

Risk and Credit Management Strategies in Indian Banking: A Case Study of HDFC Bank and SBI

Nainani Umesh Dilipkumar¹, Dr. Akanksha Sharma²

¹Department of Commerce, Mansarovar Global University, Billkisganj, Sehore, Madhya Pradesh

²Department of Commerce, Mansarovar Global University, Billkisganj, Sehore, Madhya Pradesh

Citation: Nainani Umesh Dilipkumar al (2024), Risk and Credit Management Strategies in Indian Banking: A Case Study of HDFC Bank and SBI, *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(4) 10921 -10929

Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i4.8913

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

This study presents a comparative analysis of the risk management and credit management strategies of two major Indian banks: HDFC Bank and State Bank of India (SBI). HDFC Bank, a leading private sector bank, and SBI, the largest public sector bank in India, offer contrasting perspectives on managing financial risks and credit. The research utilizes a range of quantitative and qualitative methods, including financial ratio analysis, loan portfolio composition, and capital adequacy metrics, to evaluate and compare the effectiveness of their risk management practices. Key findings reveal that HDFC Bank demonstrates superior financial stability with lower Non-Performing Asset (NPA) ratios, higher capital adequacy ratios, and better profitability metrics compared to SBI. The analysis also highlights differences in their loan portfolios and provisioning practices, reflecting their distinct operational focuses and risk management approaches. This comparative study provides valuable insights into how different banking structures and strategies impact financial performance and risk management.

Keywords: Risk Management, Credit Management, Financial Stability, Non-Performing Assets (NPA), Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), Return on Assets (ROA)

1. Introduction

The Indian banking sector stands as a cornerstone of the nation's economic framework, facilitating financial intermediation, supporting economic growth, and driving development.[1] Within this diverse sector, HDFC Bank and State Bank of India (SBI) are two of the most prominent institutions, representing contrasting ownership structures and operational philosophies. HDFC Bank, India's largest private sector bank, is renowned for its

efficiency, innovation, and customer-centric approach. In contrast, SBI, as the country's largest public sector bank, is distinguished by its extensive reach, role in government-driven initiatives, and emphasis on socio-economic development.[2]

Understanding the risk and credit management strategies of these two major banks provides a window into their operational effectiveness and stability. Risk management and credit management are critical components of banking operations, influencing financial performance, regulatory compliance, and overall market stability. This comparative analysis explores how HDFC Bank and SBI navigate these challenges, shedding light on their approaches and strategies.[3]

Categories of Risk

The concept of risk in banking is multifaceted and encompasses several categories, each presenting unique challenges and requiring distinct management approaches:[4]

Credit Risk: Credit risk refers to the potential that a bank borrower will fail to meet their obligations as per the agreed terms. Effective credit risk management aims to maximize a bank's risk-adjusted return by keeping credit risk within acceptable limits. This involves managing both the overall credit risk in the bank's portfolio and the risk associated with individual credits or transactions. It is essential for banks to understand the interplay between credit risk and other risk categories to develop a comprehensive risk management strategy. Proper credit risk management is crucial for a bank's long-term success and stability.[5]

Market Risk: Market risk pertains to the possibility of losses due to fluctuations in the financial markets. Also known as systematic risk, it cannot be eliminated through diversification alone. Market risk affects the bank's investments and trading portfolios and is influenced by factors such as changes in interest rates, stock prices, and exchange rates. Managing market risk requires a robust strategy to anticipate and mitigate potential losses stemming from adverse market movements.

Operational Risk: Operational risk is the risk arising from failures in internal processes, systems, or external events affecting a bank's operations. Unlike financial or market-wide risks, operational risk encompasses issues such as system breakdowns, human errors, fraud, and other disruptions that can impact a bank's ability to function effectively. Effective management of operational risk involves implementing strong internal controls, technology solutions, and disaster recovery plans to safeguard against potential disruptions.[6]

Objectives of the Study

The primary objectives of this study are to:

1. **Analyze Risk Management Strategies:** Investigate and compare the risk management frameworks employed by HDFC Bank and SBI, focusing on their approaches to managing credit risk, market risk, operational risk, and liquidity risk.
2. **Evaluate Credit Management Policies:** Examine and contrast the credit management policies of both banks, including loan origination processes, credit appraisal methods, and strategies for managing NPAs.[7]
3. **Assess Financial Performance Impact:** Evaluate how the risk and credit management strategies influence the financial performance of HDFC Bank and SBI, using key performance indicators such as profitability, capital adequacy, and asset quality.
4. **Identify Strengths and Weaknesses:** Highlight the strengths and weaknesses of the risk and credit management approaches of each bank, offering insights into their effectiveness and areas for potential improvement.

Significance of the Study

This study holds significant value for multiple stakeholders:

1. **Banking Sector Professionals:** The findings will provide banking professionals with insights into effective risk and credit management practices, which can inform improvements in their own institutions.[8]
2. **Regulators and Policymakers:** The study's results can assist regulators and policymakers in evaluating the effectiveness of current regulations and formulating policies that enhance the stability and efficiency of the banking sector.
3. **Investors and Analysts:** Investors and financial analysts will gain a better understanding of how risk and credit management strategies impact bank performance, aiding in making informed investment decisions and assessing the stability of banking institutions.

Scope of the Study

The study will cover the following:

1. **Time Frame:** Analysis will focus on data from 2018 to 2023, providing a recent and comprehensive view of the banks' practices and performance over the past several years.
2. **Data Sources:** The study will utilize both primary and secondary data sources. Secondary data will include annual reports, regulatory filings, and industry reports, while primary data will be gathered through interviews with bank officials and industry experts.[9]
3. **Comparative Focus:** The research will compare the risk and credit management strategies of HDFC Bank and SBI, highlighting differences and similarities due to their distinct operational frameworks.

Nagar, Udhister (2019) Development is an ongoing process that every nation must go through. In this process, banks are crucial. As an effective tool for economic growth planning, India's banking system has advanced. Financial institutions direct customers' funds toward spending and investment. That way, investors' and consumers' needs for credit can be satisfied while savers' investment needs are met. Bad loans are a measure of how well banks are doing. Banks' profitability and net worth are impacted by the likelihood of a large number of loan defaults, which is indicated by a high level of nonperforming assets. Cash flow and profits are impacted by non-performing assets. Both the banks and the Indian economy are feeling the effects of the nonperforming loans problem. Actually, the high levels of nonperforming loans in Indian banks are just a reflection of how the industry and trade are doing overall. Reducing nonperforming assets is critical to the banking system's financial well-being. All of the information included in the research came from secondary sources. This study makes an effort to comprehend nonperforming assets (NPAs), as well as their current state and future trajectory, in India's public and private sector banks. The substantial impact of non-performing assets (NPAs) on Indian banks and the numerous routes for recovering NPAs are both caused by the variables that contribute to NPAs.[10]

2. Materials and Method

Research Design

This study employs a comparative research design to analyze and contrast the risk management and credit management strategies of HDFC Bank and State Bank of India (SBI). The methodology integrates both quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive understanding of the banks' operational frameworks, performance metrics, and strategic initiatives. The focus is on identifying and evaluating the differences and similarities in their risk and credit management practices.

Data Sources

1. Secondary Data:

The primary source of data for this study is secondary data, which includes:

- **Annual Reports:** Annual reports of HDFC Bank and SBI from 2018 to 2023 are analyzed to gather information on financial performance, risk management strategies, and credit management policies. These reports provide detailed data on key financial indicators such as Gross NPA ratio, Net NPA ratio, Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), Return on Assets (ROA), and Net Interest Margin (NIM).
- **Regulatory Filings:** Regulatory filings and disclosures, including Basel III disclosures and Pillar 3 reports, are reviewed to understand the risk-weighted assets, provisioning for NPAs, and capital adequacy requirements.
- **Industry Reports:** Reports from financial research firms, industry analysts, and academic journals offer contextual understanding and expert analysis of the banking sector, including trends, challenges, and best practices in risk and credit management.
- **News Articles and Press Releases:** Recent news articles and press releases from reputable financial news sources are examined to capture recent developments and strategic changes in the banks' policies and approaches.

2. Primary Data:

To complement the secondary data, primary data is collected through:

- **Qualitative Interviews:** Semi-structured interviews are conducted with senior executives from HDFC Bank and SBI, as well as financial analysts and industry experts. The interviews aim to gather insights into the decision-making processes behind risk and credit management, the implementation of technological solutions, and the impact of regulatory requirements on bank policies.

Data Collection Methods

1. Collection of Annual Reports and Financial Statements:

Annual reports and financial statements of HDFC Bank and SBI for the years 2018-2023 are collected from their official websites. These documents are analyzed to extract data on financial performance, risk management frameworks, and credit management policies.

2. Review of Regulatory Filings:

Regulatory filings such as Basel III disclosures and Pillar 3 reports are reviewed to understand the banks' compliance with capital adequacy requirements, risk-weighted asset calculations, and provisioning for NPAs.

3. Literature Review:

A comprehensive review of existing literature on risk management and credit policies in the Indian banking sector is conducted. This includes scholarly articles, industry reports, and research studies discussing risk management frameworks, credit assessment methodologies, and sectoral challenges.

4. Qualitative Interviews:

Semi-structured interviews are conducted with key stakeholders including bank officials, financial analysts, and industry experts. These interviews provide qualitative insights into the operational strategies, risk management practices, and credit policies of HDFC Bank and SBI.

Data Analysis Methods

1. Descriptive Statistics:

Key financial ratios and performance indicators are calculated to summarize the financial health and risk profiles of both banks. This includes metrics such as Gross NPA ratio, Net NPA ratio, Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), Return on Assets (ROA), and Net Interest Margin (NIM).

2. Comparative Analysis:

A comparative analysis is performed to evaluate the differences in risk management strategies and credit management policies between HDFC Bank and SBI. The analysis includes:

- **Risk Management Frameworks:** Evaluation of the approaches each bank uses to manage credit risk, market risk, operational risk, and liquidity risk.
- **Credit Management Policies:** Comparison of loan origination processes, credit appraisal methodologies, and strategies for managing NPAs.
- **Technological Integration:** Analysis of how technology is leveraged in enhancing risk management and credit assessment processes, including the use of data analytics, machine learning models, and automation tools.
- **SWOT Analysis:** A SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats) analysis is conducted for both banks to identify their strategic advantages and challenges in managing risks and credits.

Methodological Limitations

The study acknowledges several limitations:

- **Reliance on Secondary Data:** The study depends heavily on secondary data, which may be subject to reporting biases and discrepancies. Annual reports and regulatory filings, while comprehensive, may not fully capture internal risk management practices.
- **Sample Size for Interviews:** The primary data collection involves a limited number of interviews, which may not be fully representative of the entire banking sector or capture the full complexity of risk and credit management practices.
- **Dynamic Market Conditions:** The study reflects the banks' strategies and policies as of the latest available data (up to 2023). Changes in market conditions, regulatory environments, and macroeconomic factors could impact the relevance of the findings over time.

3. Results

Table 1: Key Financial Indicators (2018-2023)

Indicator	HDFC Bank (2023)	HDFC Bank (2018)	SBI (2023)	SBI (2018)
Gross NPA Ratio (%)	1.2	1.5	4.5	8.7
Net NPA Ratio (%)	0.3	0.5	1.2	4.5

Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) (%)	19.0	16.2	14.0	13.2
Return on Assets (ROA) (%)	2.0	1.8	0.8	0.4

This table compares key financial indicators for HDFC Bank and SBI from 2018 to 2023. HDFC Bank exhibits a lower Gross and Net NPA ratio compared to SBI, suggesting better management of credit risk. The higher Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) and Return on Assets (ROA) for HDFC Bank indicate stronger capital reserves and improved profitability over the study period.

Table 2: Loan Portfolio Composition (2023)

Loan Type	HDFC Bank (₹ Crores)	% of Total Loans (HDFC)	SBI (₹ Crores)	% of Total Loans (SBI)
Retail Loans	4,75,000	52%	4,80,000	43%
Corporate Loans	2,50,000	27%	4,50,000	40%
SME Loans	85,000	9%	1,60,000	14%
Agricultural Loans	50,000	6%	1,00,000	9%
Priority Sector Lending	40,000	6%	60,000	5%
Total Loans	9,00,000	100%	11,50,000	100%

This table presents the 2023 loan portfolio composition of HDFC Bank and SBI. HDFC Bank's loan portfolio is more heavily weighted towards retail loans (52%), indicating a focus on consumer banking. In contrast, SBI's portfolio is more balanced with significant corporate lending (40%) and a notable increase in agricultural loans (9%), reflecting its role in supporting diverse economic sectors.

Table 3. Capital Adequacy Ratios and Provisions (2023)

Metric	HDFC Bank	SBI
Tier 1 Capital Adequacy Ratio (%)	17.8	12.5
Tier 2 Capital Adequacy Ratio (%)	2.0	2.7
Total Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) (%)	19.8	15.2
Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR) (%)	78.0	74.0
Credit Cost (%)	0.9	1.8
Risk-Weighted Assets (₹ Crores)	6,70,000	8,50,000

This table provides details on capital adequacy ratios and provisions for HDFC Bank and SBI in 2023. HDFC Bank shows a higher Tier 1 and Total Capital Adequacy Ratio compared to SBI, indicating stronger capital buffers. The Provision Coverage Ratio is also higher for HDFC Bank, reflecting more robust provisions for potential losses. Additionally, HDFC Bank's lower Credit Cost highlights more effective credit risk management relative to SBI.

4. Discussions

The comparative analysis of key financial indicators for HDFC Bank and SBI from 2018 to 2023 reveals significant differences in their risk and credit management outcomes. [11]HDFC Bank consistently demonstrates superior financial health, as evidenced by its lower Gross and Net NPA ratios. Specifically, the Gross NPA Ratio for HDFC Bank decreased from 1.5% in 2018 to 1.2% in 2023, whereas SBI's Gross NPA Ratio improved from 8.7% to 4.5% over the same period.[12] This indicates that HDFC Bank has been more effective in managing credit risk, leading to lower levels of non-performing assets. The Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) for HDFC Bank also shows a stronger capital base compared to SBI. HDFC Bank's CAR increased from 16.2% in 2018 to 19.0% in 2023, while SBI's CAR grew from 13.2% to 14.0%. This higher CAR for HDFC Bank suggests that it has more robust capital reserves to absorb potential losses and maintain regulatory compliance. Additionally, HDFC Bank's Return on Assets (ROA) improved from 1.8% in 2018 to 2.0% in 2023, reflecting better profitability and operational efficiency.[13]

The loan portfolio composition data for 2023 highlights the strategic differences between HDFC Bank and SBI. HDFC Bank's portfolio is more concentrated in retail loans (52%), which aligns with its focus on consumer banking and personal finance. This high proportion of retail loans contributes to the bank's lower NPA ratios, as retail loans are generally less risky compared to corporate loans.[14]

On the other hand, SBI's loan portfolio is more diversified, with a notable emphasis on corporate loans (40%) and agricultural loans (9%). This diversification reflects SBI's broader mandate to support various economic sectors, including large-scale industries and agriculture. The higher proportion of corporate and agricultural loans in SBI's portfolio exposes it to different types of risks compared to HDFC Bank, which could contribute to its higher NPA ratios. The capital adequacy ratios and provisions for HDFC Bank and SBI in 2023 further illustrate the differences in their financial stability and risk management practices. HDFC Bank's Tier 1 Capital Adequacy Ratio of 17.8% is significantly higher than SBI's 12.5%, indicating that HDFC Bank has a stronger capital position to cover potential losses. Additionally, the Total Capital Adequacy Ratio for HDFC Bank is 19.8%, compared to SBI's 15.2%, reinforcing the bank's superior capital strength.

The Provision Coverage Ratio (PCR) for HDFC Bank is also higher at 78.0% compared to SBI's 74.0%. This indicates that HDFC Bank is more proactive in provisioning for potential loan losses, which enhances its ability to manage credit risk effectively. Furthermore, HDFC Bank's lower Credit Cost (0.9%) relative to SBI (1.8%) highlights its more efficient credit risk management and lower cost of credit.[15]

5. Conclusions

The analysis of HDFC Bank and SBI reveals distinct differences in their risk and credit management strategies. HDFC Bank's lower NPA ratios, higher CAR, and better profitability

metrics demonstrate its effective management of credit risk and strong financial health. Its focus on retail loans and higher provision coverage ratio further underscore its robust risk management practices.

Conversely, SBI's broader loan portfolio, including significant corporate and agricultural lending, reflects its role in supporting diverse economic sectors. While SBI has made progress in improving its financial indicators, it still faces higher NPA ratios and credit costs compared to HDFC Bank. The findings suggest that HDFC Bank's more focused approach on retail banking and its stronger capital buffers contribute to its superior financial stability and performance.

In summary, HDFC Bank's strategic emphasis on consumer banking, combined with its strong capital position and effective credit management, positions it favorably compared to SBI. SBI's broader mandate and diversified portfolio, while supporting various sectors, present different risk management challenges. Both banks demonstrate effective risk management but through different approaches, reflecting their distinct operational philosophies and market positions.

References

1. Bodla B. S, VermaRicha (2007). Credit Risk Management Framework at Banks in India.
2. Radhakrishanan R, Ravi B (2009). Adoption of Basal II Norms: Are Indian Banks Ready? www.indianmba.com/occasional_papers/op206/op206.html
3. Banerjee A (2011). Risk management in banking sector: An overview. *Mgmt Acct* 46: 67 -82.
4. Barros C, P Managi S, Matousek R (2012). The technical efficiency of the Japanese banks: Non radial directional performance measurement with undesirable output. *Omega*. 40: 1-8.
5. Singh Asha (2013). Credit Risk Management in Indian Commercial Banks, *International Journal of Marketing, Financial Services & Management Research*, 2(7), ISSN2277- 3622.
6. Murthy C. Mahadeva&Pathi S.N (2013). "An assessment of Risk Management in Banking sector: A study with special reference to public and private sector banks in India", 4(3), 0976 – 6324, 0976 - 6332.
7. Shukla Smita&Malusare Rakesh (2014). "Risk Analysis of Select Public and Private Sector Banks Operating in India", *IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance*, 2321 - 5933, 2321 - 592, 48-59.
8. KurneRoopa (2014). "Credit Risk Management in Indian Banking System", *IJAAR*, 2(2), Nov-Dec 2014, 2347 - 7075.
9. Rajeswari M (2014). "A Study on Credit Risk Management in scheduled Banks", *International Journal of Management*, 5(12), 0976 - 6502, 0976 - 6510.
10. Udhister Nagar(2019) Credit Risk Management Of Commercial Banks In India: A Comparative Study Of Selected Public And Private Sector Banks, *Inspira-Journal of Commerce, Economics & Computer Science (JCECS)* 133 ISSN : 2395-7069 General Impact Factor : 2.7273, Volume 05, No. 01, January-March, 2019, pp. 133-137
11. Singh Asha (2015). "Effect of Credit Risk Management on Private and Public Sector Banks in India", *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 5(1), 2222 -6990.

12. Singh A (2015). "Performance of Credit Risk Management in Indian Commercial Banks", International Journal of Management Research, 5 (3), 169-188.
13. AnejaSuksham R, Kapoor Bhisham&Pahuja Anurag (2015). "Risk Management in Indian Banks: An Evaluation through Z Risk Index",Asian Journal of Research in Banking and Finance, 5 (11), 47-58, 2249 -7323.
14. Oino Isaiah (2016). "A Comparison of Credit Risk Management in Private and Public Banks in India", The International Journal of Business and Finance Research, 10 (1), 95-108, 1931-0269, 2157 - 0698.
15. Sudip Basu. " A Study on Credit Risk Management Practices in Indian Banks.". IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM), Vol. 21, No. 5, 2019, pp. -.01-03