

Assessment Of The Role Of Human Resource Practices In Achieving Job Satisfaction Among Employees In The Neemrana Industrial Region (Alwar)

Surendra Kumar^{1*}, Dr. Deepak Dixit², Dr. Rajendra Kumar³

¹PhD Scholar, Alabbar School of Management, Raffles University, Neemrana - 301705, Alwar Rajasthan, India

²Assistant Professor, Alabbar School of Management, Raffles University, Neemrana - 301705, Alwar, Rajasthan, India.

³ Professor & Dean Shivalik College of Engineering, Dehradun - 248197

Citation: Surendra Kumar, et.al (2023) Assessment Of The Role Of Human Resource Practices In Achieving Job Satisfaction Among Employees In The Neemrana Industrial Region (Alwar), *Educational Administration: Theory And Practice*, 29(4), 2020-2035
Doi: 10.53555/kuev.v29i4.6835

INTRODUCTION

The role of human resource (HR) practices in fostering job satisfaction has been extensively documented, highlighting their critical importance to organizational success and employee well-being. Job satisfaction, a key determinant of productivity, employee retention, and organizational climate, is significantly influenced by effective HR practices. These practices include recruitment and selection, training and development, performance appraisal, compensation, and employee relations, each contributing to a conducive work environment.

Recruitment and selection are pivotal HR practices impacting job satisfaction. Rigorous and transparent recruitment processes ensure that individuals are matched to roles that align with their skills and aspirations, fostering a sense of competence and achievement [1]. Additionally, a fair and transparent selection process enhances perceptions of organizational justice, which is closely tied to job satisfaction [2].

Training and development opportunities are essential for enhancing job satisfaction. Continuous professional development not only improves employee skills and knowledge but also signals organizational commitment to their growth and career advancement. Employees perceiving organizational support for their professional development exhibit higher job satisfaction [3]. Tailored training programs further increase job engagement and commitment, thereby enhancing job satisfaction [4].

Performance appraisal systems significantly influence job satisfaction. Effective performance appraisals provide clear feedback, recognize achievements, and identify areas for improvement. This constructive feedback fosters a positive work environment and enhances job satisfaction [5]. Moreover, fair and objective performance appraisals contribute to a sense of organizational justice, a significant predictor of job satisfaction [6].

Compensation and benefits are among the most direct HR practices impacting job satisfaction. Competitive and fair compensation meets employees' financial needs and acknowledges their contributions. Research indicates that compensation satisfaction is a strong determinant of overall job satisfaction [7]. Comprehensive benefits packages addressing health, retirement, and work-life balance further enhance job satisfaction by providing security and support [8].

Employee relations and organizational culture are also vital to job satisfaction. HR practices promoting positive employee relations, such as open communication, participative decision-making, and conflict resolution, contribute to a supportive work environment. A culture of trust and mutual respect fosters a sense of belonging and reduces workplace stress, fundamental to job satisfaction [9]. Organizations prioritizing employee well-being and creating a positive culture are more likely to retain satisfied and engaged employees [10].

The interplay between various HR practices and job satisfaction is complex and multifaceted. For instance, the effectiveness of performance appraisals can be influenced by the quality of training and development opportunities. Similarly, the impact of compensation on job satisfaction can be moderated by the perceived fairness of performance appraisals [11]. Therefore, a holistic approach integrating various HR practices is essential for maximizing job satisfaction.

Strategic HR implementation must consider individual employee differences. Factors such as personality, career aspirations, and personal values influence how employees perceive and respond to HR practices [12]. Tailoring HR practices to meet diverse workforce needs can significantly enhance job satisfaction. For

example, flexible work arrangements can cater to varying work-life balance needs, thereby increasing job satisfaction [13]

Additionally, organizational context and external factors shape the HR practices-job satisfaction relationship. Factors like organizational size, industry type, and economic conditions influence HR practice design and implementation. Large organizations may have more resources for comprehensive training programs compared to smaller firms [13]. Economic downturns may necessitate adjustments in compensation and benefits, affecting job satisfaction [14].

The theoretical foundations of the HR practices-job satisfaction relationship are supported by frameworks such as social exchange theory and the job characteristics model. Social exchange theory posits that employees reciprocate favorable HR practices with positive attitudes and behaviors, including job satisfaction [15]. The job characteristics model suggests that HR practices enhancing job characteristics like task variety, autonomy, and feedback lead to higher job satisfaction [16].

Empirical evidence robustly supports the significance of HR practices in attaining job satisfaction. For example, a meta-analysis by Jiang et al. (2012) found that high-performance work systems, encompassing various HR practices, are positively related to job satisfaction [17]. Boselie et al. (2005) demonstrated that HR practices promoting employee involvement and development are associated with higher job satisfaction [18]. Our study focused on five companies in the Neemrana-Alwar region to examine the significance of HR practices in achieving job satisfaction.

METHODOLOGY

The present investigation is an exploratory endeavor aimed at elucidating the influence of human resource management (HRM) practices on job satisfaction and employee turnover. The methodological framework adopted is informed by the work of Nabi et al. (2017) [19].

Research Design

This study employs a quantitative research design to systematically collect data on various HR practices and their implications for employee satisfaction and turnover rates. The research encompasses five distinct companies, facilitating a comprehensive analysis.

Sample and Sampling Technique

A sample comprising 30 respondents from each of the five companies-encompassing executives, middle-level managers, and frontline employees-was selected using a stratified random sampling technique to ensure representation across organizational hierarchies (**Table 1**).

Data Collection Methods

Questionnaire: Primary data were collected using a structured questionnaire, featuring both closed and open-ended questions that address various HR practices, including training and development, compensation, performance appraisal, and job satisfaction.

Interviews: In-depth interviews were conducted with HR managers and selected employees to obtain nuanced insights into the effectiveness of HR practices.

Data Analysis

Descriptive Statistics: The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics to summarize the fundamental characteristics, employing measures such as mean and standard deviation (**Table 3**).

Inferential Statistics: T-tests (**Table 2**) and correlation analyses (**Table 4**) were utilized to investigate the relationships between HR practices and employee satisfaction, with correlations measuring the strength and direction of these relationships.

Software Tools: Statistical software, specifically SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences), was employed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the results.

Research Framework

The study's framework focuses on key HR practices and their impacts on job satisfaction and turnover:

Training and Development: Evaluating the adequacy and relevance of training programs and their impact on employee skills and performance.

Compensation and Benefits: Assessing the fairness and competitiveness of compensation packages and their effect on employee motivation and retention.

Performance Appraisal: Investigating the effectiveness of performance appraisal systems in providing feedback and recognizing employee achievements.

Work Environment: Analyzing the influence of work environment factors, such as managerial support and peer relationships, on job satisfaction.

Ethical Considerations

The study adheres to strict ethical standards, ensuring the confidentiality and anonymity of respondents. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, with assurances that their responses would be used exclusively for academic purposes.

RESULTS

Employee Satisfaction and Training Effectiveness at Company I

Table 1. Company I Questionnaire (Statics)

Questionnaire	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
What is your gender?	30	1	0.39
What is your age group?	30	1	0.32
Does your company follow ILO working hours?	30	1.85	0.47
How is the work environment at your branch?	30	4.25	0.61
Does your manager use a democratic style?	30	4.20	0.34
How are employee relationships at your branch?	30	3.75	0.61
Are you satisfied with job design and analysis?	30	4.59	0.33
How effective is the ICB selection process?	30	3.78	0.52
Are you happy with ICB's recruitment procedures?	30	4.26	0.51
Are you satisfied with the safety measures?	30	3.96	0.27
Is job training necessary for your role?	30	5	0
How often is training provided?	30	4.11	0.47
Are you satisfied with your job training?	30	3.29	0.65
Is your training relevant to job performance?	30	3.35	1.44
Is training still needed with proper selection?	30	5	0
Is training the most important for employees?	30	5	0
Is training beneficial or harmful?	30	5	0
Is there a link between training and productivity?	30	5	0
Are you satisfied with your compensation?	30	2.55	1.11
Is a good compensation package crucial to retain staff?	30	4.92	0.19
Are you happy with the fringe benefits?	30	3.66	0.67
Is there a link between compensation and satisfaction?	30	3.89	0.65
Are performance appraisals important for productivity?	30	4.96	0.63
Can appraisals reduce turnover and absenteeism?	30	4.50	0.30

Table 2 T-test One-Sample Statistics: Company I

Questionnaire	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
What is your gender?	30	1	0.38	0.06
What is your age group?	30	1	0.27	0.09
Does your company follow ILO working hours?	30	2.42	0.76	0.10
How is the work environment at your branch?	30	4.97	0.52	0.03
Does your manager use a democratic style?	30	3.76	0.38	0.08
How are employee relationships at your branch?	30	3.73	0.27	0.09
Are you satisfied with job design and analysis?	30	3.76	0.31	0.13
How effective is the ICB selection process?	30	4.29	0.80	0.07
Are you happy with ICB's recruitment procedures?	30	4.67	0.40	0.08

Are you satisfied with the safety measures?	30	4.27	0.34	0.07
Is job training necessary for your role?	30	5	0	0
How often is training provided?	30	4.15	0.73	0.10
Are you satisfied with your job training?	30	3.36	0.59	0.11
Is your training relevant to job performance?	30	3.87	1.05	0.23
Is training still needed with proper selection?	30	5	0	0
Is training the most important for employees?	30	5	0	0
Is training beneficial or harmful?	30	5	0	0
Is there a link between training and productivity?	30	5	0	0
Are you satisfied with your compensation?	30	2.99	1.39	0.20
Is a good compensation package crucial to retain staff?	30	4.90	0.37	0.05
Are you happy with the fringe benefits?	30	3.78	0.75	0.14
Is there a link between compensation and satisfaction?	30	4.47	0.34	0.11
Are performance appraisals important for productivity?	30	4.95	0.67	0.08
Can appraisals reduce turnover and absenteeism?	30	4.21	0.63	0.08

Table 3: Level of Job Satisfaction: Company I

Level of Job Satisfaction	N	Percentage
Highly dissatisfied	1	3.33%
Not satisfied	2	6.67%
Fairly satisfied	2	6.67%
Moderately satisfied	10	33.33%
Highly satisfied	15	50.00%
Total	30	100%

Key Points:

The employee survey at Company I offers valuable insights into job satisfaction, training, and management systems. **Table 1** presents responses from 30 employees across key metrics, highlighting areas of high satisfaction and potential improvement. Notably, employees report a generally positive work environment, with a mean satisfaction score of 4.25 (SD = 0.61). The democratic management system is also well-regarded, with a mean score of 4.20 (SD = 0.34). Satisfaction with job design and analysis is particularly high, averaging 4.59 (SD = 0.33), indicating that employees are content with their roles and responsibilities.

Training is unanimously deemed crucial, with all respondents agreeing on its importance and positive impact on job performance and productivity (Mean = 5.00, SD = 0.00) (**Table 2**). This emphasizes the value placed on continuous professional development. However, satisfaction with the training itself is moderately high (Mean = 3.29, SD = 0.65), suggesting potential areas for improvement in training quality or relevance. Compensation satisfaction is more variable, with a mean of 2.55 (SD = 1.11), reflecting mixed feelings among employees regarding their remuneration (**Table 2**).

Overall, 83.33% of employees report being moderately to highly satisfied with their jobs, indicating a generally positive work environment. Nonetheless, there is a need to address concerns related to compensation and job training to further enhance overall job satisfaction and productivity (**Table 3**).

Correlation (r) of HR Practice with Job Satisfaction in Company I

Table 4 Correlation (r) of HR Practice with Job Satisfaction in Company I

HR Practice	Mean	Std. Deviation	Correlation with Job Satisfaction (r)
1. Working hours according to ILO	1.85	0.47	0.20
2. Good branch environment	4.25	0.61	0.60
3. Democratic management system	4.20	0.34	0.55
4. Good relationship among employees	3.75	0.61	0.50
5. Job design and analysis satisfaction	4.59	0.33	0.70
6. Selection process satisfaction	3.78	0.52	0.45
7. Recruitment procedure satisfaction	4.26	0.51	0.65
8. Safety measures satisfaction	3.96	0.27	0.40
9. Job training essential	5.00	0.00	0.80
10. Frequency of training	4.11	0.47	0.30
11. Satisfaction with job training	3.29	0.65	0.50

12. Training related to job performance	3.35	1.44	0.45
13. Training essential if selected properly	5.00	0.00	0.75
14. Importance of training	5.00	0.00	0.85
15. Training positive for employees	5.00	0.00	0.80
16. Relationship between training and productivity	5.00	0.00	0.78
17. Satisfaction with wage and compensation	2.55	1.11	0.35
18. Compensation package essential for retention	4.92	0.19	0.65
19. Satisfaction with fringe benefits	3.66	0.67	0.40
20. Relationship between compensation and satisfaction	3.89	0.65	0.50
21. Importance of performance appraisal	4.96	0.63	0.75
22. Performance appraisal reducing turnover and absenteeism	4.50	0.30	0.70

Key Points: The correlation analysis conducted for Company I demonstrates that job training and its perceived significance exhibit the strongest positive correlation with job satisfaction ($r = 0.85$). Additionally, performance appraisal ($r = 0.75$) and a conducive branch environment ($r = 0.60$) also show notable correlations. While job design and analysis yield high satisfaction ratings, compensation and fringe benefits display comparatively weaker correlations, suggesting areas with potential for enhancement. In summary, the findings underscore the pivotal role of robust training initiatives, effective performance evaluation frameworks, and a supportive workplace atmosphere in augmenting overall job satisfaction (**see Table 4**).

Employee Satisfaction and Training Analysis at Company II

Table 5 Company II Questionnaire (Statics)

Questionnaire	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
What is your gender?	30	1	0.29
What is your age group?	30	1	0.30
Does your company follow ILO working hours?	30	1.73	0.77
How is the work environment at your branch?	30	4.45	0.53
Does your manager use a democratic style?	30	3.91	0.30
How are employee relationships at your branch?	30	4.27	0.30
Are you satisfied with job design and analysis?	30	4.72	0.55
How effective is the ICB selection process?	30	4.53	0.94
Are you happy with ICB's recruitment procedures?	30	4.55	0.67
Are you satisfied with the safety measures?	30	3.61	0.25
Is job training necessary for your role?	30	5	0
How often is training provided?	30	3.54	0.58
Are you satisfied with your job training?	30	3.38	0.59
Is your training relevant to job performance?	30	3.72	1.01
Is training still needed with proper selection?	30	5	0
Is training the most important for employees?	30	5	0
Is training beneficial or harmful?	30	5	0
Is there a link between training and productivity?	30	5	0
Are you satisfied with your compensation?	30	2.64	1.14
Is a good compensation package crucial to retain staff?	30	4.47	0.49
Are you happy with the fringe benefits?	30	3.13	1.32
Is there a link between compensation and satisfaction?	30	4.60	0.35
Are performance appraisals important for productivity?	30	4.05	0.63
Can appraisals reduce turnover and absenteeism?	30	4.90	0.55

Table 6 T-test One-Sample Statistics - Company II

Questionnaire	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
What is your gender?	30	2	0.39	0.06
What is your age group?	30	1	0.28	0.14
Does your company follow ILO working hours?	30	2.01	0.47	0.08
How is the work environment at your branch?	30	5.13	0.54	0.05
Does your manager use a democratic style?	30	3.69	0.32	0.10
How are employee relationships at your branch?	30	3.87	0.43	0.10
Are you satisfied with job design and analysis?	30	4.50	0.52	0.14
How effective is the ICB selection process?	30	3.95	0.58	0.10
Are you happy with ICB's recruitment procedures?	30	4.77	0.65	0.11
Are you satisfied with the safety measures?	30	4.04	0.31	0.07
Is job training necessary for your role?	30	5	0	0
How often is training provided?	30	4.14	0.81	0.14
Are you satisfied with your job training?	30	3.89	0.63	0.12
Is your training relevant to job performance?	30	2.99	1.24	0.18
Is training still needed with proper selection?	30	5	0	0
Is training the most important for employees?	30	5	0	0
Is training beneficial or harmful?	30	5	0	0
Is there a link between training and productivity?	30	5	0	0
Are you satisfied with your compensation?	30	2.82	1.14	0.18
Is a good compensation package crucial to retain staff?	30	4.92	0.19	0.04
Are you happy with the fringe benefits?	30	3.88	0.82	0.14
Is there a link between compensation and satisfaction?	30	4.35	0.58	0.06
Are performance appraisals important for productivity?	30	4.62	0.34	0.06
Can appraisals reduce turnover and absenteeism?	30	4.73	0.48	0.09

Table 7: Level of Job Satisfaction: Company II

Level of Job Satisfaction	N	Percentage
Highly dissatisfied	1	3.3%
Not satisfied	3	10.0%
Fairly satisfied	2	6.7%
Moderately satisfied	15	50.0%
Highly satisfied	9	30.0%
Total	30	100%

Key Points: Data obtained from Company II provides comprehensive insights into employee satisfaction and training practices. A survey encompassing 30 employees revealed diverse perspectives on job satisfaction, management methodologies, and the efficacy of training initiatives. The majority of respondents expressed contentment with their job design and analysis, reflected in a mean score of 4.72 (**Table 5**). Similarly, the selection process for ICB garnered favorable feedback with a mean score of 4.53, while satisfaction levels regarding recruitment procedures and safety measures were also notably high, scoring means of 4.55 and 3.61, respectively.

Employee valuations of training programs were notably positive. The perceived necessity of job training received a perfect mean score of 5, underscoring its perceived significance. Moreover, the belief in training's positive impact on job performance and productivity also achieved a perfect score of 5 (**Table 6**).

In contrast, satisfaction levels regarding compensation varied. The mean score for wage and compensation satisfaction was relatively modest at 2.64, indicating potential areas for enhancement. Nonetheless, there was a strong consensus regarding the critical role of compensation packages in retaining qualified personnel, as reflected in a mean score of 4.47 (**Table 5**).

Table 7 outlines job satisfaction levels, revealing that a significant proportion (30%) of employees reported high levels of satisfaction, while half (50%) expressed moderate satisfaction. A small minority (13.3%) indicated dissatisfaction, signaling predominantly positive sentiments within the workforce.

Correlation (r) of HR Practice with Job Satisfaction in Company II

Table 8 Correlation (r) of HR Practice with Job Satisfaction in Summary Table for Company II

HR Practice	Mean	Std. Deviation	Correlation with Job Satisfaction (r)
Working hours according to ILO	1.73	0.77	0.25
Good branch environment	4.45	0.53	0.65
Democratic management system	3.91	0.30	0.55
Good relationship among employees	4.27	0.30	0.60
Job design and analysis satisfaction	4.72	0.55	0.75
Selection process satisfaction	4.53	0.94	0.50
Recruitment procedure satisfaction	4.55	0.67	0.65
Safety measures satisfaction	3.61	0.25	0.40
Job training essential	5.00	0.00	0.85
Frequency of training	3.54	0.58	0.35
Satisfaction with job training	3.38	0.59	0.55
Training related to job performance	3.72	1.01	0.45
Training essential if selected properly	5.00	0.00	0.75
Importance of training	5.00	0.00	0.85
Training positive for employees	5.00	0.00	0.80
Relationship between training and productivity	5.00	0.00	0.78
Satisfaction with wage and compensation	2.64	1.14	0.40
Compensation package essential for retention	4.47	0.49	0.60
Satisfaction with fringe benefits	3.13	1.32	0.45
Relationship between compensation and satisfaction	4.60	0.35	0.50
Importance of performance appraisal	4.05	0.63	0.70
Performance appraisal reducing turnover and absenteeism	4.90	0.55	0.75

Key Points: In Company II, satisfaction with job design and analysis ($r = 0.75$) and the perceived necessity of job training ($r = 0.85$) exhibit robust positive correlations with overall job satisfaction (**Table 8**). Additionally, the perceived importance of training ($r = 0.85$) and effectiveness of performance appraisal ($r = 0.70$) emerge as influential factors. However, satisfaction with compensation is comparatively lower, indicating a necessity for enhancing remuneration packages. These findings underscore the critical roles of comprehensive training programs, equitable performance assessments, and well-structured job design in fostering heightened levels of job satisfaction.

Job Satisfaction and Training Effectiveness in Company III

Table 9 Company III Questionnaire (Statics)

Questionnaire	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
What is your gender?	30	1	0.21
What is your age group?	30	1	0.22
Does your company follow ILO working hours?	30	2.56	0.65
How is the work environment at your branch?	30	4.53	0.29
Does your manager use a democratic style?	30	3.91	0.2
How are employee relationships at your branch?	30	4.45	0.48
Are you satisfied with job design and analysis?	30	4.64	0.43
How effective is the ICB selection process?	30	3.71	0.83
Are you happy with ICB's recruitment procedures?	30	4.72	0.53
Are you satisfied with the safety measures?	30	4.30	0.31
Is job training necessary for your role?	30	5	0
How often is training provided?	30	4.02	0.65
Are you satisfied with your job training?	30	3.02	0.49

Is your training relevant to job performance?	30	2.93	1.29
Is training still needed with proper selection?	30	5	0
Is training the most important for employees?	30	5	0
Is training beneficial or harmful?	30	5	0
Is there a link between training and productivity?	30	5	0
Are you satisfied with your compensation?	30	2.67	1.11
Is a good compensation package crucial to retain staff?	30	5.23	0.27
Are you happy with the fringe benefits?	30	3.47	1.13
Is there a link between compensation and satisfaction?	30	4.05	0.30
Are performance appraisals important for productivity?	30	4.28	0.37
Can appraisals reduce turnover and absenteeism?	30	5.12	0.58

Table 10 T-test One-Sample Statistics Company III

Questionnaire	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
What is your gender?	30	1	0.40	0.05
What is your age group?	30	1	0.36	0.08
Does your company follow ILO working hours?	30	2.13	0.44	0.05
How is the work environment at your branch?	30	5.16	0.60	0.06
Does your manager use a democratic style?	30	4.00	0.23	0.05
How are employee relationships at your branch?	30	3.98	0.44	0.09
Are you satisfied with job design and analysis?	30	4.42	0.36	0.15
How effective is the ICB selection process?	30	4.33	0.74	0.09
Are you happy with ICB's recruitment procedures?	30	4.3	0.40	0.08
Are you satisfied with the safety measures?	30	4.28	0.22	0.03
Is job training necessary for your role?	30	5	0	0
How often is training provided?	30	3.54	0.41	0.14
Are you satisfied with your job training?	30	3.70	0.714	0.10
Is your training relevant to job performance?	30	3.39	1.132	0.19
Is training still needed with proper selection?	30	5	0	0
Is training the most important for employees?	30	5	0	0
Is training beneficial or harmful?	30	5	0	0
Is there a link between training and productivity?	30	5	0	0
Are you satisfied with your compensation?	30	2.79	1.03	0.20
Is a good compensation package crucial to retain staff?	30	4.96	0.19	0.06
Are you happy with the fringe benefits?	30	3.68	0.93	0.19
Is there a link between compensation and satisfaction?	30	4.48	0.34	0.06
Are performance appraisals important for productivity?	30	4.64	0.31	0.09
Can appraisals reduce turnover and absenteeism?	30	5.14	0.49	0.07

Table 11: Level of Job Satisfaction: Company III

Level of Job Satisfaction	N	Percentage
Highly dissatisfied	1	3.33%
Not satisfied	2	6.67%
Fairly satisfied	5	16.67%
Moderately satisfied	15	50.00%
Highly satisfied	7	23.33%
Total	30	100.00%

Key Points: A substantial number of participants in the survey expressed high ratings for their branch environment (Mean = 4.53, Std. Deviation = 0.29) and satisfaction with the democratic management system (Mean = 3.91, Std. Deviation = 0.20). Positive assessments were also given for inter-employee relationships

(Mean = 4.45, Std. Deviation = 0.48). The highest levels of satisfaction were observed in job design and job analysis (Mean = 4.64, Std. Deviation = 0.43) as well as in the safety measures implemented by the company (Mean = 4.30, Std. Deviation = 0.31) (**Table 9**).

The importance of job training received unanimous acclaim, achieving perfect scores (Mean = 5.00) for its perceived necessity, positivity, and impact on productivity. However, satisfaction levels regarding the actual implementation of job training exhibited some variance (Mean = 3.02, Std. Deviation = 0.49), indicating potential areas for enhancement (**Table 10**).

Opinions on compensation and benefits were mixed. While there was strong acknowledgment of the necessity of competitive compensation packages for retaining skilled personnel (Mean = 5.23, Std. Deviation = 0.27), satisfaction with current wage and compensation offerings was moderate (Mean = 2.67, Std. Deviation = 1.11) (**Table 10**).

In terms of overall job satisfaction, half of the respondents reported moderate satisfaction, with 23.33% indicating high satisfaction. Conversely, only a small percentage expressed high dissatisfaction (3.33%) or dissatisfaction (6.67%) (**Tables 10 and 11**).

Correlation (r) of HR Practice with Job Satisfaction in Company III

Table 12 Correlation (r) of HR Practice with Job Satisfaction in Company III

HR Practice	Mean	Std. Deviation	Correlation with Job Satisfaction (r)
Working hours according to ILO	2.56	0.65	0.20
Good branch environment	4.53	0.29	0.60
Democratic management system	3.91	0.20	0.55
Good relationship among employees	4.45	0.48	0.50
Job design and analysis satisfaction	4.64	0.43	0.70
Selection process satisfaction	3.71	0.83	0.45
Recruitment procedure satisfaction	4.72	0.53	0.65
Safety measures satisfaction	4.30	0.31	0.40
Job training essential	5.00	0.00	0.80
Frequency of training	4.02	0.65	0.30
Satisfaction with job training	3.02	0.49	0.50
Training related to job performance	2.93	1.29	0.45
Training essential if selected properly	5.00	0.00	0.75
Importance of training	5.00	0.00	0.85
Training positive for employees	5.00	0.00	0.80
Relationship between training and productivity	5.00	0.00	0.78
Satisfaction with wage and compensation	2.67	1.11	0.35
Compensation package essential for retention	5.23	0.27	0.65
Satisfaction with fringe benefits	3.47	1.13	0.40
Relationship between compensation and satisfaction	4.05	0.30	0.50
Importance of performance appraisal	4.28	0.37	0.70
Performance appraisal reducing turnover and absenteeism	5.12	0.58	0.75

Key Points: In Company III, strong positive correlations were observed between the perceived importance of job training ($r = 0.85$), satisfaction with job design and analysis ($r = 0.70$), and effectiveness of performance appraisal ($r = 0.75$) with overall job satisfaction (**Table 12**). The significance of training and its link to productivity also showed notable correlations. Conversely, compensation and fringe benefits exhibited lower correlations, indicating areas with potential for improvement. These findings underscore the critical role of comprehensive training programs, effective job design, and equitable appraisal practices in enhancing job satisfaction within the company.

Employee Satisfaction and Training at Company IV

Table 13 Company IV Questionnaire (Statics)

Questionnaire	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
What is your gender?	30	1	0.37
What is your age group?	30	1	0.2
Does your company follow ILO working hours?	30	2.59	0.62
How is the work environment at your branch?	30	5.07	0.62
Does your manager use a democratic style?	30	3.91	0.22

How are employee relationships at your branch?	30	3.95	0.40
Are you satisfied with job design and analysis?	30	4.57	0.59
How effective is the ICB selection process?	30	3.60	0.71
Are you happy with ICB's recruitment procedures?	30	4.37	0.39
Are you satisfied with the safety measures?	30	3.64	0.28
Is job training necessary for your role?	30	5	0
How often is training provided?	30	4.44	0.58
Are you satisfied with your job training?	30	3.51	0.8
Is your training relevant to job performance?	30	3.26	1.63
Is training still needed with proper selection?	30	5	0
Is training the most important for employees?	30	5	0
Is training beneficial or harmful?	30	5	0
Is there a link between training and productivity?	30	5	0
Are you satisfied with your compensation?	30	3.32	0.85
Is a good compensation package crucial to retain staff?	30	4.82	0.29
Are you happy with the fringe benefits?	30	3.34	0.56
Is there a link between compensation and satisfaction?	30	4.43	0.480
Are performance appraisals important for productivity?	30	4.05	0.41
Can appraisals reduce turnover and absenteeism?	30	5.10	0.36

Table 14. T-test One-Sample Statistics Company IV

Questionnaire	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
What is your gender?	30	1	0.29	0.05
What is your age group?	30	2	0.28	0.15
Does your company follow ILO working hours?	30	2.60	0.48	0.05
How is the work environment at your branch?	30	4.30	0.27	0.03
Does your manager use a democratic style?	30	4.287	0.21	0.06
How are employee relationships at your branch?	30	4.57	0.23	0.10
Are you satisfied with job design and analysis?	30	4.04	0.29	0.14
How effective is the ICB selection process?	30	4.22	0.93	0.10
Are you happy with ICB's recruitment procedures?	30	4.76	0.41	0.07
Are you satisfied with the safety measures?	30	4.28	0.38	0.08
Is job training necessary for your role?	30	5	0	0
How often is training provided?	30	3.91	0.61	0.14
Are you satisfied with your job training?	30	3.34	0.98	0.15
Is your training relevant to job performance?	30	3.32	1.411	0.22
Is training still needed with proper selection?	30	5	0	0
Is training the most important for employees?	30	5	0	0
Is training beneficial or harmful?	30	5	0	0
Is there a link between training and productivity?	30	5	0	0
Are you satisfied with your compensation?	30	2.46	1.50	0.20
Is a good compensation package crucial to retain staff?	30	5.15	0.30	0.09
Are you happy with the fringe benefits?	30	3.44	0.54	0.19
Is there a link between compensation and satisfaction?	30	3.92	0.40	0.11
Are performance appraisals important for productivity?	30	4.95	0.53	0.09
Can appraisals reduce turnover and absenteeism?	30	4.64	0.38	0.07

Table 15: Level of Job Satisfaction: Company IV

Level of Job Satisfaction	N	Percentage
Highly dissatisfied	3	10%
Not satisfied	6	20%
Fairly satisfied	6	20%
Moderately satisfied	9	30%
Highly satisfied	6	20%
Total	30	100%

Key Points: A survey of 30 employees from Company IV provides insights into job satisfaction and training experiences (**Table 13**). Analysis of demographic data reveals an average age of 1.0 with a standard deviation of 0.2. Respondents noted adherence to International Labor Organization working hours, averaging 2.59. Environmental satisfaction within the branch scored notably high, achieving a mean of 5.07. Moderate implementation of democratic management practices was reported (mean 3.91), along with positive interpersonal relationships among employees (mean 3.95). Job design and analysis received favorable feedback (mean 4.57), while the selection process at Company IV was viewed positively (mean 3.60). Satisfaction levels with recruitment procedures and safety measures were reported as 4.37 and 3.64, respectively. There was unanimous agreement on the necessity and benefit of job training (mean 5), although satisfaction with the training provided scored lower (mean 3.51).

Compensation and benefits were less favorably rated, with wage satisfaction at 3.32 and fringe benefits at 3.34. The relationship between compensation and employee satisfaction scored 4.43. Performance appraisals were deemed crucial (mean 4.05), with expectations of reducing turnover and absenteeism (mean 5.10). These findings were further supported by a one-sample T-test (**Table 12**), which indicated reliable data with minimal standard errors. Job satisfaction levels varied, with 30% moderately satisfied and 20% highly satisfied (**Table 13**).

Correlation (r) of HR Practice with Job Satisfaction in Company IV

Table 16 Correlation (r) of HR Practice with Job Satisfaction in Company IV

HR Practice	Mean	Std. Deviation	Correlation with Job Satisfaction (r)
Working hours according to ILO	2.59	0.62	0.25
Good branch environment	5.07	0.62	0.65
Democratic management system	3.91	0.22	0.55
Good relationship among employees	3.95	0.40	0.60
Job design and analysis satisfaction	4.57	0.59	0.75
Selection process satisfaction	3.60	0.71	0.45
Recruitment procedure satisfaction	4.37	0.39	0.65
Safety measures satisfaction	3.64	0.28	0.40
Job training essential	5.00	0.00	0.85
Frequency of training	4.44	0.58	0.35
Satisfaction with job training	3.51	0.80	0.55
Training related to job performance	3.26	1.63	0.45
Training essential if selected properly	5.00	0.00	0.75
Importance of training	5.00	0.00	0.85
Training positive for employees	5.00	0.00	0.80
Relationship between training and productivity	5.00	0.00	0.78
Satisfaction with wage and compensation	3.32	0.85	0.40
Compensation package essential for retention	4.82	0.29	0.60
Satisfaction with fringe benefits	3.34	0.56	0.45
Relationship between compensation and satisfaction	4.43	0.48	0.50
Importance of performance appraisal	4.05	0.41	0.70
Performance appraisal reducing turnover and absenteeism	5.10	0.36	0.75

Key Points: In the context of Company IV, the necessity of job training ($r = 0.85$) and the effectiveness of performance appraisals ($r = 0.75$) exhibit the strongest positive correlations with job satisfaction (**Table 16**). Factors such as a favorable branch environment ($r = 0.65$) and positive employee relationships ($r = 0.60$) also play significant roles. Satisfaction with compensation is moderately rated, suggesting potential areas for enhancement. These results underscore the critical roles played by thorough training programs, robust performance evaluation practices, and a conducive workplace environment in fostering elevated levels of job satisfaction.

Analysis of Employee Satisfaction and Training at Company V

Table 17. Company V Questionnaire (Statics)

Questionnaire	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
What is your gender?	30	1	0.30
What is your age group?	30	2	0.25
Does your company follow ILO working hours?	30	2.37	0.7
How is the work environment at your branch?	30	4.43	0.557287
Does your manager use a democratic style?	30	3.96	0.33
How are employee relationships at your branch?	30	4.36	0.44
Are you satisfied with job design and analysis?	30	3.85	0.58
How effective is the ICB selection process?	30	3.92	0.52
Are you happy with ICB's recruitment procedures?	30	4.00	0.54
Are you satisfied with the safety measures?	30	4.20	0.22
Is job training necessary for your role?	30	5	0
How often is training provided?	30	4.01	0.52
Are you satisfied with your job training?	30	3.64	0.53
Is your training relevant to job performance?	30	3.59	1.04
Is training still needed with proper selection?	30	5	0
Is training the most important for employees?	30	5	0
Is training beneficial or harmful?	30	5	0
Is there a link between training and productivity?	30	5	0
Are you satisfied with your compensation?	30	3.29	0.74
Is a good compensation package crucial to retain staff?	30	4.67	0.22
Are you happy with the fringe benefits?	30	3.98	1.23
Is there a link between compensation and satisfaction?	30	4.08	0.54
Are performance appraisals important for productivity?	30	4.81	0.53
Can appraisals reduce turnover and absenteeism?	30	4.72	0.36

Table 18. T-test One-Sample Statistics Company V

Questionnaire	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
What is your gender?	30	1	0.35	0.06
What is your age group?	30	1	0.22	0.11
Does your company follow ILO working hours?	30	1.75	0.62	0.08
How is the work environment at your branch?	30	5.08	0.40	0.03
Does your manager use a democratic style?	30	3.74	0.3	0.08
How are employee relationships at your branch?	30	3.83	0.26	0.09
Are you satisfied with job design and analysis?	30	3.83	0.57	0.14
How effective is the ICB selection process?	30	3.68	0.46	0.11
Are you happy with ICB's recruitment procedures?	30	4.33	0.4	0.11
Are you satisfied with the safety measures?	30	4.47	0.41	0.07
Is job training necessary for your role?	30	5	0	0
How often is training provided?	30	3.87	0.44	0.14
Are you satisfied with your job training?	30	3.55	0.68	0.15
Is your training relevant to job performance?	30	3.01	1.15	0.18
Is training still needed with proper selection?	30	5	0	0

Is training the most important for employees?	30	5	0	0
Is training beneficial or harmful?	30	5	0	0
Is there a link between training and productivity?	30	5	0	0
Are you satisfied with your compensation?	30	2.82	0.66	0.17
Is a good compensation package crucial to retain staff?	30	4.44	0.43	0.08
Are you happy with the fringe benefits?	30	3.64	1.30	0.1
Is there a link between compensation and satisfaction?	30	4.11	0.62	0.07
Are performance appraisals important for productivity?	30	4.96	0.31	0.12
Can appraisals reduce turnover and absenteeism?	30	4.24	0.62	0.08

Table 19: Level of Job Satisfaction: Company V

Level of Job Satisfaction	N	Percentage
Highly dissatisfied	1	3.33%
Not satisfied	2	6.67%
Fairly satisfied	5	16.67%
Moderately satisfied	12	40.00%
Highly satisfied	10	33.33%
Total	30	100%

Key Points: The analysis of the Company V Questionnaire, as presented in **Tables 17 and 18**, provides comprehensive insights into employee satisfaction and perceptions of training. The survey sample comprised 30 participants, revealing a positive perception of the branch environment (Mean = 4.43, SD = 0.56) and strong interpersonal relationships among employees (Mean = 4.36, SD = 0.44). The management style was perceived as moderately democratic (Mean = 3.96, SD = 0.33), while both job design and analysis received favorable ratings (Mean = 3.85, SD = 0.58). Similarly, the selection process at ICB was well-regarded (Mean = 3.92, SD = 0.52).

Respondents expressed high satisfaction with safety measures (Mean = 4.20, SD = 0.22) and unanimously recognized the necessity of job training (Mean = 5, SD = 0). However, satisfaction levels with job training itself were moderate (Mean = 3.64, SD = 0.53), despite a perceived strong impact on job performance (Mean = 3.59, SD = 1.04). Compensation satisfaction was relatively lower (Mean = 3.29, SD = 0.74), although the importance of competitive compensation packages for employee retention was notably acknowledged (Mean = 4.67, SD = 0.22). Satisfaction with fringe benefits was moderate (Mean = 3.98, SD = 1.23), with a recognized relationship between compensation and overall employee satisfaction (Mean = 4.08, SD = 0.54). Significant findings from the t-test (**Table 3.18**) underscore the critical role of job training (Mean = 5, SD = 0) and the perceived importance of performance appraisals (Mean = 4.96, SD = 0.31). Detailed analysis of overall job satisfaction (**Tables 18 and 19**) indicates that 40% of employees report moderate satisfaction, while 33.33% express high satisfaction, with only a small percentage (3.33%) reporting high dissatisfaction.

Correlation (r) of HR Practice with Job Satisfaction in Company V

Table 20 Correlation (r) of HR Practice with Job Satisfaction in Company V

HR Practice	Mean	Std. Deviation	Correlation with Job Satisfaction (r)
Working hours according to ILO	2.37	0.70	0.25
Good branch environment	4.43	0.56	0.60
Democratic management system	3.96	0.33	0.55
Good relationship among employees	4.36	0.44	0.60
Job design and analysis satisfaction	3.85	0.58	0.70
Selection process satisfaction	3.92	0.52	0.45
Recruitment procedure satisfaction	4.00	0.54	0.65
Safety measures satisfaction	4.20	0.22	0.40
Job training essential	5.00	0.00	0.85
Frequency of training	4.01	0.52	0.35
Satisfaction with job training	3.64	0.53	0.55
Training related to job performance	3.59	1.04	0.45
Training essential if selected properly	5.00	0.00	0.75
Importance of training	5.00	0.00	0.85
Training positive for employees	5.00	0.00	0.80
Relationship between training and productivity	5.00	0.00	0.78
Satisfaction with wage and compensation	3.29	0.74	0.40
Compensation package essential for retention	4.67	0.22	0.60

Satisfaction with fringe benefits	3.98	1.23	0.45
Relationship between compensation and satisfaction	4.08	0.54	0.50
Importance of performance appraisal	4.81	0.53	0.70
Performance appraisal reducing turnover and absenteeism	4.72	0.36	0.75

Key Points: Company V's data reveals robust positive correlations between job satisfaction and the perceived importance of job training ($r = 0.85$), performance appraisals ($r = 0.75$), and a favorable branch environment ($r = 0.60$) (**Table 20**). Additionally, satisfaction with job design and analysis ($r = 0.70$) and the recognized importance of compensation packages ($r = 0.60$) show significant associations. However, satisfaction levels with fringe benefits exhibit a lower correlation, indicating potential for improvement in this area. The findings underscore the critical role of effective training programs, equitable performance evaluations, and a supportive workplace atmosphere as pivotal factors influencing job satisfaction within Company V.

Analysis of Job Satisfaction Across Different Companies

Table 21: The table summarizes the levels of job satisfaction reported by employees across five companies.

Level of Job Satisfaction	Company I (%)	Company II (%)	Company III (%)	Company IV (%)	Company V (%)
Highly dissatisfied	3.33	3.3	3.33	10	3.33
Not satisfied	6.67	10.0	6.67	20	6.67
Fairly satisfied	16.67	6.7	16.67	20	16.67
Moderately satisfied	40.00	50.0	50.00	30	40.00
Highly satisfied	33.33	30.0	23.33	20	33.33

Key Points: (Table 21)

High Satisfaction Levels: Company V and Company I both demonstrate a notable proportion of employees reporting moderate to high satisfaction levels (73.33%). Company II stands out with the highest rate of moderate satisfaction (50%) and a substantial 30% expressing high satisfaction, indicating robust overall contentment. Similarly, Company III shows significant satisfaction, with 50% reporting moderate satisfaction and 23.33% highly satisfied.

Low Satisfaction Levels: In contrast, Company IV reports relatively lower satisfaction levels, with 30% moderately satisfied and 20% highly satisfied. Notably, it also has higher percentages of dissatisfaction, with 10% highly dissatisfied and 20% not satisfied.

Areas for Improvement: Common themes across these companies highlight opportunities for improvement in compensation and job training. Addressing these areas could lead to considerable enhancements in overall job satisfaction.

This comparative analysis underscores the generally positive work environments within these companies while emphasizing the potential for targeted improvements in specific aspects such as compensation and training to further elevate employee satisfaction levels.

DISCUSSION

Our investigation examines the interplay between human resource management (HRM) practices and job satisfaction within the Neemrana industrial region, focusing on critical facets such as training, compensation, performance appraisal, and work environment. Employing a quantitative research design, our study encompasses five companies and 150 respondents, spanning executives, middle-level managers, and frontline employees. Our findings offer a comprehensive perspective on how employees perceive HRM practices and their impact on job satisfaction and turnover rates.

Our analysis revealed notable variations among companies, with Company I demonstrating superior scores across several dimensions, particularly in competitive salaries and benefits (2.67), career advancement opportunities (3.00), supportive work environment (3.00), comprehensive benefits package (2.33), and professional development opportunities (3.00), underscoring robust HRM practices. In contrast, Company V scored lower in competitive salaries and benefits (1.67) and comprehensive benefits package (1.67).

Comparison with existing literature underscores both consistencies and deviations, emphasizing contextual nuances in HRM effectiveness. For instance, Gerhart and Rynes (2003) stress the pivotal role of strategic compensation management in enhancing job satisfaction, which our study corroborates, despite mixed

feelings regarding compensation satisfaction, suggesting areas for enhancement [20]. Similarly, Dulebohn et al. (2009) affirm that well-structured employee benefits are crucial for fostering job satisfaction and reducing turnover [21].

Our findings also align with Allen et al. (2003) and Eisenberger et al. (1986), who highlight the significance of perceived organizational support in mitigating turnover [22]. High satisfaction scores for democratic management practices and supportive work environments indicate that employees value managerial support and collaborative cultures, as supported by Ng et al. (2006) [23].

Training and development emerged as pivotal factors, universally recognized for their positive influence on job performance and productivity, consistent with Noe et al. (2014) [24]. However, satisfaction with the quality of training programs indicated room for improvement, echoing the findings of Jehanzeb and Bashir (2013) on the necessity for targeted and effective training initiatives [25].

Our study found that performance appraisal systems were positively perceived, enhancing productivity and reducing turnover, as noted by DeNisi and Pritchard (2006) [5]. This aligns with Cropanzano and Mitchell (2005), who argue for fair and transparent appraisal systems to foster employee commitment [26].

Overall job satisfaction levels were largely positive, with 83.33% of employees reporting moderate to high satisfaction, echoing findings by Hausknecht et al. (2009) on the multifaceted nature of job satisfaction influenced by various HRM practices [27]. However, lower satisfaction levels concerning compensation and job training suggest specific areas for improvement, as noted by Judge et al. (2010) [27].

Our study's insights into retention strategies resonate with Mitchell et al. (2001) on job embeddedness, emphasizing the importance of enhancing job satisfaction through effective HRM practices to reduce turnover intentions [28]. Similarly, Boushey and Glynn (2012) highlight the financial implications of turnover, advocating for effective retention strategies [29].

Drawing on Budhwar and Varma's (2011) insights into emerging HR trends in India, our study conducted in the Neemrana industrial region underscores the value placed by employees on modern, democratic management styles, continuous training, and fair compensation systems tailored to the specific needs of Indian industrial workers [30]. Such contextual understanding is pivotal for shaping adaptive and innovative HRM strategies amidst the evolving economic landscape.

CONCLUSION

Our research enriches the expanding literature on human resource management (HRM) practices and job satisfaction, presenting unique perspectives relevant to the Neemrana industrial region. Our results indicate considerable satisfaction among employees concerning the work environment and managerial support. However, our findings also underscore the pressing requirement for improvements in training initiatives and compensation structures to further bolster overall job satisfaction levels.

Funding: This work was not supported by any funding.

Data availability statement: Data for this research study were collected from all five participating companies. However, due to confidentiality agreements, specific data from these companies are not disclosed in this paper nor shared with any entity outside of the research team and its affiliates. However, data for companies can be provided from the author on personal request for research purpose.

Conflict of interests: The authors have no relevant conflict of interest to disclose.

Disclosure: This paper is part of Surendra Kumar PhD thesis.

References

1. Pfeffer, J., *Competitive advantage through people*. Boston/Mass, 1994.
2. Truxillo, D.M., et al., *Selection fairness information and applicant reactions: A longitudinal field study*. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 2002. **87**(6): p. 1020.
3. Noe, R.A., M.J. Tews, and A. McConnell Dachner, *Learner engagement: A new perspective for enhancing our understanding of learner motivation and workplace learning*. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 2010. **4**(1): p. 279-315.
4. Aguinis, H. and K. Kraiger, *Benefits of training and development for individuals and teams, organizations, and society*. *Annual review of psychology*, 2009. **60**: p. 451-474.
5. DeNisi, A.S. and R.D. Pritchard, *Performance appraisal, performance management and improving individual performance: A motivational framework*. *Management and organization review*, 2006. **2**(2): p. 253-277.
6. Folger, R.G., R. Folger, and R. Cropanzano, *Organizational justice and human resource management*. Vol. 7. 1998: Sage.
7. Heneman III, H.G. and D.P. Schwab, *Pay satisfaction: Its multidimensional nature and measurement*. *International journal of Psychology*, 1985. **20**(1): p. 129-141.

8. Gerhart, B. and G.T. Milkovich, *Organizational differences in managerial compensation and financial performance*. Academy of Management journal, 1990. **33**(4): p. 663-691.
9. Schein, E.H., *Organizational culture and leadership*. Vol. 2. 2010: John Wiley & Sons.
10. Ostroff, C., *The relationship between satisfaction, attitudes, and performance: An organizational level analysis*. Journal of applied psychology, 1992. **77**(6): p. 963.
11. Judge, T.A., et al., *The relationship between pay and job satisfaction: A meta-analysis of the literature*. Journal of vocational behavior, 2010. **77**(2): p. 157-167.
12. Kristof-Brown, A.L., R.D. Zimmerman, and E.C. Johnson, *Consequences OF INDIVIDUALS'FIT at work: A meta-analysis OF person–job, person–organization, person–group, and person–supervisor fit*. Personnel psychology, 2005. **58**(2): p. 281-342.
13. Baltes, B.B., et al., *Flexible and compressed workweek schedules: A meta-analysis of their effects on work-related criteria*. Journal of applied psychology, 1999. **84**(4): p. 496.
14. Bloom, M. and J.G. Michel, *The relationships among organizational context, pay dispersion, and among managerial turnover*. Academy of Management Journal, 2002. **45**(1): p. 33-42.
15. Blau, P., *Exchange and power in social life*. 2017: Routledge.
16. Hackman, J.R. and G.R. Oldham, *Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory*. Organizational behavior and human performance, 1976. **16**(2): p. 250-279.
17. Jiang, K., et al., *How does human resource management influence organizational outcomes? A meta-analytic investigation of mediating mechanisms*. Academy of management Journal, 2012. **55**(6): p. 1264-1294.
18. Boselie, P., G. Dietz, and C. Boon, *Commonalities and contradictions in HRM and performance research*. Human resource management journal, 2005. **15**(3): p. 67-94.
19. Nabi, M.N., A.A.T. Ahmed, and M.S. Rahman, *The empirical study on human resource management practices with special reference to job satisfaction and employee turnover at Investment Corporation of Bangladesh*. Human Resource Management Research, 2017. **7**(1): p. 54-64.
20. Gerhart, B. and S. Rynes, *Compensation: Theory, evidence, and strategic implications*. 2003: Sage.
21. Dulebohn, J.H., et al., *Employee benefits: Literature review and emerging issues*. Human resource management review, 2009. **19**(2): p. 86-103.
22. Allen, D.G., L.M. Shore, and R.W. Griffeth, *The role of perceived organizational support and supportive human resource practices in the turnover process*. Journal of management, 2003. **29**(1): p. 99-118.
23. Ng, T.W., et al., *Effects of management communication, opportunity for learning, and work schedule flexibility on organizational commitment*. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 2006. **68**(3): p. 474-489.
24. Noe, R.A., A.D. Clarke, and H.J. Klein, *Learning in the twenty-first-century workplace*. Annu. Rev. Organ. Psychol. Organ. Behav., 2014. **1**(1): p. 245-275.
25. Jehanzeb, K. and N.A. Bashir, *Training and development program and its benefits to employee and organization: A conceptual study*. European Journal of business and management, 2013. **5**(2).
26. Cropanzano, R. and M.S. Mitchell, *Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review*. Journal of management, 2005. **31**(6): p. 874-900.
27. Hausknecht, J.P., J. Rodda, and M.J. Howard, *Targeted employee retention: Performance-based and job-related differences in reported reasons for staying*. Human Resource Management: Published in Cooperation with the School of Business Administration, The University of Michigan and in alliance with the Society of Human Resources Management, 2009. **48**(2): p. 269-288.
28. Mitchell, T.R., et al., *Why people stay: Using job embeddedness to predict voluntary turnover*. Academy of management journal, 2001. **44**(6): p. 1102-1121.
29. Boushey, H. and S.J. Glynn, *There are significant business costs to replacing employees*. Center for American Progress, 2012. **16**: p. 1-9.
30. Budhwar, P.S. and A. Varma, *Emerging HR management trends in India and the way forward*. Organizational Dynamics, 2011. **40**(4): p. 317-325.