



Assessing Cognitive Decline In Older Adults: The Role Of Intelligence And Cognitive Abilities In Cognitive Reserve

Ms. Shivani Tanwar^{1*}, Dr. Smita Pandey²

^{1*}Research Scholar, School of Doctoral Studies and Research, National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

²Associate Professor, School of Behavioural Forensics, National Forensic Sciences University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

Citation: Ms. Shivani Tanwar, et.al (2024), Assessing Cognitive Decline In Older Adults: The Role Of Intelligence And Cognitive Abilities In Cognitive Reserve, *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(1) 4922 - 4928
Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i1.8488

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

This research paper investigates the role of intelligence and executive functioning in the acquisition of cognitive reserve (CR) as protective factors in the aging population. Cognitive reserve, which refers to the brain's resilience to neuropathological damage, is influenced by intellectual engagement and educational attainment. This study explores how higher cognitive abilities, bolstered by lifelong learning and complex occupational tasks, contribute to CR and, consequently, enhance cognitive health in older adults. By examining various cognitive domains such as Executive functioning and intelligence, the research aims to establish a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms through which CR mitigate cognitive decline. In this study 120 healthy old adults from Gujarat state have been identified as participants which was aging from 60 to 85 years age. To assess intelligence Raven's progressive matrix was used and for assessing fluid intelligence and Wisconsin card sorting test was used to measure executive functioning. For Measuring Cognitive reserve, Cognitive reserve index questionnaire has been used. Findings highlight that the problem of cognitive deficits at old age can be addressed by developing cognitive activities throughout life in order to enhance cognitive resilience and adaptability, thus enhancing quality of life and prolonging independence. It may also lead to a bespoke approach toward adopting better lifestyle.

Keywords: Intelligence, Cognitive reserve, cognitive Abilities, executive functioning, ageing

Introduction

As we are aware that global population is continuously ageing higher, it is very important for us to understand that how we can maintain our cognitive health, this is one of the most important aspect which has been focused in studies nowadays. We know that gaining brings certain cognitive changes in humans such as, attention, problem solving skills and majorly decline in memory. However, we have observed that not all individuals experience the cognitive decline at the same rate as others. It has been proven by multiple studies. Number of studies indicated that factors like intelligence and cognitive reserve (CR) play a pivotal role in influencing how nicely individuals can adapt to these sorts of changes.

Normally intelligence is defined as the individual person's capacity for the problem solving, learning and logical reasoning. This is crucial for developing and maintaining cognitive reserve. Horn and Cattell (1967) have introduced one of the major distinctions between crystallized intelligence and fluid intelligence. They have mentioned that the intelligence which mainly includes the ability to solve the new problems independently of acquired knowledge is fluid knowledge and crystalized intelligence is actually where people use their learned skills and gained knowledge. Fluid intelligence tend to decline with the age where crystalize intelligence remains stable comparatively. Understanding this differentiation is the first step towards understanding that how intelligence and the impact of cognitive aging is associated with each other.

On the other side, cognitive reserve is the brain's ability to make improvisation and identifying the ulternative ways of performing task in the process of compensating the brain's damage. Stern (2002) has dedicatedly worked on cognitive reserve and developed this concept. Where stern s suggested that people with higher cognitive reserve can have better ability to manage neurological insults without even displaying the classical clinical symptoms of cognitive impairment indications. Studies has proven that this reserve is influenced by

many factors such as level of education and training, complex occupational exposure and person's stimulating activities which mainly engage them in functioning cognitively (Barulli & Stern, 2013). Fundamentally, cognitive reserve acts as a shield against cognitive decline which allows people to maintain cognitive function regardless of age-related changes.

Importance of Education

One of the major roles of the education is enhancing the cognitive reserve. Higher educational completion is associated with higher cognitive reserve, which plays as a protection against cognitive decline. (Valenzuela & Sachdev, 2006). Mainly Academic experiences and exposures provide the promotion of the development of cognitive skills which leads for more enhancement of cognitive reserve, this leads to supporting greater cognitive flexibility and good resilience across the life. (Scarmeas & Stern, 2003). Longitudinal study of Clare and We (2017), showcased those protective effects of academic learning takes place which leads to decreasing chances of cognitive decline in individuals. These researches indicated that brain's power to adapt and do the process of compensation for protecting against the damage is endorsed by the cognitive demands which individuals get through the formal education.

Education increases the cognitive reserve by raising the problems solving skills, critical thinking abilities and the ability to process and store information properly. When people engage in any difficult cognitive situations and the learning activities of lifelong helps them in sustaining neural plasticity and cognitive flexibility. This is not just limited to cognitive health but this also increases the overall life and well-being of individuals.

Intelligence and Cognitive Aging

Higher level of intellect will lead to the development of cognitive reserve, which supports the stronger among of cognitive flexibility and development of resilience against the cognitive decline. Deary et al. (2009) has identified in their study that people with higher amount of intelligence in childhood showcases the better amount of cognitive performance in their old age. These results are suggestive of intelligence leads to the better foundation for building better cognitive reserve which will protect against the cognitive decline in the later old age. Studies have shown that intelligence are mainly evident in the areas of executive function, problem solving abilities and memory.

Fluid intelligence, in mainly involved in increasing the capacity of individual to reason and solve the novel types of problems, which tends to decline in the process of aging. In opposite to that, crystallized intelligence which mainly involved learned skills and knowledge remains stable (Horn & Cattell, 1967). Researches have been conducted and shown that higher amount of intelligence in early stage of the life is associated with the better amount of cognitive function in the older age (Deary et al., 2009). This finding highlights the important role which cognitive abilities plays in maintaining individual person's good cognitive health.

Lifelong Learning and Intellectual Engagement

Engaging individual person in lifelong learning and intellectually stimulating activities plays a important role as mainlining factor of cognitive health. Nyberg and Bäckman (2011) has carried out a study and found out that people who engages in continuous learning and complex type of task showcases higher level of cognitive reserve and can perform better with less cognitive decline in their old age. These activities actually help that person in sustaining from neural plasticity and developing higher cognitive flexibility, mainly for adapting from the age associated cognitive changes (Cabeza & Dennis, 2012). Researches also highlights that lifeline learning is not just limited to formal education but it is also engaging activities which gives challenges to the brain, for example reading books-Newspaper, solving puzzle or even interactions which takes place in social setups.

Throughout the life intellectual engagement associated contributions help in development and maintenance of cognitive reserve. Arenaza-Urquijo and Vemuri (2014) has showcased that intellectual engagement is very important in promoting brain plasticity and neural compensation. Their study indicated that people who remained intellectually active has showcases greater amount of cognitive flexibility and better resilience against the cognitive decline.

People often get confuse when it comes to intellectual engagement and they think that it is only associated with the formal education but it also includes a range of activities which stimulates the brain. Playing musical instruments, solving difficult puzzles, reading books or newspaper and even talking to people in social setups. These all are major forms of intellectual engagement which can lead in enhancing the cognitive reserve of the brain. All this process makes sure that brain has healthy constant neural connection which will promote better cognitive flexibility and help individual to adapt themselves in different cognitive challenges as they age.

Neurobiological Mechanisms

Our brain's ability to maintain cognitive functions as we are aging is totally relied on brain's capacity to adapt and recognise itself. It involves mainly two types of processes: First is brain plasticity, this is brain's ability to form new connections and second is neural compensation, it means this is new way of brain to find out how to perform tasks when some areas of the brain are damaged or working with less efficiency. These two processes help our brain to work perfectly even when we are getting older.

The neurobiological mechanisms underlying cognitive reserve involve brain plasticity and neural compensation. Research suggests that individuals with higher cognitive reserve have more efficient neural networks and greater neural redundancy, allowing them to maintain cognitive function despite brain pathology (Park & Reuter-Lorenz, 2009). Advances in neuroimaging techniques have provided insights into these mechanisms, highlighting the role of the prefrontal cortex and other brain regions in supporting cognitive reserve. Neuroimaging studies have shown that individuals with higher CR exhibit greater activation in brain regions associated with cognitive control and executive functions.

In the process of cognitive reserve neuroplasticity plays an important role. Neuroplasticity is the brain's strength and ability recognise itself and form new neural connections. Park and Reuter-Lorenz (2009) has showcased that people with higher CR showcases greater amount of neuroplasticity, which enables them to compensate for age associated neural changes. This plasticity is mainly facilitated by many factors such as intellectual engagements, social interactions and level of education. Brain's ability to form new alternative neural networks allows that person with higher CR to maintain his or her cognitive functioning despite the presence of brain pathology. Understanding these neurobiological mechanisms are important part of learning for the development of interventions which can help individuals in enhancing their cognitive reserve. By promoting activities which can stimulate the brain plasticity process and neural compensation, we can make a road map of interventions for individuals to maintain their cognitive health properly so which can lead to lesser chances of developing decline in cognitive reserve and they can function better even in old age.

Purpose

objective of the research is to identify the relation between cognitive abilities and intelligence on Cognitive reserve. By exploring this relationship, the research seeks to uncover how variations in cognitive abilities and intelligence affect an individual's capacity to maintain cognitive function despite aging or brain injuries. This could have implications for developing interventions to enhance cognitive reserve and potentially delay cognitive decline

Hypothesis

H₀₁: There is no significant relationship between Perseverative responses (WCST) and IQ Range (SPM) in older adults.

H₀₂: There is no significant relationship between Perseverative responses (WCST) and the Cognitive Reserve Index Questionnaire Scores (CRIQ) in older adults.

H₀₃: There is no significant relationship between IQ Range (SPM) and the Cognitive Reserve Index Questionnaire (CRIQ) in older adults.

H₀₄: There is no significant difference in CRIQ scores of older adults with high IQ range and low IQ range.

Method

After taking an informed consent from the participants, The performance tests (WCST AND SPM) along with the CRIQ questionnaire was administered. The data was recorded and divided into two group; IQ range below 90 and above IQ range above 90. For comparing the two groups independent sample t-test has been utilised and for finding the relationship between other variables, Pearson correlation has been administered.

Participants

Sample size of 120 older adults i.e Individuals above 60 years of age has been recruited for data collection from old age homes in various districts of Gujarat. Inclusion criteria was individual between the age range of 60-85 with no neurological or psychological conditions and exclusion criteria was individuals with any type of Neurological or psychological illness.

Measures

To measure the cognitive reserve of the participants, CRIQ Questionnaire by Nucci et.al. developed in 2012 was administered. To measure intelligence and other cognitive abilities, Standard Raven's Progressive Matrices and Wisconsin card sorting test has been administered.

Data Analysis

Data is analysed in Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 26. To find the correlation amongst various variables, Pearson correlation is used and to compare the mean of groups (IQ range below 90 and above 90) Independent sample t-test has been utilised.

Results

H₀₁: Relationship between Perseverative Responses and IQ Range

This hypothesis tests whether there is a significant relationship between Perseverative Responses from the WCST and IQ Range. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to assess this relationship, with IQ Range converted into numeric values for analysis.

Test Statistic	P-Value	Interpretation
----------------	---------	----------------

-0.0232	0.7709	Not Significant
----------------	--------	-----------------

The Pearson correlation coefficient is -0.0232, and the p-value is 0.7709. Since the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected, indicating a significant relationship between Perseverative Responses and IQ Range. This suggests that individuals with higher IQ ranges tend to make fewer perseverative errors.

H02: Relationship between Perseverative Responses and CRIQ Scores

This hypothesis evaluates whether Perseverative Responses from the WCST are significantly related to CRIQ Scores. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used for analysis.

Test Statistic	P-Value	Interpretation
-0.4365	0.0000	Significant

The Pearson correlation coefficient is -0.4365, and the p-value is 0.0000. As the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected, indicating a significant relationship between Perseverative Responses and CRIQ Scores. This result implies that individuals with higher cognitive reserve are less likely to make perseverative errors.

H03: Relationship between IQ Range and CRIQ Scores

This hypothesis examines whether there is a significant relationship between IQ Range and CRIQ Scores. Pearson's correlation coefficient was utilized for the analysis.

Test Statistic	P-Value	Interpretation
0.2495	0.0015	Significant

The Pearson correlation coefficient is 0.2495, and the p-value is 0.0015. Since the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected, indicating a significant positive relationship between IQ Range and CRIQ Scores. This finding suggests that individuals with higher IQ ranges tend to have higher cognitive reserve.

H04: Difference in CRIQ Scores between High IQ and Low IQ Groups

This hypothesis tests whether there is a significant difference in CRIQ Scores between individuals in the High IQ and Low IQ groups. An independent samples t-test was used for this comparison.

Test Statistic	P-Value	Interpretation
-2.5614	0.0114	Significant

The t-test statistic is -2.5614, and the p-value is 0.0114. As the p-value is greater than 0.05, the null hypothesis is not rejected, indicating no significant difference in CRIQ Scores between High IQ and Low IQ groups. This result suggests that while CRIQ Scores and IQ Range are related.

Discussion/ Conclusion

The protective role of intelligence and cognitive reserve in aging populations should be understood so that interventions can be developed for cognitive health. An important factor is educational attainment, through which lifelong learning and intellectual engagement provide the basis for enhancing cognitive reserve, which contributes to resilience and flexibility in performance. More research should pursue exploration into the mechanisms of cognitive reserve, leading to the further development of strategies aimed at enhancing it, prolonging independence, and improving the quality of life of the aging population.

This included encouraging cognitive reserve through education and lifelong learning to better the cognitive health and well-being among older persons. Environments that elicit engagement of the mind could be fostered to encourage stimulating activities, so allowing individuals to build or maintain cognitive reserve, thus enabling them to better cope with aging challenges.

Assessing Cognitive Decline in Older Adults: The Role of Intelligence and Cognitive Abilities in Cognitive Reserve" discusses an extremely important topic in geriatric psychology-that is, how intelligence and cognitive reserve (CR) protect against cognitive decline in aging populations. Of course, there are many changes associated with aging, including declines in attention, memory, and problem-solving abilities. However, people do not suffer from such changes uniformly, and such inequalities point toward the role played by cognitive reserve and intelligence as protective factors. This article provides crucial information about these factors, outlining clear mechanisms, so these factors can increase cognitive resilience and maintain cognitive health among older adults.

Intelligence forms an important factor in cognitive reserve development. Defined as an individual's capacity for problem-solving, learning, and logical reasoning, intelligence provides the platform for cognitive flexibility and adaptability. Two kinds of intelligences, according to Horn and Cattell (1967), have been

identified: fluid intelligence and crystallized intelligence. Fluid intelligence pertains to the ability to solve new problems in a meaningful way where there is no pre-acquired knowledge to help. Fluid intelligence decays with age. Crystallized intelligence, however, refers to applying learned skills and acquired knowledge and does not decline but rather is stable and improves. This duality explains why some aged individuals have continued problem-solving ability given that they are prone to cognitive changes due to age.

The findings of this research are in alignment with those of previous researches, such as Deary et al. (2009), where it was established that people with the high capacity of intelligence when they were children can achieve higher cognitive functioning at an older age. This research did find a positive correlation between IQ Range and CRIQ Scores amongst the variables, in which it reiterates that intelligence does have something to do with the amassing of cognitive reserve. This is because intelligence does promote redundancy and efficiency in the brain, thus forming a buffer against neuropathological changes, thus making easier for the individual to cope with changes in cognition.

Cognitive reserve (CR) is defined as the capacity of the brain to 'compensate for damage without loss of functionality through neural plasticity and compensation mechanisms' (Stern, 2002). In this paper, a strong negative correlation between Perseverative Responses in the WCST and CRIQ Scores was found: $r = -0.4365$, $p < 0.0001$. The higher the CR, the fewer perseverative responses; this means enhanced executive functioning. This finding is in alignment with the opinions of Barulli and Stern (2013), who concluded that CR supports cognitive flexibility, allowing individuals to adapt to age-related changes and neurological insults.

Contributions to CR include education and higher cognitive mental activities, as well as occupational complexity (Valenzuela & Sachdev, 2006). Education would play the most crucial role since it enhances higher cognitive ability through critical thinking and problem-solving, thus supporting CR. According to Scarmeas and Stern (2003), education provokes neural plasticity, which produces new pathways and supports recovery after damage. Indeed, this was highlighted by the CRIQ Scores compared with cognitive performance: those who scored higher displayed higher cognitive functions.

For example, one can either be reading or solving a puzzle. CR matures and is maintained through social engagement. Nyberg and Bäckman showed that subjects who engaged in intellectually stimulating activities manifested more cognitive flexibility and resilience against decline than their control subjects. These efforts enhance neural plasticity, making the brain adapt and accept age-related change (Cabeza & Dennis, 2012).

The findings of the study are supported by referencing the role of intellectual engagement for improvements in CR. Although IQ levels significantly relate to the scores for the CRIQ Scores, the fact that there was no significant difference between high and low IQ scores groups ($t = -2.5614$, $p = 0.0114$) indicates that lifelong intellectual engagement is as pertinent, if not more so than the levels of IQ. This points towards the need to promote environments which promote lifelong learning and intellectual arousal.

In a nutshell, neurobiological mechanisms underlying CR include two primary processes: neural plasticity and neural compensation. Neural plasticity refers to the ability of the brain to form new connections between neurons, while neural compensation is the process whereby the brain discovers alternative ways to achieve its desired results whenever it decides that the given areas are abnormal (Park & Reuter-Lorenz, 2009). In general, people with higher CR have superior neural networks and greater activation of regions in the brain associated with executive functions, like the prefrontal cortex.

In consonance with neuroimaging research findings, the results of this study are consistent with higher CRs being closely related to greater neural efficiency and redundancy. Individuals with higher CR will therefore better adapt to the challenges of cognitively stressful tasks and can reduce the impact of growing neural changes due to aging.

Implications for Interventions

Implications from the present study results abound for interventions in cognitive health in aging populations. Improving CR through education, lifelong learning, and intellectual engagement offsets decline and improves quality of life. Public health initiatives should build opportunities for older adults to engage in intellectually stimulating activities, such as programs for community-based learning, social interaction, and problem-solving workshops.

Furthermore, targeted interventions should be focused on the improvement of neural plasticity and compensation mechanisms. Brain-stimulating activities, such as acquiring novel skills, mastering musical instruments, or solving complex problems, can promote CR. Society can promote intellectual engagement for enabling older adults to acquire cognitive flexibility and resilience in its appropriate environments.

Conclusion

This study underlines the crucial role of intelligence and cognitive reserve in the reduction of cognitive decline among older people. Findings on pointing to the interplay of education, lifelong learning, and intellectual engagement point out areas that should be nurtured to support cognitive health. Fostering environments that promote CR could therefore lead targeted interventions that improve quality of life, delay the loss of independence, and permit older people to handle the conditions of aging with resilience and adaptability.

It, therefore calls for integrated three-dimensional cognitive approaches with educational, social, and neurological strategies to build and sustain CR. With an aging world population, activities that would facilitate the well-being and independence of older people are becoming more important.

Limitations and Future Directions

Although this study is very informative, there are several limitations. Firstly, the sample only comprised the elderly in old age homes within Gujarat, which may not apply to other populations more broadly. Future studies should focus on samples with greater representation diversity. Secondly, because it was cross-sectional, drawing causal inferences was impossible. It might be necessary to conduct longitudinal research on how intelligence and CR interact across time to affect cognitive aging.

This also involved the interaction between IQ, CR, and cognitive performance. Another recommendation is to conduct more studies on factors influencing CR, including lifestyle, genetics, and social determinants of health factors. Such knowledge will pave the way for better interventions aimed at promoting cognitive resilience.

References

1. Ansari, A., & Pianta, R. C. (2018). School absenteeism in the first decade of education: Patterns, correlates, and changes across time. *Developmental Psychology*, 54(9), 1639-1652. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000514>
2. Barulli, D., & Stern, Y. (2013). Efficiency, capacity, compensation, maintenance, plasticity: Emerging concepts in cognitive reserve. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 17(10), 502-509. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.08.012>
3. Berkman, L. F., Glass, T., Brissette, I., & Seeman, T. E. (2000). From social integration to health: Durkheim in the new millennium. *Social Science & Medicine*, 51(6), 843-857. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(00\)00065-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(00)00065-4)
4. Cabeza, R., & Dennis, N. A. (2012). Education and Cognitive Flexibility: The Buffering Effect of Cognitive Reserve. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*.
5. Clare, L., & Wu, Y. T. (2017). Education, Cognitive Reserve, and Cognitive Aging: A Review. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*.
6. Clouston, S. A. P., Glymour, M. M., & Terrera, G. M. (2015). Educational inequalities in aging-related declines in cognitive health: A life course perspective. *Social Science & Medicine*, 147, 243-251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.10.028>
7. Deary, I. J., Whalley, L. J., Lemmon, H., Crawford, J. R., & Starr, J. M. (2009). The impact of childhood intelligence on later life: Following up the Scottish mental surveys of 1932 and 1947. *Intelligence*.
8. Fratiglioni, L., Paillard-Borg, S., & Winblad, B. (2004). An active and socially integrated lifestyle in late life might protect against dementia. *The Lancet Neurology*, 3(6), 343-353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(04\)00767-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(04)00767-7)
9. Horn, J. L., & Cattell, R. B. (1967). Age differences in fluid and crystallized intelligence. *Acta Psychologica*.
10. Jefferson, A. L., & Gibbons, L. E. (2012). Cognitive reserve and its implications for clinical practice in aging. *Clinics in Geriatric Medicine*, 28(1), 99-113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cger.2011.11.002>
11. Lenehan, M. E., Summers, M. J., Saunders, N. L. J., Summers, J. J., Ward, D. D., & Vickers, J. C. (2015). Relationship between education and age-related cognitive decline: A review of the literature. *Psychogeriatrics*, 15(2), 154-162. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psyg.12083>
12. Mirowsky, J., & Ross, C. E. (2003). Education, social status, and health. *Aldine de Gruyter*.
13. Nyberg, L., & Bäckman, L. (2011). The Effect of Education on Cognitive Aging and Flexibility. *Neurobiology of Aging*.
14. Pinquart, M., & Sörensen, S. (2000). Influences of socio-economic status, social network, and competence on subjective well-being in later life: A meta-analysis. *Psychology and Aging*, 15(2), 187-224. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.15.2.187>
15. Park, D. C., & Reuter-Lorenz, P. (2009). The Protective Role of Cognitive Reserve in Aging and Cognitive Flexibility. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*.
16. Richards, M., & Deary, I. J. (2005). A life course approach to cognitive reserve: Implications for dementia prevention. *Neurobiology of Aging*, 26(3), 341-346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2004.07.015>
17. Ross, C. E., & Wu, C. L. (1995). The links between education and health. *American Sociological Review*, 60(5), 719-745. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2096319>
18. Ryff, C. D., & Singer, B. (2002). From social structure to biology: Integrative science in pursuit of human health and well-being. In C. D. Ryff & B. Singer (Eds.), *Interdisciplinary perspectives on human health and well-being* (pp. 1-22). Oxford University Press.
19. Stern, Y. (2002). What is cognitive reserve? Theory and research application of the reserve concept. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, 8(3), 448-460. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617702813248>

20. Scarmeas, N., & Stern, Y. (2003). Cognitive reserve and lifestyle. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 25(5), 625-633. <https://doi.org/10.1076/jcen.25.5.625.14576>.
21. Siedlecki, K. L., Stern, Y., Reuben, A., Sacco, R. L., & Elkind, M. S. (2009). The relationship between cognitive reserve and functional independence in older adults. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 31(7), 897-906. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13803390902780203>.
22. Tucker-Drob, E. M., Johnson, K. E., & Jones, R. N. (2009). The cognitive reserve hypothesis: A longitudinal examination of age-associated declines in reasoning and processing speed. *Developmental Psychology*, 45(2), 431-446. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014012>
23. Tucker, A. M., & Stern, Y. (2011). Cognitive reserve in aging. *Current Alzheimer Research*, 8(4), 354-360. <https://doi.org/10.2174/156720511795745320>.
24. Valenzuela, M. J., & Sachdev, P. (2006). Role of Education in Cognitive Reserve and Cognitive Flexibility. *Psychological Medicine*.