



Theoretical And Methodological Foundations Of Administrative Leadership Styles In The Sphere Of Higher Education

Somaya Fadel Mahmoud Darwish^{1*}

^{1*}PhD Researcher at Dnipro State Agrarian and Economic University

Citation: Somaya Fadel Mahmoud Darwish (2024), Theoretical And Methodological Foundations Of Administrative Leadership Styles In The Sphere Of Higher Education, *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5) 8236 - 8249

Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i5.4333

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

This research sheds light on the importance of administrative leadership styles in higher education, particularly in the context of Palestinian higher education. It examines the impact of different leadership styles on the performance of educational institutions and the engagement of faculty members and student success. The research also discusses the factors influencing the selection of leadership styles, such as organizational culture and external political and social pressures. It provides strategic recommendations for leadership development tailored to the needs of institutions, essential for enhancing effectiveness and adaptability, especially within the framework of democratic and transformational leadership in educational bureaucracies. Furthermore, the conclusion suggests areas for further research and policy development, emphasizing the ongoing evaluation of leadership effectiveness and the promotion of leadership styles aligned with global educational standards and the specific political context of Palestine.

Keywords: Administrative Leadership Styles, Higher Education, Organizational Culture, Political Pressures, Strategic Development.

Introduction:

In the realm of higher education, administrative leadership plays a pivotal role in guiding educational institutions towards continuous development and improvement. Leadership styles in this context vary and are influenced by multiple factors ranging from the nature of the institution and its challenges to global trends and advanced technology.

This study analyzes the theoretical and methodological foundations of administrative leadership styles in higher education, with a focus on the importance of these styles and the factors influencing their selection. This is achieved through a review of recent research that sheds light on topics such as the financial independence of universities, digital education, and the impact of crises on higher education patterns.

The significance of this study lies in understanding how administrative leadership affects the quality of education and the performance of educational institutions in higher education. Additionally, the study seeks to identify effective leadership trends that can help achieve the goals of higher education and guide institutions towards development and innovation in the face of growing challenges in the current era.

Based on recent research and theoretical concepts, this study will provide a comprehensive framework for understanding administrative leadership in higher education and analyzing the factors influencing the selection of leadership styles, thereby contributing to enhancing leadership effectiveness and improving the performance of educational institutions in the field of higher education.

The importance of this study

The importance of this study lies in its exploration of the theoretical and methodological foundations of administrative leadership styles within the sphere of higher education. Understanding these foundations is crucial for comprehending the dynamics of leadership in academia and its impact on institutional performance and educational outcomes.

By delving into the diverse approaches to leadership in higher education and analyzing the factors influencing the choice of leadership styles, this study contributes to the enhancement of administrative effectiveness

within educational institutions. It sheds light on the strategic decisions made by educational leaders and their implications for the quality of education provided and the overall development of the institutions.

Furthermore, in today's rapidly evolving educational landscape, characterized by challenges such as technological advancements, globalization, and changing student demographics, effective leadership is more important than ever. This study aims to provide insights that can inform leadership practices in higher education, helping leaders navigate complexities and steer their institutions towards innovation, excellence, and relevance in a dynamic environment.

The objectives of this study

The objectives of this study are as follows:

1. To explore the theoretical foundations of administrative leadership styles within the context of higher education.
2. To examine the importance of administrative leadership styles in higher education and their impact on institutional performance and educational quality.
3. To identify the factors influencing the choice of administrative leadership styles in higher education institutions.
4. To provide recommendations and insights aimed at enhancing the effectiveness of administrative leadership in higher education, thereby contributing to the improvement of institutional outcomes and the overall educational experience.

The problem of this study

The problem of this study addressed by this study revolves around the need for a comprehensive understanding of administrative leadership styles within the sphere of higher education. Despite the abundance of research on leadership in various organizational contexts, there is still a gap in the literature regarding the specific challenges and dynamics faced by leaders in higher education institutions.

Furthermore, while there is recognition of the importance of effective leadership in driving institutional success, there is a lack of clarity on the optimal leadership styles and approaches suitable for the complex and evolving landscape of higher education. This gap hampers the ability of educational leaders to make informed decisions and implement strategies that align with the unique needs and goals of their institutions.

Additionally, the rapidly changing nature of higher education, characterized by technological advancements, globalization, and shifting student demographics, presents new challenges and opportunities for leadership. Understanding how these factors intersect with leadership styles and practices is essential for addressing emerging challenges and fostering innovation and excellence in higher education.

Therefore, the problem statement of this study focuses on bridging the gap in knowledge regarding the theoretical and methodological foundations of administrative leadership styles in higher education and identifying strategies to enhance leadership effectiveness in this context. By addressing this problem, the study aims to contribute to the development of informed leadership practices that can drive positive outcomes and promote institutional advancement in higher education.

The gap of this study

The gap addressed by this study lies in the lack of comprehensive research focusing specifically on the theoretical and methodological foundations of administrative leadership styles within the realm of higher education. While there exists a considerable body of literature on leadership in various organizational contexts, including public administration and business, there is a dearth of studies that delve deeply into the unique challenges and dynamics of leadership within higher education institutions.

Moreover, existing research often provides fragmented insights into leadership styles and practices in higher education, failing to offer a cohesive understanding of the factors influencing leadership choices and their impact on institutional outcomes. This fragmented approach limits the ability of educational leaders to develop tailored strategies that effectively address the multifaceted challenges facing higher education today.

Additionally, with the rapid pace of change in higher education driven by technological advancements, globalization, and shifts in student demographics, there is a pressing need for research that examines how these external factors intersect with leadership styles and practices. Understanding these intersections is essential for equipping educational leaders with the knowledge and skills needed to navigate complex challenges and drive innovation and excellence in higher education.

Therefore, the gap addressed by this study is the lack of a comprehensive and integrated understanding of administrative leadership styles in higher education, along with the factors influencing their selection and their impact on institutional outcomes. By filling this gap, the study aims to provide valuable insights that can inform leadership practices and contribute to the advancement of higher education institutions.

Theoretical Foundations of Administrative Leadership Styles as an Object of Research in the Field of Public Administration

Exploring the theoretical underpinnings of administrative leadership styles in the realm of public administration opens a window into the dynamic landscape of organizational management, particularly within the sphere of higher education. Recent scholarship has delved deep into this domain, shedding light on

the nuanced approaches to leadership across diverse institutional contexts, including the evolving landscapes of financial autonomy, digital education, and crisis management in academia.

Kalashnikova and Vlasova (2022) delve into the realm of university financial autonomy, advocating for a leadership ethos that champions independence, innovation, and accountability. Within this paradigm, leaders are envisioned as strategic architects guiding their institutions towards greater social responsibility through financial autonomy, prioritizing long-term vision over short-term gains.

Similarly, Budko et al. (2023) pivot towards the frontier of digital education, offering insights into leadership strategies essential for navigating the complexities of digital transformation in medical higher education. Their work underscores the importance of adaptive leadership, characterized by a proactive stance towards technological advancements and a vision to harness digital innovation for educational advancement.

In the face of adversity, Barvinok and Pudlo (2023) spotlight the resilience and resourcefulness demanded of academic leaders amidst wartime disruptions. Their research underscores the pivotal role of leadership in maintaining educational excellence and intellectual capital through online content patterns, exemplifying a leadership style that thrives in crisis by safeguarding valuable resources and ensuring continuity of education. Holzer (2022) encapsulates the essence of public administration as both an art and a science, emphasizing the multifaceted skill set required of public servants in navigating the complexities of governance. This perspective underscores the blend of practical expertise, artistic acumen, and scientific rigor essential for effective public administration.

Thapa (2020) provides further clarity by delineating the foundational principles of public administration, which revolve around the management of public affairs with a focus on servicing and overseeing the needs of the populace. It encompasses both the practical execution of government policies and the academic study thereof, aimed at cultivating competent civil servants equipped to navigate the intricacies of public service roles.

In essence, public administration emerges as a discipline grounded in the precise execution of public law, blending managerial, political, and legal principles to fulfill the mandates of government. Its overarching goal is to provide regulatory and service functions that contribute to the welfare of society at large, embodying the essence of effective governance and public service.

Concept of Leadership:

- 1. Definition and Importance:** Leadership encompasses the capacity to guide a group towards specific objectives, making it a pivotal element in organizational effectiveness and success (Akpaprep et al., 2019). It's widely acknowledged as fundamental for resolving organizational challenges and steering collective efforts towards common goals (Daft & Marcic, 2011).
- 2. Nature and Characteristics:** Leadership is inherently social and goal-oriented, involving the development and communication of a shared vision (Robbins, 2007). This vision provides direction, significance, and motivation, resonating with followers' beliefs and motivations (House, 1995). Effective leadership entails efficient utilization of human resources to fulfill organizational missions and objectives (Wu & Shiu, 2009; Globe, 1972).
- 3. Dynamic Process:** Leadership is dynamic, involving the guidance and motivation of a group towards shared objectives (Northouse, 2010). It's about aligning and steering collective efforts towards a common goal, highlighting the influential role of the leader in achieving organizational outcomes.
- 4. Role in Organizational Success:** Leadership plays a pivotal role in aligning human resources with strategic goals and ensuring organizational functions harmonize with the external environment (Mathieu et al., 2001). Its influence extends to the overall health and success of organizations and societies (Odumeru & Ogbonna, 2013).

In summary, the concept of leadership encapsulates guiding collective efforts towards common objectives, communicating a shared vision, and efficiently utilizing resources to achieve organizational goals. It's dynamic, adaptive, and crucial for organizational effectiveness and success in both public administration and higher education contexts.

Significance of Leadership:

- 1. Definition and Essence:** Leadership, increasingly a focal point in public administration, is the art of motivating a group towards a shared goal, encompassing inspiration and guidance rather than mere task management (Backhaus & Vogel, 2022). Leaders articulate inspiring visions, motivate engagement with those visions, manage their realization, and cultivate effective teams (Nwafor, 1994).
- 2. Complexity and Contextual Variations:** Leadership defies singular definition, evolving across diverse contexts including higher education, and is multifaceted when focusing solely on leaders' characteristics, actions, or skills (Hassan et al., 2018; Ticlau & Hintea, 2016). It's shaped by organizational culture and involves a dynamic interplay between leaders, the external environment, and subordinates (Akanji et al., 2020; Syakur et al., 2020).
- 3. Dynamic Process:** Leadership is an ongoing process fostering a competitive organizational environment through charisma, inspiration, intellectual stimulation, and showcasing employee abilities (Vasilescu, 2019). Shorobura and Dolynska (2023) delineate three theoretical approaches to leadership: charismatic

action, situational theory, and synthetic theory, emphasizing key socio-psychological features and managerial principles in public administration.

4. **Universal Principles:** Pffinner and Presthus (1967) and Marume (1988) define leadership as the art of coordinating and motivating groups towards desired ends, while Seckler-Hudson (1957) describes it as influencing and energizing people towards a common purpose. These definitions underscore leadership's universal applicability and its pivotal role in directing group actions and achieving organizational objectives.

In summary, leadership's importance in public administration lies in its ability to inspire, guide, and coordinate collective efforts towards common goals, its adaptability across diverse contexts, and its foundational role in organizational effectiveness and success.

Characteristics of Leadership:

1. **Unique Bond and Relationship Building:** Leadership is described as a distinctive bond between a leader and their team, aimed at guiding them towards success (Pande, 2015). It transcends mere structural authority, emphasizing emotional connection and relationship building. (Pande, 2015; Harmen, 2002).
2. **Inspiring and Supporting:** True leadership inspires individuals to reach their highest potential and supports them in their endeavors. It involves utilizing one's authority to make team members feel valued, appreciated, and nurtured.
3. **Emotional Connection:** Leadership creates an emotional connection that surpasses hierarchical ties (Pande, 2015). It is characterized by empathy, compassion, and a genuine concern for the well-being of team members.
4. **Participatory Approach:** Participatory leadership style is highlighted for its advantages. It enhances creativity, productivity, and employee motivation by involving them in decision-making processes and fostering a sense of ownership and commitment to shared goals.
5. **Enhanced Creativity and Productivity:** Participative leadership encourages creativity and productivity by allowing team members the freedom to express and implement their ideas. It fosters a stress-free work environment and promotes happier, more energetic teams.
6. **Conflict Resolution:** Participative leadership minimizes conflicts within teams by countering issues commonly associated with autocratic leadership, such as a lack of listening and bonding. It encourages open communication and collaboration, leading to smoother conflict resolution processes.
7. **Shared Vision:** Participative leadership creates a shared vision and sense of unity within the team. By involving team members in decision-making and goal-setting processes, it ensures that everyone is committed to and actively works towards achieving collective objectives.

In summary, leadership is characterized by its ability to build unique bonds, inspire and support individuals, create emotional connections, and foster participatory approaches that enhance creativity, productivity, and team cohesion. It emphasizes empathy, collaboration, and a shared vision for achieving success.

Approaches to Understanding Effective Leadership: (Marume & Ndudzo, 2016).

1. **Trait Approach:** This approach, also known as the leader principle or great man theory, emphasizes the significance of individual characteristics in leadership effectiveness. Leaders are believed to shape history through their inherent or acquired attributes.
2. **Situational Approach:** Leadership effectiveness is viewed as contingent upon specific circumstances, suggesting that what works in one situation may not be suitable in another.
3. **Functional Approach:** This approach focuses on the roles and functions inherent to leadership positions, considering leadership in terms of the duties and responsibilities involved.
4. **Eclectic Approach:** Integrating various perspectives and methodologies, this approach offers a comprehensive understanding of leadership, acknowledging its complexity and the benefit of considering multiple viewpoints.

Educational Leadership Styles: (Abdallah & Alkhrabsheh, 2019).

1. **Transactional Leadership:** Focuses on exchanges between leaders and followers, emphasizing rewards and punishments based on performance.
 2. **Transformational Leadership:** Seeks to inspire and motivate employees beyond immediate transactions, fostering innovation and growth.
 3. **Laissez-Faire Leadership:** Characterized by minimal direct intervention by the leader, allowing followers considerable freedom in decision-making.
- Leadership Effectiveness Factors:
1. **Adaptability:** Effective leaders adapt their style to the context and needs of their followers (Northouse, 2021).
 2. **Vision and Strategic Thinking:** Successful leaders anticipate future possibilities and make strategic decisions to address uncertainties (Riaz & Haider, 2010).

3. **Task- and Relationship-Oriented Behavior:** Effective managers balance task-oriented functions with attention to human relationships (Fiedler, 1967).
4. **Consistent Behavioral Patterns:** Leadership style refers to the consistent manner in which a leader behaves to guide and motivate their team towards achieving organizational objectives (DuBrin, 2006; Hersey et al., 2001).

In conclusion, effective leadership is understood through various lenses, including individual traits, situational factors, functional roles, and eclectic perspectives. Educational leadership styles encompass transactional, transformational, and laissez-faire approaches, each impacting organizational outcomes differently. Leadership effectiveness hinges on adaptability, vision, and the ability to balance task- and relationship-oriented behaviors, forming consistent behavioral patterns over time.

Definition of Leadership Styles:

Leadership style is defined as the leader's choice of ways of planning, leading, controlling, staffing, and organizing resources in the pursuit of set goals (Emmanuel, 2021). It encompasses sets of attributes that enable leaders to guide their followers in specific directions in particular times or situations (Abbas et al., 2020). The manner in which a leader provides direction, implements plans, and motivates people also characterizes leadership style (Alwedyan and Soumadi, 2017).

Classification of Leadership Styles:

1. **Functional View:** Leadership styles are categorized based on two primary dimensions: task functions and socioemotional roles (Fisher, 1985; Brilhart & Galanes, 1989). Task functions move groups toward task completion, while socioemotional roles focus on the functioning of the group responsible for task completion (Hoy & Miskel, 1991).
2. **Autocratic and Democratic:** Limberman et al. (1994) identify two styles of administrative leadership: autocratic, characterized by centralized decision-making and minimal input from subordinates, and democratic, involving participatory decision-making and input from team members.
3. **Goleman's Six Leadership Styles:** Goleman (2000) identified six leadership styles: authoritative, coaching, affiliative, democratic, pacesetter, and coercive. These styles have distinct characteristics and impacts on organizational climate, and they may be blended depending on situational demands.
4. **Recurring Characteristics:** Leadership styles are understood as the recurring characteristics and behaviors of leaders that manifest in consistent combinations (Jamali et al., 2022). This definition emphasizes identifiable patterns that can be categorized into different styles.

In summary, leadership styles encompass the various approaches leaders adopt to guide their teams towards achieving organizational goals. They can be classified based on functional dimensions, decision-making processes, or specific characteristics and behaviors exhibited by leaders.

Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership is a pivotal concept in organizational psychology and management, initially conceptualized by Burns (1978) and further developed by Bass and Avolio (2004). It is widely recognized for its ability to inspire and motivate followers to exceed their personal interests for the organization's goals (Bass and Bass, 1985; Bass & Avolio, 2004). This style emphasizes creating a vision, instilling pride, and encouraging innovation among team members (Jamali et al., 2022).

Importance of Transformational Leadership:

1. **Motivation and Inspiration:** Transformational leaders motivate and inspire followers to move beyond their self-interests for the benefit of the group and organization (Jamali et al., 2022). They communicate a compelling vision that brings optimism and meaning to followers' tasks and goals (Warrilow, 2012).
2. **Innovation and Change:** Transformational leaders challenge traditional assumptions and foster creativity and innovation. They act as change agents, managing unpredictable situations in the workplace and encouraging new perspectives (Jamali et al., 2022).
3. **Organizational Culture:** Transformational leaders shape and guide organizational culture and goals (McLaurin and Amri, 2008). They create a shared set of values and norms that foster unity and commitment among team members (Cawelti, 1990).

Types of Transformational Leadership:

1. **Idealized Influence:** Transformational leaders serve as role models with commendable qualities and a clear value system, inspiring followers to emulate their behavior (Warrilow, 2012). This component emphasizes the leader's charisma and ability to influence through personal example (Antonakis et al., 2003).
2. **Inspirational Motivation:** Transformational leaders communicate a compelling vision that inspires optimism and meaning among followers (Warrilow, 2012). They articulate goals in a manner that fosters shared purpose and morale (Antonakis et al., 2003).

- 3. Intellectual Stimulation:** Transformational leaders challenge traditional assumptions and foster creativity and innovation (Warrilow, 2012). They encourage followers to think critically and explore new perspectives (Nicholson, 2007).
- 4. Individualized Consideration:** Transformational leaders recognize and address the individual needs of each follower (Warrilow, 2012). They act as mentors or coaches, supporting personal growth and fulfillment (Antonakis et al., 2003).

Transformational leadership is instrumental in driving organizational success by fostering motivation, innovation, and a positive organizational culture. Its various components work together to empower both leaders and followers to achieve more collectively than they could individually, making it a valuable approach in modern leadership contexts.

Transactional Leadership: Fostering Performance through Exchange

Transactional leadership focuses on motivating followers through exchanges, rewards, and clear expectations. Leaders employing this style establish goals and provide rewards or penalties based on performance. The approach is more traditional, emphasizing order and routine, and tends to address followers' lower-order needs in exchange for their work (Heyliger & Heyliger, 2014). This style is characterized by contingent rewards, where leaders specify work requirements and use rewards to achieve desired outcomes, and active or passive management by exception, where leaders intervene only when issues arise (Antonakis et al., 2003).

Importance of Transactional Leadership:

- 1. Performance Management:** Transactional leadership emphasizes setting clear goals and expectations, which helps maintain order and efficiency within an organization (Purwanto et al., 2020). Leaders focus on achieving results through structured exchanges with followers (Voon et al., 2009).
- 2. Meeting Basic Needs:** Transactional leaders address followers' lower-order needs by providing incentives in exchange for performance (Heyliger & Heyliger, 2014). This ensures that basic requirements are met, fostering a stable work environment.
- 3. Administrative Focus:** Transactional leadership prioritizes administrative tasks and the fulfillment of basic needs, ensuring that organizational operations run smoothly (Heyliger & Heyliger, 2014). Leaders focus on task completion and maintaining order rather than personal development.

Comparison with Transformational Leadership:

Transactional leadership differs from transformational leadership in its focus on exchanges and performance-based rewards rather than inspiring and motivating followers towards a shared vision (Bass & Avolio, 2004). While transformational leaders emphasize personal development, innovation, and a shared sense of purpose, transactional leaders concentrate on meeting immediate goals and maintaining order through rewards and penalties (Heyliger & Heyliger, 2014).

The Bass Model:

Bass and Bass (1985) conceptualized both transformational and transactional leadership, highlighting their complementary nature. While transformational leadership inspires followers to exceed self-interests for the greater good, transactional leadership ensures that organizational goals are met through structured exchanges with followers. Research has shown a positive correlation between both styles and favorable organizational outcomes across diverse settings (Snodgrass and Schachar, 2008).

Laissez-Faire Leadership: The Hands-Off Approach

Laissez-faire leadership, derived from the French term meaning "let it be," is characterized by minimal control and intervention from leaders, often described as a "hands-off" style (Nwokocha & Iheriohanma, 2015; Wu & Shiu, 2009). Leaders adopting this style provide followers with autonomy to carry out tasks without direct supervision or guidance. They refrain from making decisions and avoid intervening unless absolutely necessary (Bass & Avolio, 2004).

Characteristics of Laissez-Faire Leadership:

- 1. Minimal Supervision:** Laissez-faire leaders exercise minimal control and supervision over their followers, allowing them significant autonomy in decision-making and task execution (Wu & Shiu, 2009).
- 2. Avoidance of Responsibility:** Leaders in this style often shirk decision-making responsibilities and delay actions, leading to a lack of direction and clarity within the team (Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021).
- 3. Lack of Feedback:** Laissez-faire leaders fail to provide feedback, recognition, or support to their subordinates, resulting in a lack of guidance and development opportunities for team members (Jamali et al., 2022).
- 4. Passive Approach:** This style is characterized by a passive stance where leaders refrain from actively managing their team or addressing issues proactively (Heyliger & Heyliger, 2014).

Consequences of Laissez-Faire Leadership:

1. **Reduced Performance:** Laissez-faire leadership has been associated with decreased effort and performance from subordinates due to the absence of clear direction and guidance (Robert & Vandenberghe, 2021).
2. **Decreased Job Satisfaction:** Followers under laissez-faire leadership often experience lower job satisfaction due to the lack of support and feedback from their leaders (Wu & Shiu, 2009).
3. **Increased Stress and Conflict:** The absence of leadership and direction can lead to increased stress, interpersonal conflicts, and role ambiguity among team members (Deluga, 1990).
4. **Organizational Dysfunction:** Laissez-faire leadership can contribute to organizational dysfunction by fostering a culture of apathy, indecision, and disorganization (Jamali et al., 2022).

Unique Characteristics and Impact:

What distinguishes laissez-faire leadership from other negative leadership styles is its detrimental consequences stemming from the absence of constructive behaviors rather than the presence of destructive ones. This highlights the importance of understanding the impact of passive leadership on

Exploring Administrative Leadership Styles in Public Administration

In the realm of public administration, leadership manifests in various styles, each shaping decision-making processes, team dynamics, and organizational culture. From autocratic to democratic and participative approaches, leaders navigate diverse landscapes, impacting policy implementation and organizational effectiveness.

Autocratic Leadership:

Autocratic leadership, characterized by centralized decision-making, sees leaders retaining exclusive control and authority over policies and directives (Luftman, 2004). Subordinates have minimal involvement in decision-making processes, with leaders relying on their own judgment and directives to guide organizational actions (Abdallah and Alkhrabsheh, 2019). While effective in situations requiring swift decisions, this approach may lead to reduced morale and creativity among team members due to limited autonomy.

Democratic Leadership:

Democratic leadership emphasizes collective decision-making and active participation from team members (Susanti, 2015). Leaders seek input from subordinates, fostering a collaborative environment where diverse perspectives are valued (Abdallah and Alkhrabsheh, 2019). While leaders maintain final decision-making authority, they actively engage with team members to ensure decisions align with organizational goals and values (Syakur et al., 2022). This approach cultivates a sense of ownership and accountability among team members, leading to higher levels of engagement and innovation.

Participative Leadership:

Participative leadership involves collaboration and cooperation between leaders and team members in decision-making processes (Abdallah and Alkhrabsheh, 2019). Leaders encourage active involvement from subordinates, leveraging their expertise and insights to inform organizational strategies and policies. This style emphasizes shared responsibility and mutual respect, fostering a culture of trust and open communication within the organization (Syakur et al., 2022). Participative leaders empower their team members to contribute to decision-making, enhancing engagement and commitment to organizational goals.

Laissez-Faire Leadership:

Laissez-faire leadership adopts a hands-off approach, granting significant autonomy to team members in managing their work and deadlines (Heyliger & Heyliger, 2014). Leaders provide minimal guidance or supervision, allowing subordinates to make decisions independently. While encouraging innovation and self-direction, this style may lead to ambiguity and lack of accountability if not managed effectively (Heyliger & Heyliger, 2014). Laissez-faire leaders must strike a balance between autonomy and oversight to ensure organizational objectives are met.

In public administration, effective leadership entails understanding the nuances of each style and adapting approaches to suit the needs of the organization and its stakeholders. Whether through decisive directives, inclusive decision-making, or collaborative partnerships, leaders play a pivotal role in shaping the trajectory of public organizations and fostering positive outcomes for the communities they serve.

The Importance of Administrative Leadership Styles in Higher Education

1. Importance of Administrative Leadership Styles in Higher Education:

- Toker (2022) emphasizes the pivotal role of leadership in organizational success, particularly in shaping student performance and, consequently, a nation's economic competitiveness.
- Leadership in higher education encompasses providing direction, fostering influence, and sharing a sense of purpose among stakeholders, including faculty, students, and funding partners.

- Administrative leaders in higher education undertake responsibilities such as providing direction, ensuring equity and justice, resolving conflicts, and managing resources effectively to create valuable student teams and secure funding partnerships.

2. Impact of Leadership Styles on Organizational Goals:

- Jamali et al. (2022) elaborate on the significance of leadership styles in higher education, highlighting their influence on faculty performance, organizational culture, and overall organizational effectiveness.
- Transformational leadership positively correlates with faculty performance by promoting challenging work, autonomy, mutual trust, and supporting subordinates' creativity.
- In contrast, transactional leadership, which focuses on rewards and penalties, has been associated with negative impacts on faculty performance.
- Organizational culture, shaped by leadership, plays a crucial role in influencing employee performance and moderating the effectiveness of leadership styles.

3. Leadership Styles and Change Management:

- Inandi et al. (2013) stress the importance of leadership styles in managing change effectively within educational institutions.
- Different leadership styles, such as autocratic, democratic, laissez-faire, transformational, and transactional, are associated with varying levels of resistance to change.
- Democratic leadership, with its focus on shared decision-making and respect for individual opinions, is considered conducive to managing change effectively.
- Transformational leaders inspire and motivate followers toward change, while transactional leaders focus on maintaining the status quo through rewards and penalties.

4. Enhancing Organizational Effectiveness through Leadership Training:

- Winingsih and Sulistiono (2020) underscore the importance of leadership training in developing a diverse range of leadership styles and abilities.
- Leadership training equips educational leaders, such as principals, with essential skills for effective management, crisis handling, collaborative leadership, communication, decision-making, problem-solving, and emotional intelligence.
- Training influences leadership and teacher competencies, contributing to overall organizational effectiveness and improvement in educational outcomes.

Factors Influencing the Choice of Administrative Leadership Styles in Higher Education

In the landscape of higher education administration, the selection of leadership style stands as a nuanced decision shaped by a myriad of factors. Scholarly investigations underscore the intricate interplay between leadership styles and various contextual elements, shedding light on the complexities inherent in educational leadership.

Fundamentally, the personality traits and methodologies of school principals serve as foundational elements that sculpt the ethos and efficacy of educational institutions. Akanji et al. (2020) accentuate the pivotal role of organizational culture in delineating leadership styles, with transformational and transactional paradigms often reflecting cultural proclivities.

Moreover, the influence of stakeholders emerges as a significant determinant, as elucidated by Telford (1996). The sway of stakeholders, spanning sponsors, boards, and local communities, exerts considerable influence on leadership strategies within educational settings.

Geographical considerations add further nuance, with rural and urban schools necessitating tailored leadership approaches due to divergent community dynamics (Onyango, 1998).

Alignment with the school's mission emerges as a guiding principle, compelling educational leaders to navigate stakeholder expectations while fostering cohesion and performance (Naremo, 2002).

Furthermore, the multifaceted role of educational leaders, spanning educational, civic, and political dimensions, underscores the multifaceted nature of leadership (Scott, 1992).

Age and experience serve as additional determinants, with younger leaders potentially bringing vigor and adaptability to their roles (Olembo et al., 1988).

Work experience emerges as a notable influencer, bolstering leaders' mastery of essential knowledge and skills (Johnson, 2007).

Contextual factors, including environmental risk and hierarchical dynamics, significantly shape leadership strategies (Antonakis, Avolio, & Sivasubramaniam, 2003).

Emotional intelligence emerges as a critical factor, sculpting leaders' styles and effectiveness (Goleman, Boyatzis, & McKee, 2002).

The competency school of leadership accentuates the importance of diverse competencies in shaping leadership styles, further underscoring the contextual nature of leadership (Dulewicz & Higgs, 2003).

Cultural behaviors also play a pivotal role, influencing leadership performance and approaches (Hofstede, 1991; Trompenaars, 1993).

Lastly, organizational culture, leadership strategies, and the overarching effectiveness of leadership in achieving institutional goals loom large as pivotal factors in leadership decision-making within higher education (Hassan et al., 2018).

In synthesis, the selection of administrative leadership styles in higher education emerges as a multifaceted process, intricately woven with organizational culture, stakeholder dynamics, geographical considerations, mission alignment, leader characteristics, contextual factors, emotional intelligence, competencies, and cultural behaviors. These diverse elements collectively shape leadership dynamics and effectiveness, underscoring the complexity inherent in educational leadership.

Udin et al. (2019) delineate several influential factors shaping the selection of administrative leadership styles in higher education:

- 1. Communication Skills and Employee Satisfaction:** Leadership styles and communication abilities significantly impact employee satisfaction, irrespective of gender differences.
- 2. Role of Leadership in Organizational Integration:** Effective leadership is pivotal in aligning employee aspirations with organizational objectives, necessitating direction, control, and encouragement, all bolstered by effective communication.
- 3. Importance of Communication in Leadership:** Leadership is inherently tied to communication, influencing perceptions of a leader's charisma and fostering trust, thus enhancing communication satisfaction between leaders and followers.
- 4. Task- and Relationship-Oriented Leadership Behavior:** Leaders are evaluated by employees based on their handling of both task-related activities and relationship-building efforts, with optimal satisfaction achieved when both aspects are effectively managed.
- 5. Various Roles of Communication:** Communication serves multiple organizational functions, including coordination, information sharing, trust-building, and decision-making, underscoring the need for leaders to comprehend and adapt to diverse communication styles.
- 6. Gender Differences in Communication Techniques:** Varied communication techniques employed by men and women influence their problem-solving approaches and leadership styles, necessitating leaders' awareness of these differences for effective employee engagement.
- 7. Competence in Communication Skills:** Effective communicators possess the ability to convey messages that are comprehensible, accepted, and purposeful, requiring a blend of knowledge, motivation, skills, behavior, and efficacy.
- 8. Motivating Language:** The use of motivating language by leaders significantly enhances employee attitudes, job satisfaction, performance, and innovation, encompassing directive, empathetic, and meaning-making language.
- 9. Barriers to Female Leadership:** Objective and subjective obstacles hinder female leadership, encompassing institutional biases and stereotypical assumptions, thereby necessitating efforts to mitigate these barriers.
- 10. Hypothesis Formulation and Testing:** Hypotheses positing the positive impact of leaders' communication skills and task- and relationship-oriented leadership styles on employee satisfaction were validated, underscoring their substantial influence on employee attitudes and contentment.

In conclusion, Udin et al.'s (2019) study underscores the pivotal roles of communication skills and task- and relationship-oriented leadership styles in fostering employee satisfaction and constructive communicative relationships between leaders and their followers.

Moving beyond Udin et al.'s insights, recent literature by Kalashnikova and Vlasova (2022), Budko et al. (2023), and Barvinok and Pudlo (2023) explores the evolving landscape of higher education administration:

- Kalashnikova and Vlasova's (2022) investigation into university financial autonomy elucidates its potential to enhance social responsibility within higher education institutions, underscoring the need for leadership styles prioritizing strategic planning, autonomy, and innovation. However, further research is warranted to validate these findings across diverse educational contexts.
- Budko et al. (2023) shed light on the rise of digital education hubs in medical education, highlighting the exigency for visionary leadership adaptable to digital advancements. Despite providing valuable insights into digital innovation strategies, gaps in understanding the long-term effects of digital transformation on learning outcomes remain unexplored.
- Barvinok and Pudlo's (2023) examination of online content creation during wartime underscores leadership qualities such as resilience, creativity, and strategic crisis management. While offering valuable insights into maintaining educational standards amidst adversity, a comprehensive analysis of post-crisis recovery strategies is warranted.

Collectively, these studies underscore the criticality of strategic, adaptive, and resilient leadership in navigating contemporary challenges in higher education administration. By delving into novel realms such as

digital transformation and financial autonomy, this literature review aims to enrich the discourse on educational leadership, particularly within the context of Palestine's higher education landscape.

Conclusions

Varied Approaches to Leadership: The chapter describes multiple varieties of administrative leadership styles in higher education, it highlights how approaches like authoritarian, democratic, transformational, and transactional have a significant impact on the effectiveness of institutions. This diversity is attributed to the singular nature of the political challenges and cultural traditions within Palestinian Higher Education.

- 1. Impact on Institutional Performance:** The style of leadership affects the performance of institutions, including the participation of faculty, the success of students, and overall productivity. The chapter describes the specifics of how both participative and transformational leadership styles are beneficial, this style promotes an environment that is conducive to innovation and responds to changing educational requirements.
- 2. Organizational Culture and External Pressures:** These factors, including organizational culture and external political and social pressure, have a significant role in the selection of leadership styles. The chapter discusses the delicate equilibrium that leaders must maintain in order to maintain a balanced approach to leadership that takes into account both internal cultural evolution and external factors.
- 3. Empirical and Theoretical Basis:** The chapter provides a solid theoretical foundation for understanding the nature of leadership behavior, this is supported by empirical research. This includes a study of the literature and case studies that demonstrate the practical effects of different leadership styles in Palestinian higher education.
- 4. Strategic recommendations for leadership development:** it promotes strategic leadership education and development that is specific to the needs of institutions. This is considered essential for improving the effectiveness and adaptability of institutions, particularly in the context of democratic and transformational leadership in the educational bureaucracies.
- 5. Future Directions for Research and Policy:** The chapter's conclusion suggests areas that could be studied further and considered for future policy development, it also notes the necessity of ongoing evaluation of the effectiveness of leadership. It advocates for policies that promote the cultivation of leadership styles that concur with the global educational standards and the specific political context of Palestine.

These points together demonstrate the intricate relationship between leadership style and the performance of the public administration in higher education, this is discussed in the first chapter of the dissertation.

Recommendations

Based on the conclusions drawn from the chapter, here are some strategic recommendations for leadership development in Palestinian higher education:

- 1. Tailored Leadership Education Programs:** Develop leadership education programs specifically tailored to the unique needs and challenges of Palestinian higher education institutions. These programs should focus on enhancing skills related to participative and transformational leadership, fostering innovation, and adapting to evolving educational requirements.
- 2. Cultivate a Balanced Approach to Leadership:** Leaders should strive to maintain a balanced approach to leadership that considers both internal organizational culture and external political and social pressures. This requires cultivating an environment that encourages open communication, collaboration, and adaptability while also addressing external challenges proactively.
- 3. Invest in Empirical Research:** Foster a culture of empirical research to further understand the practical effects of different leadership styles in Palestinian higher education. This research should include case studies and literature reviews to provide a solid theoretical foundation for leadership behavior and its impact on institutional performance.
- 4. Continuous Leadership Evaluation:** Implement mechanisms for ongoing evaluation of leadership effectiveness within higher education institutions. This evaluation should assess the alignment of leadership styles with institutional goals, faculty and student satisfaction, and overall institutional productivity.
- 5. Alignment with Global Standards:** Develop policies that promote the cultivation of leadership styles that align with global educational standards while also considering the specific political context of Palestine. This includes promoting democratic and transformational leadership approaches that prioritize innovation, inclusivity, and responsiveness to changing educational needs.

By implementing these strategic recommendations, Palestinian higher education institutions can enhance leadership effectiveness, foster institutional growth and adaptability, and ultimately contribute to the advancement of education within the region.

REFERENCES

1. Abbas, A., Saud, M., Suhariadi, F., Usman, I., & Ekowati, D. (2022). Positive leadership psychology: Authentic and servant leadership in higher education in Pakistan. *Current Psychology*, 41(9), 5859-5871.
2. Abdallah, A., & Alkhrabsheh, A. (2019). The Best Leadership Styles for Preventing the Educational Crisis. *Opción: Revista de Ciencias Humanas y Sociales*, (20), 90-105.
3. Akanji, B., Mordi, C., Ituma, A., Adisa, T. A., & Ajonbadi, H. (2020). The influence of organisational culture on leadership style in higher education institutions. *Personnel Review*, 49(3), 709-732.
4. Akparep, J. Y., Jengre, E., & Mogre, A. A. (2019). The influence of leadership style on organizational performance at TumaKavi Development Association, Tamale, Northern Region of Ghana.
5. Alwedyan, A. M. I., & Soumadi, M. M. (2017). Leadership Styles among the Administrative Heads of Departments at Irbid University College and its Relationship with Employees' Motivation Toward Work. *Leadership*, 7(6), 30-36.
6. Antonakis, J., Avolio, B. J., & Sivasubramaniam, N. (2003). Context and leadership: An examination of the nine-factor full-range leadership theory using the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 14(3), 261-295.
7. Barvinok, V., & Pudlo, T. (2023). Formation of Online Content Patterns of Higher Education Based on Trends to Preserve Intellectual Capital Quality Decreasing in Ukraine During Wartime. *Business Ethics and Leadership*, 7(2), 109-127.
8. Bass, B. M. & Avolio, B. J. (2004) *Manual for the multifactor leadership questionnaire (form 5X)* (Redwood City, CA: Mind Garden).
9. Bass, B. M. (1990). From Transactional to Transformational Leadership: Learning to Share the Vision. *Organizational Dynamics*, 18, 19-31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616\(90\)90061-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616(90)90061-S).
10. Bass, B. M., & Bass Bernard, M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations.
11. Bass, B., Avolio, B. J., Jung, D., & Berson, Y. (2003). Predicting unit performance by assessing transformational and transactional leadership. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88, 207-218.
12. Brillhart, J. K., & Galanes, G. J. (1989). *Effective group discussion* (6th Ed.). Dubuque, IA: Wm. C. Brown.
13. Budko, H., Ivakhniuk, T., Ivakhniuk, Y., Plakhtienko, I., & Tsekhmister, Y. (2023). Digital education hubs in medical higher education: Ukraine and the EU perspectives. *Revista Amazonia Investiga*, 12(63), 233-242.
14. Burns, J. M. 1978. *Leadership*. New York: Harper & Row.
15. Cawelti, G. (1990). Value-added leadership: How to get extraordinary results in schools. *Educational Leadership*, 48(1), 89-91.
16. Dealtry, R. (2008). "Global Corporate and Demand led Learning Strategies", *Journal of Workplace learning*, Vol. 20, No. 4.
17. Deluga, R. J. (1990). The Effects of Transformational, Transactional, and Laissez Faire Leadership Characteristics on Subordinate Influencing Behavior. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 11, 191-203. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15324834basps1102_6.
18. DuBrin, A. J. (2006). *Essentials of management* (7th ed.). Mason, OH: Thomson South-Western.
19. Dulewicz, V., & Higgs, M. J. (2003). Design of a new instrument to assess leadership dimensions and styles. *Henley Working Paper Series HWP 0311*. Henley-on-Thames, UK: Henley Management College.
20. Emmanuel, O. (2021). Leadership Styles and Their Applications for Effective School Administration, *International Journal of Scientific and Management Research*, 5(2), 56-63.
21. Fiedler, F. (1967). *A theory of leadership effectiveness*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
22. Fisher, B. A. (1985). Leadership as medium: Treating complexity in group communication research. *Small Group Behavior*, 16, 167-196.
23. Globe, F. (1972). *Excellence in leadership*. American Management Association. Thomas Jefferson Research Center.
24. Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R., & McKee, A. (2002). *The New Leaders*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
25. Hassan, A., Gallear, D., & Sivarajah, U. (2018). Critical factors affecting leadership: a higher education context. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 12(1), 110-130.
26. Hersey, P., Blanchard, K. H., & Johnson, D. E. (2001). *Management of organizational behavior* (8th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
27. Heyliger, W., & Heyliger, W. (2014). Academic administrator leadership styles and the impact on faculty job satisfaction. *Journal of leadership Education*, 13(13), 34-49.
28. Hofstede, G. (1991). *Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind*, London: McGraw-Hill.
29. Holzer, M. (2022). The future of public administration. *Public Integrity*, 24(1), 102-104.
30. House, R. J. (1995). Leadership in the twenty-first century: A speculative inquiry. In A. Howard (Ed.), *The Changing Nature of Work*. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, CA.

31. Hoy, N. K., & Miskel, C. G. (2018). *Educational Administration: Theory, Research and Practice*. (2nd Ed.), New York: Random House.
32. Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (1991). *Educational administration: Theory, research, practice*(4th Ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
33. Hussain, Z., Javed, M., & Ali, A. (2020). The Impact of Administrators' Leadership Styles in Provision of Quality Education in Secondary Schools Level. *Global Regional Review*, V(III), 111-120. [https://doi.org/10.31703/grr.2020\(V-III\).12](https://doi.org/10.31703/grr.2020(V-III).12)
34. Inandi, Y., Tunc, B., & Gilic, F. (2013). School Administrators' Leadership Styles and Resistance to Change. *Online Submission*, 5(5), 196-203.
35. Jamali, A., Bhutto, A., Khaskhely, M., & Sethar, W. (2022). Impact of leadership styles on faculty performance: Moderating role of organizational culture in higher education. *Management Science Letters*, 12(1), 1-20.
36. Johnson, E. I. (2007). *Contextual Teaching Learning*, Kaifa Publisher.
37. Kalashnikova, S., & Vlasova, I. (2022). Expansion of University Financial Autonomy as an Instrument to Increase the University Social Responsibility. *International Scientific Journal of Universities and Leadership*, (13), 55-69.
38. Limberman, A., Beverly, F., & Alexander, L. (1994). *A Culture in the Making: Leadership in Learner Centred Schools*. New York: National Centre for Restructuring Education.
39. Luftman, J. N. (2004). *Managing the Information Technology Resource: Leadership in the Information Age*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.
40. Marume, S. B. M. (1988). *Life-work skills: Experiential learning* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). California University for Advanced Studies, United States of America.
41. Marume, S. B. M., & Ndudzo, D. (2016). *Approaches to Administrative Leadership*.
42. Mathieu, J. E., Zaccaro, S. J., & Klimoski, R. J. (2001). Into the box': Thinking about leadership research. *The Nature of Organizational Leadership: understanding the performance imperatives confronting today's leader*, 437-463.
43. McLaurin, J. R. and Amri, M. B. A. 2008. Developing an Understanding charismatic and transformational leadership. *Allied Academics International conference. Proceedings of the Academy of organizational Culture, communications and Conflicts*, 13(2).
44. McLaurin, J. R., & Amri, M. B. A. (2008). Developing an understanding charismatic and transformational leadership. *Proceedings of the Academy of Organizational Culture, Communications and Conflicts*, 13(2), Allied Academics International Conference.
45. Msila, V. (2011), School management and the struggle for effective schools, *Africa Education Review*, 8 (3): 434-449.
46. Naremo, S. P. (2002). *Factors influencing the choice of leadership styles in Baringo Secondary Schools* (Doctoral dissertation, Kenyatta University).
47. Naremo, S. P. (2002). *Factors influencing the choice of leadership styles in Baringo Secondary Schools* (Doctoral dissertation, Kenyatta University).
48. Alawneh, Y., Ashamali, M., Abdel-Hassan, R., Al-khawaldeh, S., Engestroom, Y. (2022) Degree Of Use Of E-Learning Science Teachers In Public High Schools In During The Corona-Covid 19 Pandemic, *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 6(2), 1060-1070.
49. Nicholson II, W. D. (2007). Leading where it counts: An investigation of the leadership styles and behaviors that define college and university presidents as successful fundraisers. *International Journal of Educational Advancement*, 7(4), 256-270.
50. Northouse, P. G. (2010). *Leadership: Theory and Practice* (5th Edition). SAGE Publications, Inc. United States of America.
51. Northouse, P. G. (2021). *Leadership: Theory and practice*. Sage publications.
52. Nwafor, S. O. (1991). *A study of administrative leadership styles of the senior administrators of public universities in Texas* (Doctoral dissertation, University of North Texas).
53. Nwokocha, I., & Iheriohanma, E. B. J. (2015). Nexus between Leadership Styles, Employee Retention and Performance in Organizations. *European Scientific Journal*, 11, 186-209.
54. Odumeru, J. A., & Ogbonna, I. G. (2013). Transformational vs. transactional leadership theories: Evidence in literature. *International review of management and business research*, 2(2), 355.
55. Olembo, J. O. et al (1988) *Management in Education*; Nairobi, Kenyatta University. Faculty of Education and university of London Institute of Education.
56. Onyango, N; (1998). Factors that influence girls primary school participation; A case study of Nginyang and Marigat Divisions of Baringo District; Unpublished Master of Education thesis of Kenyatta University.
57. Abu Shkheedim, S., Alawneh, Y., Khuwayra, O., Salman, F., khayyat, T. (2022). The Level Of Satisfaction Of Parents Of Students With Learning Difficulties Towards Distance Learning After The Corona Pandemic, *NeuroQuantology*, 20(19), 1299-1311
58. Pande, M. (2015). Leadership styles in higher education-BIG leader-bonds, inspires, guides. *Indian Journal of Economics and Development*, 3(2), 161-167.
59. Pfiffner, J. M., & Presthus, R. V. (1967). *Public Administration* (5th ed.). The Ronald Press Company.

60. Prabahar, I. B., & Jerome, V. B. (2023). The Leadership Styles of Administrators and the Professional Effectiveness of Teachers-Enlighten Innovation and Creative Learning Among Educational Institutions. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, 8(5), e01996-e01996.
61. Riaz, A., & Haider, M. H. (2010). Role of transformational and transactional leadership on job satisfaction and career satisfaction. *Business and Economic Horizons*, 1(1), 29-38.
62. Ribbins, P., & Gunter, H. (2002). Mapping leadership studies in education: towards a typology of knowledge domains. *Educational management & administration*, 30(4), 359-385.
63. Robbins, S. P. and Coulter, M. (2007) *Management* (9th ed.). London: Prentice- Hall
64. Robbins, S. P., Judge, T. A., Millett, B., & Waters-Marsh, T. (2008). *Organisational Behaviour* (5th ed.). Pearson Education, Fenchs Forest, NSW.
65. Robert, V., & Vandenberghe, C. (2021). Laissez-faire leadership and affective commitment: The roles of leader-member exchange and subordinate relational self-concept. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 36, 533-551.
66. Scott, D.T., editor (1992). *School Leadership; A blue print for change*; Newsbury Park, California, Corwin Press, Inc. A sage publication company.
67. Seckeler-Hudson, C. (1957). *Organisation and management; theory and practice*. The American University Press.
68. Alawneh, Y., Al-Momani, T., Salman, F., Alkhwaldeh, A., Al-Dlalah, M., Kaddumi, T. (2023). The state of musically gifted students in Palestine: a case study, *Res Militaris*, 13(2), 2058-2069.
69. Shorobura, I., & Dolynska, O. (2023). Leadership in public administration: today's challenges. *Journal of Education, Health and Sport*, 13(2), 299-308.
70. Snodgrass, J., & Shachar, M. (2008). Faculty perceptions of occupational therapy program directors' leadership styles and outcomes of leadership. *Journal of Allied Health*, 37(4). ProQuest Education Journals.
71. Susanti, Y. (2015). Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan Demokratis terhadap Kinerja Pegawai pada Kantor Kecamatan Sungai Pinang Kota Samarinda. *eJournal Ilmu Administrasi Negara*, 3(1).
72. Syakur, A., Narimo, S., & Prayitno, H. J. (2022). The Effect of Democratic Leadership Style in Improving The Quality Higher Education of PGRI-Based Management in East Java. *Edukasi Islami: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 11(01)
73. Syakur, A., Susilo, T. A. B., Wike, W., & Ahmadi, R. (2020). Sustainability of communication, organizational culture, cooperation, trust and leadership style for lecturer commitments in higher education. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute (BIRCI-Journal): Humanities and Social Sciences*, 3(2), 1325-1335.
74. Taher, A., Enaya, A., Sarsour, A., Bahar, S., Hamayel, D., Safarini, O., ... & Nazzal, Z. (2023). Growing a peritoneal dialysis program in Palestine from zero to 178 patients in 5 years: a single-center experience. *BMC nephrology*, 24(1), 555-567, DOI: 10.1080/1475939X.2023.2248134.
75. Telford, H. (1996), *Transforming schools through collaborative leadership*; London, Sage publications.
76. Thaher, S., Sala, D., & Nastase, M. (2022). The Leadership Styles of School Principals in Secondary Schools in East Jerusalem. *Revista de Management Comparat International*, 23(1), 6-20.
77. Ticlau, T., & Hintea, C. (2016). Administrative leadership and situational antecedents in the Romanian public administration. *Lex Localis*, 14(1), 133.
78. Toker, A. (2022). Importance of leadership in the higher education. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Educational Studies*, 9(2), 230-236.
79. Trompenaars, F. (1993). *Riding the waves of culture*. London, UK: Economist Books.
80. Udin, U., Handayani, S., Yuniawan, A., & Rahardja, E. (2019). Leadership styles and communication skills at Indonesian higher education: patterns, influences, and applications for organization. *Organizations and markets in emerging economies*, 10(1), 111-131.
81. Vasilescu, M. (2019). LEADERSHIP STYLES AND THEORIES IN AN EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY. *ACADEMICA BRÂNCUȘI" PUBLISHER*, 4, 47- 52.
82. Alawneh, Y., Al-Momani, T., Salman, F., Al-Ahmad, S., Kaddumi, T., Al-Dlalah, M. (2023). The Extent of the Prevalence of Pronunciation Problems among Students of the First Primary Stage in the Point of View of their Teachers and Treatment Methods, *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 29(3), 19-33.
83. Voon, M. L., Lo, M. C., Ngui, S. K., & Peter, S. (2009). Leadership styles in context of institution of higher education in Malaysia. Retrieved from bai2009.org/file/Papers/1657.doc.
84. Voon, M. L., Lo, M. C., Ngui, S. K., & Peter, S. (2009). Leadership styles in context of institution of higher education in Malaysia. Retrieved from bai2009.org/file/Papers/1657.doc.
85. Warrilow, S. (2012) *Transformational Leadership Theory - The 4 Key Components in Leading Change & Managing Change*. [Retrieved 15/03/2013]. http://EzineArticles.com/?expert=Stephen_Warrilow.
86. Winingsih, L. H., & Sulistiono, A. A. (2020). Factors influencing the principal's leadership and its impact on learning quality and learning outcome. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 10(2), 143-156.

87. Wu, F. Y., & Shiu, C. (2009). The relationship between leadership styles and foreign English teachers' job satisfaction in adult English cram schools: Evidences in Taiwan. *The Journal of American Academy of Business, Cambridge*, 14(2).123-146.