**Educational Administration: Theory and Practice**
2024, 30(5), 6479 - 6483
ISSN: 2148-2403
https://kuey.net/
**Research Article**

# Prediction Of Strength Properties Of Ultra High-Performance Concrete By Using Artificial Intelligence And Machine Learning Techniques

Vaishali Mendhe[1*], Dr. Ketaki Kulkarni[2], Dr.M. Nithya[3],Festus Olutoge[4] , Dr Srihari Vedartham[5]
Aaron Anil Chadee[6]

[1*]Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engineering, Nagpur, Hingna Road, Wanadongri, Nagpur-441110, Maharashtra, India.
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Dr. Vishwanath Karad MIT World Peace University, Pune, Maharashtra, India
[3]Associate Professor, School of Civil and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, JSPM University Pune, Maharashtra – 412207, India.
[4]Professor and Head, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of the West Indies, St. Augustine Campus, Trinidad and Tobago
[5]Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, National Institute of Construction Management and Research (NICMAR)-H, Shamirpet, Aliabad PO, Hyderabad-500101, Telangana, India.
[6]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of the West Indies, St Augustine, Trinidad.

**Citation** Vaishali Mendhe Et.Al (2024), Prediction Of Strength Properties Of Ultra High-Performance Concrete By Using Artificial Intelligence And Machine Learning Techniques Educational *Administration: Theory And Practice, 30(5), 6479 - 6483*
*Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i5.3965*

**ARTICLE INFO** **ABSTRACT**

Super elite execution concrete (UHPC) is an as of late evolved material that has drawn in impressive consideration in structural designing because of its extraordinary qualities. One vital figure substantial plan is the compressive strength (CS) of UHPC. As a strong device in man-made reasoning (computer-based intelligence), AI (ML) can precisely foresee cement's mechanical properties. Hyperparameter tuning is urgent for guaranteeing the expectation model's dependability, however it is mind boggling. This study means to advance the CS expectation technique for UHPC. Three ML techniques — irregular woods (RF), support vector machine (SVM), and k-closest neighbor (KNN) — are chosen to anticipate the CS of UHPC. The RF model shows predominant prescient precision, with a R2 of 0.8506 on the testing dataset. Moreover, three meta-heuristic improvement calculations — molecule swarm streamlining (PSO), scarab radio wire search (BAS), and snake enhancement (SO) — are utilized to upgrade the forecast model hyperparameters. The R2 values for the testing dataset of SO-RF, PSO-RF, and BAS-RF are 0.9147, 0.8529, and 0.8607, individually. That's what the outcomes demonstrate SO-RF displays the most elevated prescient presentation. Besides, the significance of information boundaries is assessed, affirming the attainability of the SO-RF model. This exploration improves the forecast technique for the CS of UHPC.

**Keywords:** ultra-high-performance concrete; compressive strength; machine learning; hyperparameter tuning; meta-heuristic optimization.

## 1 . Introduction

Super elite execution concrete (UHPC) is an inventive designing material created to address the issue for higher bearing limits and longer help lives for structures. UHPC is described by super high compressive strength (CS), high sturdiness, and super high solidness, with CS regularly surpassing 120 MPa. The fantastic presentation of UHPC is accomplished through advancing molecule size dispersion, a super low water-to-folio proportion, and adding superplasticizers and filaments. Utilizing strengthening cementitious materials (SCMs) like fly debris, ground granulated impact heater slag, silica smoke, and limestone powder diminishes concrete use and improves the monetary and natural qualities of UHPC [1].
Notwithstanding, different blend boundaries might require many preliminaries to accomplish an improved UHPC blend extent, making it a tedious and work escalated task. Lately, the viable assortment and capacity of a lot of information have prompted the fast improvement of computerized reasoning (man-made intelligence) innovation. Utilizing artificial intelligence innovation to advance the blend extent of UHPC can assist with

liberating scientists from weighty experimentation work. AI (ML), a fundamental part of man-made intelligence, can gain from an enormous number of existing information tests, find rules of intricacy affected by different factors, and measure the effect of various variables on foreseeing future turns of events. Analysts have continuously applied ML strategies like fake brain organizations (ANN), support vector machines (SVM), irregular woodlands (RF), choice trees, different relapse, and k-closest neighbors (KNN) in structural designing for underlying improvement plan, primary wellbeing observing, material execution expectation, and blend extent advancement. In particular, these strategies are utilized in foreseeing and streamlining cement's mechanical properties. UHPC is normally blended in with different SCMs, making its parts complex. Customary substantial CS expectation strategies, like the development degree procedure, are not appropriate for UHPC because of low expectation exactness [2].
Various ML models have been made to anticipate the CS of UHPC. For example, Abellán-García fostered a four-layer perceptron way to deal with foresee the 28-day CS of UHPC utilizing various blends of SCMs. Kumar et al. applied six unmistakable ML calculations to foresee the CS of UHPC, viewing the additional tree regressor model as the most dependable. Also, ML strategies are utilized to anticipate different exhibitions of UHPC. Soroush et al. proposed an auto-tune learning structure to figure UHPC's CS, flexural strength, functionality, and porosity. Cesario et al. ensembled RF and KNN methods to make Execution Thickness Graphs directing the blend extent improvement of UHPC. Tuning the hyperparameters of expectation models is significant for ML to precisely anticipate substantial execution. Generally utilized boundary tuning techniques incorporate conventional numerical models, framework search, arbitrary hunt, and different calculations. Be that as it may, customary strategies might battle with high-layered issues [3].
Network search requires huge figuring power and time, while irregular pursuits might stall out in nearby optima. Meta-heuristic advancement calculations offer more proficient objective streamlining abilities, which are compelling for hyperparameter tuning. Not many researchers have explored the hyperparameter streamlining of ML calculations in substantial material property forecast. For instance, Zhang et al. used the BAS calculation to advance RF model hyperparameters for anticipating the CS of lightweight total cement, bringing about better expectation exactness. Yu et al. utilized a better feline multitude enhancement calculation to upgrade SVM model hyperparameters for anticipating high-strength substantial CS, fundamentally expanding the model's R2 esteem. There exists an examination hole in foreseeing the CS of UHPC and upgrading expectation model boundaries because of difficulties in information assortment, complex UHPC material sythesis, and the intricacy of hyperparameter improvement [4].
This study means to improve the precision of foreseeing UHPC CS by laying out a data set from past examinations on UHPC blend extents and relating CS, zeroing in mostly on steel fiber supported UHPC. The review enhances the UHPC CS forecast model by choosing proper ML techniques and hyperparameter tuning calculations. Coordinating boundary streamlining and relapse expectation into one model considers programmed hyperparameter advancement, guaranteeing forecast model unwavering quality and investigating the meaning of different boundaries influencing UHPC CS [5].

## 2. Methodology

The work process of the dataset development, expectation model determination, hyperparameter tuning, and significance investigation of info boundaries, including primarily four stages: (1) setting up the blend extent dataset of UHPC; (2) picking the ideal expectation model for the CS of UHPC among three conventional ML models (RF, SVM, and KNN); (3) performing hyperparameter tuning utilizing the current most famous meta-heuristic calculations (PSO, BAS, thus); (4) looking at and assessing the effect of the info factors on the CS of UHPC. The Python stage is applied to carry out ML model expectation and improvement of meta-heuristic calculations.

### *2.1. Regression Prediction Algorithm*

### 2.1.1. Random Forest (RF)

The irregular backwoods (RF) strategy is a coordinated calculation in view of choice tree and packing calculations. It utilizes a rehashed irregular inspecting strategy with substitution to get different sub-test sets from the preparation set examples. Each sub-test set is then used to prepare a different choice tree model. The choice tree partitions inside hubs by haphazardly choosing highlights, and numerous arranged choice trees structure a RF. At last, the result is determined by incorporating the aftereffects of every choice tree. Contrasted with the basic choice tree calculation, the presentation of arbitrariness in RF decreases the gamble of overfitting and works on the calculation's vigor against commotion. Furthermore, the RF calculation doesn't need high information standardization and can deal with both discrete and ceaseless information without the need to standardize the dataset. Condition (1) depicts the consequences of RF relapse expectation

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{K}\sum_{i=1}^{K}(h_i(x,\theta_K)) \quad (1)$$

where H(x) is the relapse forecast consequences of a RF; greetings is the relapse expectation consequences of the single choice tree; θK is a free dispersed irregular variable deciding the improvement course of a choice tree; K addresses the quantity of choice trees in a RF model [6].

### 2.1.2. Support Vector Machine (SVM)

The help vector machine (SVM) is a regulated AI model got from the measurable learning hypothesis proposed by Vapnik in 1964 and is utilized for order and relapse expectation. In help vector relapse (SVR), the bend expected for fitting information is alluded to as a hyperplane, and the information focuses nearest to the hyperplane on the two sides are known as help vectors. The goal of SVR is to recognize a hyperplane capability with an adequately smooth bend so the mistake between all example information and the capability is not exactly the limit blunder resistance \(\epsilon\). The set misfortune capability punishes different information for a given resistance esteem \(\epsilon\). The smooth hyperplane capability fitted by SVR can be communicated as:

$$f(x) = < w, x > +b \quad (2)$$

where w is the weight vector; < w, x > is the point result of the weight vector and backing vector in genuine number; b is predisposition. The base Euclidean standard of the weight vector w not entirely set in stone to get an adequately smooth hyperplane. The goal capability can be depicted as follows:

$$R = \frac{1}{2}\left\|w^2\right\| + C\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\delta_i + \delta_i') \quad (3)$$

The constraints can be identified by:

$$\begin{cases} y_i - \langle w, x_i \rangle - b \le \varepsilon + \delta_i \\ \langle w, x_i \rangle + b - y_i \le \varepsilon + \delta_i' \\ \delta_i, \delta_i' \ge 0 \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots k \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where ε is limit mistake resilience; is unwinding factor, when all information and hyperplane blunders are not exactly ε, unwinding factors are set to 0. The C is the punishment coefficient. Utilizing a nonlinear planning capability, the information is changed over into a component space with higher aspects and afterward looks for hyperplane capabilities in this element space are performed. The Gaussian part capability is the most normally used nonlinear planning capability [7]. The above-obliged streamlining issue is reformulated as a double issue utilizing Lagrange multipliers, and the last anticipated worth not set in stone by:

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{N}(\lambda_i + \lambda_i')k(x_i, x) + b \quad (5)$$

$$k(x_i, x) = \exp\left[-\frac{\|x_i - x\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda_i$ or $\lambda_i'$ is the Lagrange multiplier; $\sigma$ is the smoothness parameter.

### 2.1.3. K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN)

KNN is a generally utilized regulated ML calculation, reasonable for both ordering and foreseeing relapse. The KNN relapse guideline is basic, the learning impact is appropriate for a lot of information, and the impact of information commotion is insignificant. The used standard for relapse expectation is that for an objective example highlight be anticipated, K examples nearest to the objective example point are chosen, and the mean worth is the anticipated worth of the objective example point [8].

## 3. Data Collation and Data Construction

The precision of AI (ML) in anticipating the compressive strength (CS) of super elite execution concrete (UHPC) relies upon the accessibility of adequate excellent information, which is a critical necessity for effectively applying all man-made intelligence methods. Since UHPC is another material that has been applied as of late, it is trying to look for and figure out the blend extent and comparing CS information. This study laid out a dataset with 727 gatherings of UHPC blend extents by coordinating exploration on UHPC mechanical properties. This information comprises of 12 info trademark boundaries: concrete (C), silica rage (SF), slag (S), fly debris (FA), limestone powder (LP), nano silica (NS), water-to-folio proportion (w/b), quartz powder (QP), sand (Sa), steel fiber (Fi), superplasticizer (SP), and age (Ag). AI models require input factors to be basically as free as conceivable to make precise forecasts. Subsequently, Pearson relationship examination is utilized to dispose of factors with a high connection and lessen information overt repetitiveness, which thusly further develops the forecast precision of the model. The relationship of the information boundaries is dissected utilizing a connection heat guide to decide if the chose input factors are sensible. The connection grid of the info boundaries is acquired by computing the Pearson connection coefficient \( R \). When \( |R| \) surpasses 0.7, it demonstrates multicollinearity among the info boundaries, representing a gamble of excess choiceb[9-12].

## 4. Results and Discussions

### *4.1. Comparison between the Primary Prediction Algorithm Results*

The irregular timberland (RF), support vector relapse (SVR), and k-closest neighbors (KNN) calculations were utilized to foresee the compressive strength (CS) of super elite execution concrete (UHPC). The RF model showed the best exhibition on the preparation set, with \( R^2 \) and RMSE upsides of 0.9813 and 0.0234, separately. The KNN model positioned second, with \( R^2 \) and RMSE upsides of 0.8018 and 0.4518, individually. The SVR model showed the most reduced forecast precision on the preparation set, with \( R^2 \) and RMSE upsides of 0.7995 and 0.0765, separately. For the testing set, the RF model again beated the others, with \( R^2 \) and RMSE upsides of 0.8506 and 0.0632, individually. The SVR model positioned second, while the KNN model had the least expectation precision, with \( R^2 \) and RMSE upsides of 0.6797 and 0.5485, separately. Past exploration has demonstrated that RF, as a coordinated calculation, performs well in relapse and grouping undertakings. The RF calculation is especially reasonable for anticipating mechanical properties in view of the substantial blend extent because of its intrinsic arbitrariness in the determination cycle of every choice tree hub, making it appropriate for dealing with additional discrete information like substantial blend extents. Thusly, RF shows great forecast precision and power. The deviation in forecast execution between the preparation and testing sets demonstrates various levels of overfitting among the three calculations. Overfitting, a typical issue in AI, happens when a model performs well on the preparation set yet ineffectively on the testing set. This issue suggests that the model's speculation capacity and execution are compromised. The level of overfitting can be seen from the contrast between the assessment records of the preparation and testing sets. Albeit the RF model accomplished the best assessment results, it additionally displayed the most extensive level of overfitting. This demonstrates that boundary enhancement is important for the RF model to diminish overfitting and further develop its expectation precision [13].

### *4.2. Comparison of Results after Parameter Tuning*

The PSO, BAS, as are chosen to tune the boundaries of the RF model to get a superior forecast presentation. Set the worth scope of the two hyperparameters of the irregular woodland step size and choice tree, utilize the RMSE worth of the forecast model as the goal capability, and repeat to find the hyperparameters that can make the expectation model have higher precision. The enhancement cycle of the RF model utilizing the three streamlining calculations. It uncovers that the PSO accomplishes combination first, trailed by BAS. Be that as it may, the quick combination of the two calculations can cloud their capacity to look for the ideal worth in neighbourhoods. Accordingly, the last wellness results demonstrate that albeit SO merges gradually, it tends to be worked on in the later phases of activity to get away from neighborhood optima. Thus, the SO calculation yields the best enhancement impact.

### *4.3. Importance Analysis and Partial Dependence Plot Analysis of Input Parameters*

Explaining the significance of info boundaries is fundamental to dismissing factors with little impact, decreasing aspects, and further developing the model's preparation speed. All the while, screening out the information boundaries with high significance is gainful to further developing the model's expectation execution and upgrading the model's interpretability. The significance of the info factors got from the prepared SO-RF model utilizing SHapley Added substance Clarification (SHAP) investigation. we observed that the most powerful boundaries on CS expectations were the age (Ag), steel fiber (Fi), sand (Sa), concrete (C), silica smolder (SF), and water-to-fastener proportion (w/b), separately. The impact old enough on CS showed a huge positive relationship, truly intending that with the expansion in age, the compressive strength of UHPC increments extraordinarily, which might be credited to the advancement of concrete hydration. Then, trailed by Fi and Sa. The expansion of steel fiber in UHPC could expand its CS by confining the advancement of inward breaks [60]. The sand content assumes a urgent part in forming the pore construction of concrete mortar [61]. An ascent in sand content prompts a lessening in the general porosity of concrete mortar, which brings about working on the CS of UHPC. Moreover, the boundaries C and w/b likewise essentially affected the CS of UHPC. This is basically on the grounds that the degree of concrete hydration influences a definitive CS of UHPC. With respect to seethe, albeit the substance of SF isn't high contrasted with concrete, it actually essentially affects the CS of UHPC. This is for the most part because of its high pozzolanic movement and fine filler impact [14]. Other info boundaries like slag (S) and limestone powder (LP) had insignificant effect on the CS.

### *4.4. Partial Dependence Plot Analysis of the Important Input Parameters*

Fractional reliance plot examination can give a quantitative proportion of the impact of explicit information boundaries on yield boundaries [63]. To work on the vigor of the understanding outcomes, the information utilized for the halfway reliance plots examination are 100 haphazardly chosen information from the preparation set. Related to the assessment aftereffects of the significance of information boundaries got from Segment 4.3, we chose the main six information boundaries for fractional reliance examination.

## 5. Conclusions

This study proposes an improved AI model, using the meta-heuristic streamlining calculation to foresee the CS of UHPC. A model with a superior forecast exhibition is gotten. With the assistance of the current UHPC blend extent information, an exact CS expectation is accomplished utilizing 12 information boundaries, and the information boundaries' significance is assessed and broke down. The accompanying ends can be drawn:

- The RF model was utilized to anticipate the CS of the UHPC, and the R2 of the testing set was 0.85. Be that as it may, there were some overfitting issues noticed. The RF model can possibly further develop the expectation execution.
- It is important to tune the hyperparameters of the expectation model. The model's forecast exhibition can be improved to changing degrees by utilizing different metaheuristic calculations to upgrade the expectation model's hyperparameters. The SO calculation's advancement improvement is the clearest, in which the R2 and RMSE were upgraded by 7.47% and 8.39%, separately.
- The SO calculation understands the RF model's advancement, diminishes the overfitting level of the RF model, and further develops its forecast exhibition. The R2 of the preparation and testing sets was 0.9869 and 0.9141, separately, which shows that the SO-RF model proposed in this paper has the best expectation execution and can accomplish exact UHPC CS forecast.
- In view of the boundaries' significance, acquired from the SO-RF model examination, age greatestly affects the CS of UHPC, trailed by how much silica seethe. These perceptions are steady with the current examination results.
- Fractional reliance plots investigation featured the impact of the boundaries on the anticipated CS of UHPC and given a reference to the blend extent plan of UHPC. This study might have impediments because of its little dataset size and deficient thought of elements, for example, relieving conditions and total size range. Later on, it is feasible to expand the information volume in the data set, which will additionally improve the prescient exhibition of the model. Simultaneously, prescient models can be created to appraise other execution elements of UHPC, including twisting strength, flowability porosity, and early shrinkage. Also, these metaheuristic improvement calculations can be used to upgrade UHPC blend extents in light of the ideal compressive strength.

## References

1. Yu, K.-Q.; Lu, Z.-D.; Dai, J.-G.; Shah, S.P. Direct tensile properties and stress–strain model of UHP-ECC. J. Mater. Civ. Eng. 2020, 32, 04019334. [CrossRef]
2. Shahin, M.A. State-of-the-art review of some artificial intelligence applications in pile foundations. Geosci. Front. 2016, 7, 33–44. [CrossRef]
3. Gupta, S. Support vector machines based modelling of concrete strength. Int. J. Intel. Technol. 2007, 3, 12–18.
4. Mahjoubi, S.; Meng, W.; Bao, Y. Auto-tune learning framework for prediction of flowability, mechanical properties, and porosity of ultra-high-performance concrete (UHPC). Appl. Soft Comput. 2022, 115, 108182. [CrossRef
5. Zhang, J.; Ma, G.; Huang, Y.; Aslani, F.; Nener, B. Modelling uniaxial compressive strength of lightweight self-compacting concrete using random forest regression. Constr. Build. Mater. 2019, 210, 713–719. [CrossRef]
6. Liaw, A.; Wiener, M. Classification and regression by randomForest. R News 2002, 2, 18–22.
7. Vapnik, V.N. A note on one class of perceptrons. Automat. Rem. Control 1964, 25, 821–837.
8. Randl, N.; Steiner, T.; Ofner, S.; Baumgartner, E.; Mészöly, T. Development of UHPC mixtures from an ecological point of view. Constr. Build. Mater. 2014, 67, 373–378. [CrossRef]
9. Jang, H.-O.; Lee, H.-S.; Cho, K.; Kim, J. Experimental study on shear performance of plain construction joints integrated with ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC). Constr. Build. Mater. 2017, 152, 16–23. [CrossRef]
10. Yoo, D.-Y.; Kim, M.-J. High energy absorbent ultra-high-performance concrete with hybrid steel and polyethylene fibers. Constr. Build. Mater. 2019, 209, 354–363. [CrossRef]
11. Yoo, D.-Y.; Shin, H.-O.; Yang, J.-M.; Yoon, Y.-S. Material and bond properties of ultra high performance fiber reinforced concrete with micro steel fibers. Compos. Part B-Eng. 2014, 58, 122–133. [CrossRef]
12. DeRousseau, M.; Laftchiev, E.; Kasprzyk, J.; Rajagopalan, B.; Srubar, W.J.C., III; Materials, B. A comparison of machine learning methods for predicting the compressive strength of field-placed concrete. Constr. Build. Mater. 2019, 228, 116661. [CrossRef]
13. Bu, J.; Tian, Z.; Zheng, S.; Tang, Z. Effect of sand content on strength and pore structure of cement mortar. J. Wuhan Univ. Technol.-Mater. Sci. Ed. 2017, 32, 382–390. [CrossRef
14. Hilloulin, B.; Tran, V.Q. Using machine learning techniques for predicting autogenous shrinkage of concrete incorporating superabsorbent polymers and supplementary cementitious materials. J. Build. Eng. 2022, 49, 104086. [CrossRef]