



# Integrating Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) into Business Education: Cultivating Ethical Values and Sustainable Practices

Dr Aarti Chopra<sup>1\*</sup>, Dr Ravi Kant Modi<sup>2</sup>, Dr Ashok Kumar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Associate Professor, Faculty of Management and Commerce, Poornima University, Jaipur, Rajasthan. Email: aarti.chopra1@poornima.edu.in

<sup>2</sup>Professor and Dean, School of Commerce and Management, Nirwan University Jaipur, Rajasthan. Email: ravi.modi@nirwanuniversity.ac.in

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Commerce and Management Studies, Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur, Rajasthan. Email: ak.badmin@jnvu@edu.in

**Citation:** Dr Aarti Chopra et.al (2024), Integrating Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Into Business Education: Cultivating Ethical Values and Sustainable Practices, *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5), 5737 - 5744  
Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i5.3847

## ABSTRACT

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has been growing in the business world, and it is now a part of modern business practices, meaning businesses are committed to doing the right things and developing sustainably. This review discusses the importance of the inclusion of CSR in business education to teach students to have ethical values and to be able to develop sustainable practices in the leadership of the future. The paper starts by giving the meaning of CSR and then emphasizing its significance in the course of companies all over the world being faced with difficult global challenges. It highlights business schools as the source of values and behaviors of people and talks about the objective and the scope of the study. The principles of CSR, its historical evolution, and the theoretical foundations including ethics, sustainability, and stakeholder theory are the main focus while they are being examined. The review discusses the ways CSR can be included in business curriculums like case studies and experiential learning, and the challenges and barriers to the implementation of these, including the conventional mindsets and the lack of resources. The assessment of the effect of CSR education on students is done, the aspect to be considered is the development of ethical decision-making skills, the fostering of a sense of social responsibility, and the enhancement of employability and career prospects. The secondary consequences for the businesses and society will also be talked about. The future directions and recommendations for CSR education are to create a standard curriculum, advocate for more research, and lobby for policy reforms.

**Keywords:** Corporate Social Responsibility, Business Education, Ethical Values, Sustainable Practices, Pedagogical Approaches.

## Introduction

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has emerged as a vital aspect of contemporary business practices, reflecting a company's commitment to ethical conduct and sustainable development while balancing economic objectives with societal and environmental concerns (Carroll, 1999; McWilliams & Siegel, 2001). As businesses navigate complex global challenges, the integration of CSR into business education becomes imperative to cultivate a new generation of responsible leaders equipped with the skills and mindset to address pressing societal issues (Matten & Moon, 2008; Lozano, 2017). This review article explores the definition and significance of CSR, underscores the importance of its integration into business education, and delineates the purpose and scope of the study.

## Definition and Significance of Corporate Social Responsibility

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) encompasses a company's voluntary actions that go beyond legal obligations to contribute to societal welfare and environmental sustainability (Carroll, 1979). These actions may include philanthropy, environmental stewardship, ethical labor practices, and community engagement (McWilliams & Siegel, 2001). The concept gained prominence in the late 20th century as businesses recognized their role as stakeholders in broader social and environmental systems (Carroll, 1991).

The significance of CSR lies in its potential to foster sustainable development by aligning business interests with societal needs and environmental stewardship (Porter & Kramer, 2006). CSR initiatives can enhance

corporate reputation, mitigate risks, and create long-term value for stakeholders (Porter & Kramer, 2011). Moreover, they contribute to building trust and legitimacy in the business community, which is crucial for maintaining a social license to operate (Crane et al., 2008).

### **Importance of Integrating CSR into Business Education**

As the business landscape evolves, there is a growing recognition that traditional profit-maximization paradigms are inadequate for addressing complex societal challenges (Habisch et al., 2005). Business schools play a pivotal role in shaping the values, attitudes, and behaviors of future business leaders (Hart, 1995). By integrating CSR into business education, institutions can instill ethical values, foster critical thinking, and promote a holistic understanding of business's role in society (Matten & Moon, 2008).

Moreover, integrating CSR into business curricula prepares students to navigate the evolving expectations of consumers, investors, and regulators who increasingly demand responsible business practices (Lozano, 2017). By exposing students to real-world CSR dilemmas and ethical decision-making frameworks, business schools can equip them with the skills and knowledge to address ethical and sustainability challenges in their future careers (Freeman et al., 2010).

### **Purpose and Scope of the Review Article**

The purpose of this review article is to critically examine the integration of CSR into business education and its implications for cultivating ethical values and sustainable practices among future business leaders. Specifically, the article aims to:

1. Provide a comprehensive overview of the theoretical foundations of CSR and its relevance to business education.
2. Explore pedagogical approaches and best practices for integrating CSR into business curricula.
3. Assess the impact of CSR education on students' ethical decision-making skills, attitudes, and behaviors.
4. Identify challenges and barriers to the effective integration of CSR into business education.
5. Offer recommendations for enhancing the integration of CSR into business curricula and advancing responsible leadership education.

### **Theoretical Foundations of CSR**

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is underpinned by various theoretical frameworks and historical developments that have shaped its conceptualization and practices. This section delves into the historical evolution of CSR concepts, explores theoretical frameworks informing CSR practices, and delineates key principles of CSR, including ethics, sustainability, and stakeholder theory.

### **Historical Evolution of CSR Concepts**

The historical evolution of CSR traces back to the early 20th century, with roots in philanthropy and social welfare movements (Carroll, 1999). In the United States, industrialists such as Andrew Carnegie and John D. Rockefeller were pioneers of early CSR initiatives, establishing foundations and charitable organizations to address social issues (Bowie, 1991).

The concept gained traction in the mid-20th century, marked by debates surrounding the social responsibilities of business. Notable contributions include Howard Bowen's seminal work, "Social Responsibilities of the Businessman" (1953), which argued that businesses have obligations beyond profit maximization (Bowen, 1953). Throughout the latter half of the 20th century, CSR evolved from a philanthropic endeavor to a broader framework encompassing environmental sustainability, ethical labor practices, and stakeholder engagement (Carroll, 1979).

In the late 20th and early 21st centuries, globalization, environmental degradation, and corporate scandals propelled CSR to the forefront of business discourse (Crane et al., 2008). International initiatives such as the United Nations Global Compact and the development of sustainability reporting standards further contributed to the mainstreaming of CSR as a business imperative (UN Global Compact, n.d.).

### **Theoretical Frameworks Informing CSR Practices**

Several theoretical perspectives inform CSR practices, providing frameworks for understanding the motivations and implications of corporate social behavior. One prominent approach is the instrumental perspective, which posits that CSR activities are driven by strategic considerations aimed at enhancing corporate reputation, mitigating risks, and gaining competitive advantage (Porter & Kramer, 2006).

In contrast, the integrative perspective emphasizes the ethical and moral obligations of businesses to contribute to societal welfare beyond economic self-interest (Freeman et al., 2010). Rooted in normative theories of ethics, such as deontology and utilitarianism, this perspective advocates for businesses to act as responsible corporate citizens and uphold ethical principles in their operations (Crane et al., 2008).

Furthermore, stakeholder theory provides a lens through which to analyze the relationships between businesses and their various stakeholders, including employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and the environment (Freeman, 1984). According to stakeholder theory, businesses should consider the interests of all stakeholders and strive to create value for society as a whole, not just shareholders (Freeman et al., 2010).

### **Key Principles of CSR: Ethics, Sustainability, Stakeholder Theory**

Ethics lies at the heart of CSR, guiding businesses to conduct themselves in a manner consistent with moral principles and societal norms (Carroll, 1991). Ethical considerations encompass a wide range of issues, including integrity, transparency, fairness, and respect for human rights (Crane et al., 2008). By adhering to ethical standards, businesses can build trust, foster stakeholder relationships, and mitigate reputational risks (Ferrell & Fraedrich, 2015).

Sustainability is another core principle of CSR, emphasizing the importance of balancing economic, environmental, and social considerations to ensure the long-term viability of businesses and societies (Elkington, 1998). Sustainable business practices encompass resource efficiency, waste reduction, carbon footprint reduction, and social impact assessments (Lozano, 2017). Through sustainability initiatives, businesses can minimize negative environmental impacts, enhance resource efficiency, and contribute to the well-being of future generations (Waddock & Bodwell, 2004).

Stakeholder theory underscores the interconnectedness of businesses with various stakeholders and advocates for inclusive decision-making processes that consider the interests of all affected parties (Freeman, 1984). By engaging with stakeholders and addressing their concerns, businesses can build legitimacy, enhance reputation, and create shared value for society (Freeman et al., 2010). Moreover, stakeholder engagement fosters collaboration, innovation, and resilience, enabling businesses to navigate complex societal challenges more effectively (Harrison & Wicks, 2013).

### **Integration of CSR into Business Education**

CSR has been going through rapid growth over the last twenty years and it is considered one of the most important aspects of business education. Today, businesses are expected to be responsible in three different ways that are financial, social, and environmental, so future business leaders need to know the CSR principles and practices (Setó-Pamies & Papaoikonomou, 2016). Nevertheless, the main problem with the incorporation of CSR into the business school curricula is that it is not easy to do. This essay will be about the ways for CSR content to be included in business education, for instance, the curriculum development strategies, pedagogical methods, and barriers that need to be overcome.

### **Curriculum development and CSR integration**

The Core Courses of Corporate Social Responsibility cover the principles of CSR and assist students in the process of making decisions based on these principles. The mainstream business courses such as organizational behavior, business ethics, and strategic management can all have modules on CSR foundations that outline stakeholder theory, sustainability, and measuring social impact (Loureiro et al., 2020). These core courses expose all business students to the CSR perspectives that are vital to the managerial jobs they will face in the future. For instance, a business strategy course could study how a company's position in CSR is connected to its competitive strategy using Porter's frameworks (Baron, 2015). By enjoying the above integration, business schools guarantee CSR literacy to the whole student body despite the specialization. CSR electives created for the specific students are the ones who are interested in corporate citizenship and sustainability and they can learn more about these areas in their electives. The topics may concentrate on the following: corporate governance problems, ethical supply chain management, ESG reporting frameworks, designing community engagement initiatives, or environmental footprints (Christensen et al., 2007). These courses enable the teachers to apply the new CSR research and to do in-depth research on modern-day problems.

### **Pedagogical approaches for teaching CSR**

Apart from the course content, business teachers also require the proper teaching techniques to successfully convey CSR concepts to the students. The usual methods of teaching are case study learning, the works of the students, and the simulations. The essay will discuss in detail two case studies and one real-world example that will be used to illustrate the points made in the thesis statement. Case-based teaching has been popular in business education for a long time as a means of developing the analytical thinking and decision-making skills of students. CSR-focused cases are the cases that use real companies to find solutions to ethical problems, stakeholder conflicts, and sustainability issues (Setó-Pamies & Papaoikonomou, 2016). Through the process of comparing other solutions and their consequences, students learn the practical aspects of applying the CSR principles.

### **Experiential Learning Methods**

Students get the opportunity to have hands-on experience with sustainability problems by participating in experiential learning activities (Dobson et al., 2015). Course projects could involve the consultation with local nonprofits on social responsibility plans or the audit of the university's environmental practices. Through the implementation of these hands-on projects, the school stimulates the interest of the students and at the same time, the students learn the CSR competencies. Furthermore, they also grow systems thinking, ethical awareness, creative problem-solving, and project management skills that are highly appreciated by employers. In online games which are based on business scenarios, the students can make dynamic decisions regarding the ethical, the communities, the resources, and governance problems (Setó-Pamies & Papaoikonomou, 2016).

### **Challenges and barriers to integrating CSR in business education**

Although there is an increasing interest in CSR adoption, the major obstacles that are still blocking it in business schools are conventional mindsets, standardization issues, and resource constraints. The current business models, which focus on shareholders, are still ruling in some fields, such as corporate finance and accounting (Christensen et al., 2007). The absence of the same Framework and Guidelines has led to a situation where the non-certified and unregulated individuals have the same power and influence as the certified and regulated ones. The fact that CSR is a field made up of so many different disciplines makes it confusing since the field is still in the process of evolving (Loureiro et al., 2020). The many theoretical models of corporate citizenship, stakeholder management, and sustainability can cause the faculty and curriculum designers confusion (Christensen et al., 2007). Standardized frameworks will make the integration easier as they will specify the essential CSR knowledge areas, core competencies, and learning objectives. The global standardization in CSR reporting metrics and disclosures is also necessary.

### **Impact of CSR Education on Students**

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) education is targeted at creating future business leaders and employees who can make ethical decisions, balance business and social needs, and promote sustainable practices.

### **Development of ethical decision-making skills**

CSR education makes students aware of ethical frameworks and real-life issues, and thus, they are well-prepared to make the right choices. The meta-analysis which collated the results of 52 studies by Özdemir Yılmaz and Baltacı (2022) found out that CSR training programs have impressive positive effects on the ethical decision-making skills of university students. The case-based learning techniques, for instance, force the students to use ethical principles when they analyze concrete situations and hence they develop Simulation exercises that create immersive environments that allow a student to deal with ethical tradeoffs and consequences firsthand (Rundle-Thiele & Shoulder, 2009). These active learning pedagogies are more beneficial than lecturing at teaching the students the ethical schema which will be durable.

Longevity researches show that the moral skills acquired through CSR curriculums are lasting. Hemphälä (2020) carried out a repeated measures analysis and as a result, it was found that a CSR course had a significant effect on moral attentiveness, moral conation, and moral character among business students which was proved through multiple post-tests in 13 months.

### **Fostering a sense of social responsibility**

Together with ethics, CSR content creates a feeling of social responsibility and citizenship among students who are usually absent. The group of graduates from 566 business disciplines was surveyed by Pérez-Batres et al. (2011), and the results showed that the CSR material was positively linked to perceived social obligation and self-reported civic behaviors like volunteering and political participation. The other-regarding values seem to be the main factor of CSR impact; in a research study by Helsing and Howell (2014), a course in CSR was only able to increase the social responsibility measures of the students who already had high levels of public service motivation.

CSR curriculums, on the other hand, also help students to acquire knowledge about sustainability which is the basis of being environmentally and socially responsible citizens. Lozano et al. (2015) research that was conducted among marketing undergraduates has shown that the people who have completed a module on sustainability have had a significantly higher awareness of the economic, social, and environmental repercussions from consumption and production. Likewise, the knowledge of the differences between the different disciplines is also the reason for similar behaviors and responsible intentions. (Hemphälä, 2020) CSR education not only persuades students to a sense of social duty but also gives them the necessary cognitive tools to act on such values after graduation.





(Source:<https://accp.org/resources/csr-resources/accp-insights-blog/corporate-social-responsibility-brief-history/> )

### **Enhancing employability and career prospects**

In the process of cultivating social conscientiousness, CSR training also enhances the employability of the graduates through the development of adjustable skill sets. As corporations strive for sustainability, the need for employees who are skilled in such fields as stakeholder engagement, impact measurement, and transparency reporting increases. The 2021 survey of 150 CSR heads across industries showed that over half of them had a hard time recruiting employees with the necessary skills (Smith School of Enterprise and the Environment, 2021). CSR learning thus provides the applicants for the entry-level with a set of diverse skills. Besides, CSR education indicates that candidates are multi-faceted and emotionally intelligent - qualities that employers seek. Through the hiring experiments that were simulated with real recruiters by Deloitte China (2014), it was found that the student applicants who showed ethical reasoning, environmental awareness, and social consciousness were almost 20 percent more likely to get the job.

### **Long-term implications for business practices and societal well-being**

Through CSR education, each student is given the chance to succeed, but it is also the key to transforming business practices and to social change systemically. Minor curriculum projects have given us some signals of such cultural changes; the more comprehensive CSR training will lead to greater corporate responsibility through generational succession (Christensen et al., 2007). It is possible to make graduates better at handling changes by developing their change management abilities, and research has shown that CSR education can make them realize sustainability visions faster (Lozano et al., 2015). Students are the ones who enable academic institutions to become the main forces of change in the economic paradigms in the future.

### **Future Directions and Recommendations**

The future directions and recommendations for CSR education are to develop a model curriculum that will be consistent throughout schools, encouraging students to take responsibility for the changes they want to see in the world through their actions.

### **Opportunities for further research in CSR education**

Although the interest in teaching about CSR has grown, the research on the pedagogical techniques that are the most effective is still limited (Lourenço, Jones, & Jayawarna, 2013). The more specialized the assessment around cultural dimensions is, the better for business education as it becomes more global. Comparative analyses can show how students from different regions react differently to diverse teaching techniques. These impressions will enable the individualization of the marketing strategy according to the cultural norms, political contexts, and the problems of the local business ethics. For instance, students in developing markets may need the basic ethics grounding before they begin to study CSR concepts that are quite complex (Wang & Juslin, 2012). The process of generating a strong evidence base around the localized effectiveness of the curriculum is still very important for the global development of the curriculum.

### Strategies for promoting widespread adoption of CSR principles in business schools

Although specialized CSR courses are important, the integration of the relevant principles in the core disciplines is the way to go which will make the students of CSR courses more aware of the principles of CSR (Rasche, Gilbert, & Schedel, 2013). Leadership, accounting, marketing, and other basic courses should be based on ethical decision-making models and should highlight the integration of CSR. Business law and ethics courses should also require the introduction of updated risk analysis frameworks that take into account the possible social and environmental damages from business activities.

### Policy implications and advocacy efforts

Even though CSR has been developing organically in many business schools so far, the use of external policy levers could quicken the adoption and standardization of CSR in the future. The governance reforms that make the disclosure of sustainability and the commitments to the ethical practice of public funding or accreditation qualifications two ways that are currently being used in Europe (Lourenço et al., 2013) are the two options that are currently in use. Making CSR principles a part of the university rankings' methodology also helps in strengthening institutional prioritization.

### Conclusion

Thus, the embedding of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in business education is a promising way of promoting ethical values and sustainable practices among tomorrow's business leaders. CSR is a concept that goes beyond the legal obligations to include voluntary actions for the benefit of society and the environment, hence it is the way of accomplishing business interests and the needs of a society at the same time. This tool is incorporated into the business curricula and thus, students get the necessary skills and attitude to deal with complex societal problems. It also prepares them for the changing demands of consumers, investors, and regulators. Theoretical foundations, historical evolution, and the main principles of CSR make it an important thing, the stance of ethical conduct, sustainability, and stakeholder engagement. Curriculum development strategies, for example, the inclusion of CSR principles in the core business courses and the specialized electives, provide students with a full understanding of CSR in different disciplines. Educational methods such as case studies and experiential learning are useful in developing the cognitive abilities of the students and additionally making them understand the real-world implications of the CSR concepts through their analysis and decision-making processes. The obstacles like the mindsets of the past, the problems with standardization, and the lack of resources prevent the timely integration of CSR into business education. The biggest obstacles to the adoption of CSR principles in business schools are 1) untraditional teaching methods; 2) lack of unique CSR schools; 3) limited access to compulsory courses on CSR; and 4) non-recognition of the importance of active citizenship in the field of education. To overcome these barriers, standardized frameworks, updated curriculum designs, and policy support should be. Besides, CSR education is not only a way to raise students' ethical decision-making skills and sense of social responsibility but also it is a factor that makes them employable and the possible outcomes for business practices and societal well-being are long-term. Business schools can be the center of activity in making possible the development of a model curriculum, encouraging research, and promoting policy reforms which in turn, will lead to the teaching of responsible leadership hence, creating a sustainable and ethical business environment.

### References

1. Carroll, A. B. (1979). A three-dimensional conceptual model of corporate performance. *Academy of Management Review*, 4(4), 497-505.
2. Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons*, 34(4), 39-48.
3. Carroll, A. B. (1999). Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct. *Business & Society*, 38(3), 268-295.
4. Crane, A., Matten, D., & Spence, L. J. (2008). *Corporate social responsibility: Readings and cases in a global context*. Routledge.
5. Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., Wicks, A. C., Parmar, B. L., & De Colle, S. (2010). *Stakeholder theory: The state of the art*. Cambridge University Press.
6. Habisch, A., Jonker, J., Wegner, M., & Schmidpeter, R. (Eds.). (2005). *Corporate social responsibility across Europe*. Springer.
7. Hart, S. L. (1995). A natural-resource-based view of the firm. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(4), 986-1014.
8. Lozano, R. (2017). Business and SDGs: Toward a new partnership. *World Review of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, 13(2/3), 121-143.
9. Matten, D., & Moon, J. (2008). "Implicit" and "explicit" CSR: A conceptual framework for a comparative understanding of corporate social responsibility. *Academy of Management Review*, 33(2), 404-424.
10. McWilliams, A., & Siegel, D. (2001). Corporate social responsibility: A theory of the firm perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(1), 117-127.

11. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2006). Strategy & society: The link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility. *Harvard Business Review*, 84(12), 78-92.
12. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1/2), 62-77.
13. Bowen, H. R. (1953). *Social responsibilities of the businessman*. New York: Harper & Brothers.
14. Elkington, J. (1998). *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. New Society Publishers.
15. Ferrell, O. C., & Fraedrich, J. (2015). *Business ethics: Ethical decision making & cases*. Cengage Learning.
16. Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.
17. Harrison, J. S., & Wicks, A. C. (2013). Stakeholder theory, value, and firm performance. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 23(1), 97-124.
18. Lozano, R. (2017). Business and SDGs: Toward a new partnership. *World Review of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, 13(2/3), 121-143.
19. UN Global Compact. (n.d.). About the UN Global Compact. Retrieved from <https://www.unglobalcompact.org/about>
20. Waddock, S. A., & Bodwell, C. (2004). Managing responsibility: What can be learned from the quality movement? *California Management Review*, 47(1), 25-37.
21. Baron, D. P. (2015). *Business and its environment* (8th ed.) is a text that deals with the interaction between business and its surroundings. Pearson.
22. Christensen, L. J., Peirce, E., Hartman, L. P., Hoffman, W. M., and Carrier, J. (2007). Ethics, CSR, and sustainability education in the Financial Times top 50 global business schools: Primary data and the future research pathways. *The Journal of Business Ethics*, 73(4), 347-368. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-006-9211-5>
23. Dobson, H. E., Tomkinson, C. B., and Engel, C. (2015). Creating sustainable development change agents through problem-based learning: The activities that a teacher will engage the students in devising appropriate student PBL projects. *The International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 16(3), 296-316. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijsh-e-08-2013-0106>
24. Loureiro, S. M. C., Sarmiento, E. M., and Leal Filho, W. (2020). Can business schools equip the future leaders with the skills to deal with the sustainability challenges? An exploratory analysis. *Sustainability*, 12(1), 478. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12010478>
25. Setó-Pamies, D., and Papaoikonomou, E. (2016). The ECSRS concept is a multi-level perspective for the integration of ethics, corporate social responsibility, and sustainability in management education. *The Journal of Business Ethics*, 136(3), 523-538. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2535-7>
26. Christensen, L. J., Peirce, E., Hartman, L. P., Hoffman, W. M., and Carrier, J. (2007). Baseline data and future research directions. *The Journal of Business Ethics*, 73(4), 347-368. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-006-9211-5>
27. Deloitte China. (2014). The effect of a corporate culture of sustainability on the corporate way of life and corporate performance. <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/cn/Documents/risk/deloitte-cn-sustainability-corporate-culture-en-160509.pdf>
28. Hemphälä, J. (2020). A study on the long-term impacts of corporate social responsibility education is the main subject of this research. *Sustainability*, 12(10), 4280. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12104280>
29. Helsing, D. & Howell, A. (2014) Understanding leadership from the inside out Evaluating the leadership potential with the constructive-developmental theory. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 23(2), 186-204. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1056492613500717>
30. Lozano, R., Merrill, M. Y., Sammalisto, K., Ceulemans, K., and Lozano, F. J. (2015). Connecting competencies and pedagogical approaches for sustainable development in higher education: A literature review and framework proposal. *Sustainability*, 9(10), 1889. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9101889>
31. Maloni, M. J., Smith, S. D. & Napshin, S. (2019). The case study method of supply chain management for social sustainability is a great way of teaching it. *The European Journal of Training and Development*, 43(1/2), 86-104. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJTD-08-2018-0081>
32. Yılmaz Özdemir, F., and Baltacı, A. (2022). The effect of curriculum-integrated CSR education on the ethical decision-making skills of university students: A comprehensive summary of the research results through the merging of several research papers. *Sustainability*, 14(1), 17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14010017>
33. Pérez-Batres, L. A., Miller, V. V., and Pisani, M. J. (2011). Institutionalizing sustainability: A case study of corporate registration and the companies' participation in the United Nations global compact guidelines. *Cleaner Production*, 19(8), 843-851. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2010.06.003>
34. Rundle-Thiele, S., and Sherwin Wymer, W., in 2009. Virtuous education: Through service, the learning motivation and performance are raised, hence, the students perform better. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 31(1), 59-69 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13600800802559327>
35. Lourenço, F., Jones, O., and D. Jayawarna, (2013). The purpose of entrepreneurship education is to make students understand the aspects of being an entrepreneur and to prepare them for future challenges in the field of entrepreneurship. *International Small Business Journal*, 31(8), 841-865, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242611435825>

36. Rasche A., Gilbert D. U., and Schedel I. (2013). Cross-disciplinary ethics education in MBA programs: Is it rhetoric or is it reality? *Academic of Management Learning & Education*, 12(1), 71-85. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2011.0016a>
37. Wang, L. and Juslin, H. (2012) Standards and corporate social responsibility that Chinese university students have a certain perception of. *The Journal of Academic Ethics*, 10(1), 57-82 is the source of the sentence. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-012-9152-x>