089237316195423570985008687907853269984665640564039457584007878769900591$
$Y=2^{256}-2^{37}-977$
$\Rightarrow Y=115792089237316195423570985008687907853269984665640564039457584007775690685487$
$\mathrm{Z}=X \times Y=134078079299425970995740249982058461274793658205923933777235614437018711003908977$
68745943162383372889379037440209302592119473661603124793281120775636422817
Moduli $=\left\{2^{d+6-1}, 2^{d+4-1}, 2^{d-1}, 2^{d-2}-1,2^{d-3-1}, 2^{d-4-1}, 2^{d-6}-1,2^{d-8}-1\right\}$ when $d=67$
As a result, the moduli set is equal to
Moduli $=$ ( $9444732965739290427391, \quad 2361183241434822606847$, 147573952589676412927, 36893488147419103231, 18446744073709551615, 9223372036854775807, 2305843009213693951, 576460752303423487)

Pre-computations:
$M=m_{1} \times m_{2} \times \ldots \times \mathrm{m} 8$
$\Rightarrow M=2745919064052243879497438743837295873473890447693293058976068002372244984762364133$
4027717419099877483026047907644978036993241544937013360639638063158042558465
$M_{i}=M / m_{i}($ for $i=1$ to 8$)$
$\Rightarrow M_{i}=$
(290735489718242755532041383758754419085916617778029030286083872547310368094371070395504 6783110345933712589679553395256573968815400943615,,
476341026354368931489966129570222603465809396611818480749376067491939917886178414208430 36101050378169680592236974730005947115434580373405695)
$\left\langle M_{i}^{-1}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}=\quad$ (5386417757290016831506, $930500703993780264455, \quad 18803160785300071733$, 20472618723068709026, 3400417297457863576, 3683224619310100934, 540231240598819487, and 308591480262646799)
$x_{i}=\langle X\rangle_{m_{i}}$
$x_{i}=\quad(103079214127, \quad 8761733282863, \quad 36028762659224623, \quad 2305842974853954607$, 18446744039349812271, 9223372002495036478, 2305842974853958702, 576460717944732718)
$y_{i}=\langle Y\rangle_{m_{i}}$
$y_{i}=$ (9444732965739290426414, 8658654067759, 36028659580009519, 2305842871774739503, 18446743936270597167, 9223371899415821374, 2305842871774743598, 576460614865517614)
Step1:
for $i=1$ to $N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x y_{i} \leftarrow\left\langle x_{i} \cdot y_{i}\right\rangle_{m_{i}} \\
& x y_{1} \leftarrow 9444732865030898225312 \\
& x y_{2} \leftarrow 2361166221716380126754 \\
& x y_{3} \leftarrow 77173859857994780864 \\
& x y_{4} \leftarrow 32426085153653035174 \\
& x y_{5} \leftarrow 167675524188672 \\
& x y_{6} \leftarrow 165098543782273 \\
& x y_{7} \leftarrow 2305307169103575200 \\
& x y_{8} \leftarrow 396485711994204320
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2:
For $i=1$ to $N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{i} \leftarrow\left\langle x y_{i}\left\langle M_{i}^{-1}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}\right\rangle_{m_{i}} \\
& \gamma_{1} \leftarrow 2409161764343951463886 \\
& \gamma_{2} \leftarrow 1135107945428528244106 \\
& \gamma_{3} \leftarrow 135899592520116905117 \\
& \gamma_{4} \leftarrow 7898225949981137849 \\
& \gamma_{5} \leftarrow 5936354770407377172 \\
& \gamma_{6} \leftarrow 865739859861763338 \\
& \gamma_{7} \leftarrow 1313261649358731301 \\
& \gamma_{8} \leftarrow 83291400022900075
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3:
For $i=1$ to $N$
For $j=1$ to $N$
$Y_{i j} \leftarrow \gamma_{i}\left\langle\left\langle M_{i}\right\rangle_{p}\right\rangle_{m_{j}}$
$Y_{11} \leftarrow 681867765270114117420133393408490086262906, \quad Y_{12} \leftarrow 1411099900061574858572502791209519202960572$
$Y_{21} \leftarrow 2659261440602693086991442234037531836892694, Y_{22} \leftarrow 1376784949087910930072503697665873703143752$ $Y_{31} \leftarrow 980204674115271573830804255676847542450458, \quad Y_{32} \leftarrow 4704508928771512517554345298023264500134$ $Y_{41} \leftarrow 5974525928778090626338736042222879520850, \quad Y_{42} \leftarrow 6219390423963401984858197943939689922946$ $Y_{51} \leftarrow 36138295215720298353205959812939100460008, \quad Y_{52} \leftarrow 5607603186449701501359797610557855591304$ $Y_{61} \leftarrow 1852740078360359150364953302955205235416, \quad Y_{62} \leftarrow 1499065839605647225112824798436085160056$ $Y_{71} \leftarrow 5039251287888810431290568139652218946652, \quad Y_{72} \leftarrow 1283872360271851651556491573291643483678$ $Y_{81} \leftarrow 491689769989300091524375965533261748475, \quad Y_{82} \leftarrow 124500195025441885003600682916015173875$
$Y_{13} \leftarrow 277156753878887700315594671133777148147854, Y_{14} \leftarrow 60314557050672044604549194671628986198936$ $Y_{23} \leftarrow 11321320756411111666047826669757276652514, \quad Y_{24} \leftarrow 14676688015072631794298638578584883620874$ $Y_{33} \leftarrow 16134696147550311472725944718296707584618, \quad Y_{34} \leftarrow 3691070020767749267947470135952686755595$ $Y_{43} \leftarrow 677586882989888266168461548581148359269, \quad Y_{44} \leftarrow 272764828939575537301832998281487018274$ $Y_{53} \leftarrow 709562919591589543914750498317486374284, \quad Y_{54} \leftarrow 40821924589764152034223438594242314364$ $Y_{63} \leftarrow 28674200848581737311716771794162061462, \quad Y_{64} \leftarrow 1929369805947675906628147180441585638$ $Y_{73} \leftarrow 8741293408750378537250368265717169558, \quad Y_{74} \leftarrow 20811565554527299913474943197756653721$ $Y_{83} \leftarrow 611119128411221256767698377804925825, \quad Y_{84} \leftarrow 671741546367635644410234554027195900$ $Y_{15} \leftarrow 2777544291298458401581887747504380829296, Y_{16} \leftarrow 13367058360951236737018307614526970075440$ $Y_{25} \leftarrow 1308633779771579521369180221195667489768, Y_{26} \leftarrow 1063276267409231305401892130362762706924$ $Y_{35} \leftarrow 2036758186019779579201711235058398273323, Y_{36} \leftarrow 9731768706953119432203237321469874064$ $Y_{45} \leftarrow 36404778208831538842653845239177292619, \quad Y_{46} \leftarrow 38690366755093959725440597010117517681$ $Y_{55} \leftarrow 30991303681671164398470957602235572376, \quad Y_{56} \leftarrow 3413446951590187223582237943761751396$ $Y_{65} \leftarrow 15967564895952950879738963026865732760, \quad Y_{66} \leftarrow 6114140002827327687978607407424477548$ $Y_{75} \leftarrow 24221431625966887939302915687296292463, \quad Y_{76} \leftarrow 6054263937375943593673675653646583405$ $Y_{85} \leftarrow 1536200930104473728887011569798006725, \quad Y_{86} \leftarrow 768094022801397229756427280912258175$
$Y_{17} \leftarrow 2256773885564848812199077039693822416028, Y_{18} \leftarrow 867990622203321764436991473908291277512$ $Y_{27} \leftarrow 1063300957183257316768953115869437506490, Y_{28} \leftarrow 408963162271874569983906377861602484362$
$Y_{37} \leftarrow 166457106877758086352824464925257441561, \quad Y_{38} \leftarrow 9788219425522819515980685954231047919$
$Y_{47} \leftarrow 2273825193658014948271037477183515169, \quad Y_{48} \leftarrow 2275830807378412276399269514910278397$
$Y_{57} \leftarrow 3419832367256666925818465835841140384, \quad Y_{58} \leftarrow 3421509475336408602479721576496915776$
$Y_{67} \leftarrow 997786237018025833654249540432449978, \quad Y_{68} \leftarrow 498978312413921098839608395114602468$
$Y_{77} \leftarrow 670642552681730895134624976157078795, \quad Y_{78} \leftarrow 756907429454713464804174183322887736$
$Y_{87} \leftarrow 192022288185827710460559323881629025, \quad Y_{88} \leftarrow 32784220094420210358569677415064275$
Step 4:
For $i=1$ to $N$

$$
4.1 \alpha \leftarrow\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2^{q}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\lfloor\frac{\gamma_{i}}{2^{n-q}}\right\rfloor+2^{q} \Delta\right)\right\rfloor
$$

$\alpha \leftarrow 0$

$$
4.2 k \leftarrow\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2^{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i}\left\lfloor\frac{\left|M_{i}\right|_{p}}{2^{W-T}}\right\rfloor\right\rfloor
$$

$k \leftarrow 1846402278694734677477$
Step 5:
For $i=1$ to $N$
5.1 Calculate $\left\langle k .\langle-p\rangle_{m_{i}}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}$.

Phase $1.1=8283466596510442787559$, phase $1.2=374180066768676362797$
Phase $1.3=92393689274398591882$, phase $1.4=20282568479059962491$
Phase $1.5=16356846318752536699$, phase $1.6=8885520965668475841$
Phase $1.7=1215072663256523127$, phase $1.8=428518828954403760$
5.2 Read $\left\langle\alpha\langle-M\rangle_{p}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}$ from the table.
phase $2.1=0$, phase $2.2=0$, phase $2.3=0$, phase $2.4=0$, phase $2.5=0$, phase $2.6=0$, phase $2.7=0$, phase $2.8=0$

$$
5.3 \operatorname{sum}_{i} \leftarrow\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^{N} Y_{j i}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}
$$

$\operatorname{sum}_{1} \leftarrow 5217897958331825282912$
$\operatorname{sum}_{2} \leftarrow 1761286061702129779386$
sum $_{3} \leftarrow 75136033434331914086$
sum $_{4} \leftarrow 13053621835770138398$
sum $_{5} \leftarrow 17138598910088346420$
$\operatorname{sum}_{6} \leftarrow 2195256151132184606$
sum $_{7} \leftarrow 531093517150379851$
$\operatorname{sum}_{8} \leftarrow 271871109103727507$
Step 6:
For $i=1$ to $N$

$$
z_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{sum}_{i}+\left\langle\alpha\langle-M\rangle_{p}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}+\left\langle k\langle-p\rangle_{m_{i}}\right\rangle_{m_{i}}
$$

$Z_{1}=13501364554842268070471, z_{2}=2135466128470806142183, z_{3}=167529722708730505968$
$z_{4}=33336190314830100889, z_{5}=33495445228840883119, z_{6}=11080777116800660447$
$z_{7}=1746166180406902978, z_{8}=700389938058131267$
The results are verified as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\langle Z\rangle_{P}\right\rangle_{m_{1}}=13501364554842268070471 \\
& \left\langle\langle Z\rangle_{P}\right\rangle_{m_{2}}=2135466128470806142183 \\
& \left\langle\langle Z\rangle_{P}\right\rangle_{m_{3}}=167529722708730505968 \\
& \left\langle\langle Z\rangle_{P}\right\rangle_{m_{4}}=33336190314830100889 \\
& \left\langle\langle Z\rangle_{P}\right\rangle_{m_{5}}=33495445228840883119 \\
& \left\langle\langle Z\rangle_{P}\right\rangle_{m_{6}}=11080777116800660447 \\
& \left\langle\langle Z\rangle_{P}\right\rangle_{m_{7}}=1746166180406902978 \\
& \left\langle\langle Z\rangle_{P}\right\rangle_{m_{8}}=700389938058131267
\end{aligned}
$$

Hardware implementation of the sum of residues reduction algorithm
Three different architectures for implementation of the SOR algorithm discussed in Section 2 are introduced in [27], named non-pipe-lined (SOR_1M_N), pipe-lined (SOR_1M_P), and two parallel pipelined (SOR_2M). In this paper, these architectures are implemented using the proposed 8 -moduli set. Table 4 , shows a comparison between the implementation of SOR algorithm using the proposed moduli set and the most advanced RNS-based modular multipliers.

Table 4. Comparison of 256-bit Modular Multipliers

| Design | Platform | Latency <br> (ns) | Area <br> (KLUTs), (DSP) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (MM-PA-P) [25] | VIRTEX-6 | 14.20 | $(36.5),(2016)$ |
| (MM-PA-N) [25] | VITTEX-6 | 47.25 | $(34.34),(2016)$ |
| (MM-PA-P) [26] | VIRTEX-7 | 48.3 | $(29.17),(2799)$ |
| (MM-SPA) [26] | VIRTEX-7 | 239.2 | $(11.43),(512)$ |
| (SOR-1M-N) [27] | VIRTEX-7 | 241 | $(8.17),(140)$ |
| (SOR-1M-P) [27] | VIRTEX-7 | 173 | $(8.73),(140)$ |
| (SOR-2M) [27] | VITTEX-7 | 140 | $(10.11),(280)$ |
| [23] | VIRTEX-7 | 120.16 | (9.21), (248) |
| (SOR-1M-N) with Proposed Moduli set | VIRTEX-7 | 197.2 | $(7.16),(116)$ |
| (SOR-1M-P) with Proposed Moduli set | VIRTEX-7 | 132.6 | $(7.57),(116)$ |
| (SOR-2M) with Proposed Moduli set | VIRTEX-7 | 105.7 | $(8.93),(232)$ |

In the designs proposed in [25-26], the SOR algorithm introduced in [24] was used to perform the modular reduction. Barrett reduction [47], was used in these two designs for modular multiplication at any channel. As stated in [27], the Barrett reduction involves two multiplications and one subtraction, which isn't an optimal solution for high-speed designs. The design in [25] has a simultaneous structure to execute the modular reduction in one clock cycle. The hardware needed in this design is presented in [26], which is equal to (34.34 KLUTs, 2016 DSP) for Modular Multiplier Parallel Architecture (Non-pipelined) (MM_PA_N) and (36.5 KLUTs, 2016 DSPs) for Modular Multiplier Parallel Architecture (Pipelined) (MM_PA_P).
The design in [27] is more effective than previous works [25-26], because it consumes less hardware resources and has lower latency. The amount of hardware area needed in this work [27], for the sum of residues reduction non-pipe-lined (SOR_1M_N) design, the sum of residues reduction with pipe-lined (SOR_1M_P) design, and the sum of residues reduction using two parallel pipe-lined (SOR_2M) design is equal to (8.17 KLUTs, 140 DSPs), (8.73 KLUTs, 140 DSPs) and ( 10.11 KLUTs, 280 DSPs), respectively. The hardware needed for the parallel architecture of the RNS Montgomery multiplier reported in [23] is equal to ( 9.21 KLUT, 248 DSP), showing its improvement over previous work [27].
As mentioned in literature, a lot of works are introduced about modular multipliers for high-speed performance, but due to the dissimilar implementation technology, a direct comparison is not always conceivable. In this paper, to have a straight comparison, SOR algorithm using the proposed moduli set is implemented on the Xilinx Virtex-7 FPGA similar the previous works. Finally, from the results presented in table 4, it can be concluded that the using proposed moduli set in the implementation of the modified SOR algorithm proposed in [27], it is more efficient in terms of latency and area compared to the previous works [23,27].
In comparison with the most advanced implementations on Virtex-7 FPGA, presented in [23], SOR_2M architecture using the proposed moduli set has achieved $32.5 \%$ and $13.7 \%$ faster than SOR_2M architecture
proposed in [27] and [23], respectively. Further, SOR_1M_N using the proposed moduli set, has achieved $14.1 \%$ improvement compare to SOR_1M_N [27] in terms of area.

## CONCLUSION

This paper presents an efficient implementation of modular reduction. A new balanced and well-formed eightmoduli set $\left\{2^{d+6}-1,2^{d+4-1}, 2^{d-1}, 2^{d-2}-1,2^{d-3-1}, 2^{d-4-1}, 2^{d-6}-1,2^{d-8}-1\right\}$ is selected and employed in the implementation of the improved sum of residues algorithm. SOR algorithm using the proposed moduli set is described in VHDL language and synthesized by the ISE14.7 software on Xilinx virtex7 FPGA. The synthesis results illustrated that, compared to the latest work in literature, SOR_2M using the proposed moduli set has achieved $13.7 \%$ improvement in speed and SOR_1M_N with proposed moduli set, uses less hardware resources compared to the best work in literature.
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