Educational Administration: Theory and Practice
2024, 30(9), 812-819
ISSN: 2148-2403
https://kuey.net/

Research Article

Unravelling Foreign Accent Syndrome: A Comprehensive Review of Neural Mechanisms and Clinical Implications

Dr. Mona Mohammed Abass Hamza[1*]

[1*]English Language Department, Arts College, Hail University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia m.hamza@uoh.edu.sa

Citation: Dr. Mona Mohammed Abass Hamza, (2024) Unravelling Foreign Accent Syndrome: A Comprehensive Review of Neural Mechanisms and Clinical Implications, *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(9) 812-819
Doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i9.9456

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Supercomputers exemplify the pinnacle of human intellect and innovation. Given their extensive processing capabilities, the potential applications of suitable software, such as AI, are boundless. Nonetheless, something even more remarkable than supercomputers exists: the human brain. The human brain is the most complex organ ever developed. It possesses 100 billion neurons and myriad connections among them, granting it capabilities unmatched by any supercomputer. The intricate process of language is one of the several functions orchestrated by the human brain, a marvel of intricacy. Notwithstanding extensive study on cerebral language processing, the precise mechanisms underlying these unforeseen alterations in speech patterns following brain injuries remain elusive. Althea Bryden's experience, in which she developed an Italian accent post-stroke, underscores the pressing necessity for comprehensive knowledge of the fundamental reasons and mechanisms of such changes. This study investigates such abnormalities' neurological and cognitive underpinnings to enhance diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation for affected individuals. This work aims to elucidate its complexity. It seeks to thoroughly comprehend FAS and its clinical ramifications by integrating findings from case studies, peer-reviewed literature, and clinical reports. The review analyzes the persistent themes and discrepancies in the literature and offers a linguistic perspective on Foreign Accent Syndrome (FAS). The existence of a constant human nature since the emergence of Homo sapiens expresses skepticism towards science as a universal solution for profound inquiries that are often beyond our comprehension and asserts that the essence of humanity is not amenable to scientific scrutiny. Like the enlightened predecessors, the world is (conditionally) intelligible and rational, as irrationality cannot produce a nature amenable to scientific scrutiny, and there are undeniable presuppositions, such as free will. The world has processes and beings that elude explanation, asserting that a solely mechanistic or physicalist perspective is untenable.

Keywords: Unravelling, Foreign Accent, Syndrome, Review, Neural, Clinical, Implications.

Introduction

The complicated procedure of language is one of the many things that the human brain, a marvel of complexity, coordinates. A complex process involves an elaborate network of interconnected brain regions. This delicate equilibrium, however, can be disrupted by cerebral traumas such as strokes, leading to unforeseen alterations in speech and language capabilities. One instance is Foreign Accent Syndrome (FAS), wherein individuals abruptly begin to talk with an accent that is perceived by listeners as foreign. This study examines the human brain's and language's complexities, highlighting how brain injuries can induce significant and unforeseen alterations in speech patterns.

Foreign Accent Syndrome (FAS) is an intriguing neurological disorder that results in sudden, unexpected alterations in an individual's speech patterns, rendering their accent unfamiliar to listeners. First recognized in the early 20th century, FAS remains perplexing to scientists and medical professionals due to its rarity and the complexity of its underlying causes. This syndrome often arises following traumatic events or cerebral

injuries such as strokes, and it considerably hinders the social and communicative abilities of affected individuals.

Individuals proficient in many languages may experience multilingual aphasia, a complex linguistic disorder that results in uneven recovery of their language abilities following an accident. FAS and multilingual aphasia underscore the remarkable yet fragile architecture of the brain's linguistic networks. Notwithstanding extensive investigation, the precise cerebral and cognitive mechanisms behind these diseases remain inadequately comprehended.

Language is a critical and intricate talent, frequently impacted by brain injury in ways that may be nuanced yet significant. We begin to see, react to, and acquire language from the moment of our birth. By around five years of age, the fundamental language structures are established; nevertheless, the processes of expanding and refining these structures, enhancing vocabulary and cognitive capabilities, and acquiring reading and writing abilities continue throughout childhood and adolescence. Although often obscured by alternative terminology, the ideological framework vehemently protects its prerogative to articulate on behalf of authority when confronted with opposition. Conversely, subjecting this identical ideological framework to critical scrutiny provokes confusion or indignation (Albadri, 2024).

Aphasia, or Dysphasia, is a disorder characterized by the inability to understand or produce language due to damage in particular brain areas (Damasio, 1992). The primary causes are stroke and head trauma; prevalence is difficult to ascertain, but aphasia resulting from stroke is thought to be 0.1–0.4% in wealthy nations (Code et al., 2011). Aphasia may also arise from brain tumors, epilepsy, autoimmune neurological disorders (Rook et al., 2023), brain infections, or neurodegenerative diseases, including dementia (Pietranton, 2014).

A diagnosis of aphasia requires substantial impairment in one or more of the four facets of communication. Progressive aphasia requires a significant deterioration in linguistic capabilities over a brief duration. The four facets of communication encompass spoken language production and comprehension, as well as written language production and comprehension. Deficiencies in any of these areas can affect functional communication. Aphasia may impact both receptive and expressive languages. It also impacts visual communication, including sign language (Damasio, 1992). The challenges faced by individuals with aphasia might vary from sporadic word retrieval issues to a complete loss of the capacity to talk, read, or write, yet cognitive function remains intact (ASHA, 2024). Conversely, the employment of formulaic terms in quotidian discourse is frequently maintained (Stahl & Van, 2015). For instance, someone with aphasia, specifically expressive aphasia (Broca's aphasia), may be unable to inquire about a loved one's birthday. However, they might still be capable of singing "Happy Birthday." A common defect in all forms of aphasia is anomia, characterized by difficulties retrieving the appropriate word (Manasco, 2020).

Individuals with aphasia (PWA) have linguistic impairments, notably challenges in word retrieval, following cerebral damage. Language acquisition is a vital, lifelong human ability that facilitates language function recovery following cerebral injury. People exhibit their culture in both literal and conceptual ways. Many find it difficult to comprehend how cultures communicate (Elssiddieg & Badawi, 2024). This prospect has increased interest in studying language acquisition in PWAs during the past few decades. Current research in this domain demonstrates that (1) language acquisition may remain viable in specific individuals with PWA, (2) there is significant inter-individual variability in learning performance among PWA, (3) language processing, short-term memory, and lesion location correlate with learning capacity, and (4) initial evidence indicates a connection between learning ability and treatment efficacy in this demographic (Penaloza et al., 2022).

Research Problem

Despite much research on language processing in the brain, the exact mechanisms behind these unexpected changes in speech patterns after brain lesions are still unknown. Althea Bryden's experience, who began speaking with an Italian accent following a stroke, exemplifies the urgent need for a deeper understanding of the underlying causes and mechanisms behind these alterations. This study explores such alterations' neurological and cognitive foundations to improve diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation for those impacted.

Aims of the study

This study seeks to clarify its intricacies. It aims to comprehensively understand FAS and its clinical implications by combining findings from case studies, clinical reports, and peer-reviewed publications. The review analyzes the persistent themes and discrepancies in the literature and offers a linguistic interpretation of Foreign Accent Syndrome (FAS).

Methods

This study utilizes a mixed-methods research strategy to examine the neurological and cognitive underpinnings of Foreign Accent Syndrome (FAS) and multilingual aphasia, as well as their variety in presentation and recovery. The incorporation of qualitative approaches enables a thorough comprehension of these situations. Foreign Accent Syndrome, aphasia, brain damage, speech difficulties, and neuroplasticity are interconnected phenomena that require additional examination. The selected research was limited to peer-reviewed journals published in English. Case reports, animal studies, and reviews published more than ten

years ago were omitted. Data were gathered from each included study, including study design, participant characteristics, neurological condition, kind of accent modification, neuroimaging results, and rehabilitation outcomes.

Limitations

Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS) is a rare condition, limiting the quantity of published research and the applicability of its findings. The studies incorporated in the review may differ markedly in technique, sample size, and participant characteristics, potentially leading to bias. This review may not thoroughly address all facets of FAS, including its psychological and societal implications.

Foreign Accent Syndrome

Foreign accent syndrome is an uncommon medical disease wherein individuals exhibit speech patterns that are recognized as a foreign accent distinct from their original accent despite having learned the accent in their region of origin. Foreign accent syndrome typically arises following a stroke but may also occur due to head trauma, headaches, or developmental issues (Kurowski et al., 1996).

The problem may arise from abnormalities in the brain's speech production network or be classified as a neuropsychiatric disorder. Four The illness was initially documented in 1907; from 1941 to 2009, there were 62 reported cases (Moreno et al., 2916).

Untrained ears perceive individuals with the syndrome as speaking their native languages with a foreign accent; for example, an American native speaker of American English could appear to have a southeastern British English accent, whereas a native English speaker from Britain could have a New York accent. Contrary to common perception, individuals with FAS do not display their accent effortlessly. Conversely, some people perceive themselves as having a speech issue. Recent data suggests that the cerebellum, responsible for motor function, may play a significant role in certain instances of foreign accent syndrome, supporting the idea that alterations in speech patterns are mechanical and, therefore, non-specific (Miller et al., 2011).

Typically, FAS is not a chronic condition; it represents a transient phase in the recovery after a stroke or trauma or even a phase of decline. FAS primarily impacts speech at either a segmental or prosodic level. Vowels are more susceptible to influence than consonants. Vowel mistakes encompass an escalation in vowel tensing, monophthongisation of diphthongs, and vowel fronting and elevation. Evidence exists for both vowel contraction and expansion. Consonantal irregularities include modifications in articulation, manner, and voice (Van et al., 2015).

Exploring Discrepancies in Literature on Foreign Accent Syndrome (FAS) and Bilingual Aphasia

The investigation into Foreign Accent Syndrome (FAS) and multilingual aphasia reveals several conflicts and inconsistencies. Understanding these discrepancies is crucial for improving the general knowledge of these diseases and optimizing diagnostic, treatment, and rehabilitation strategies. The subsequent discrepancies identified in the existing studies are noteworthy:

1. Variation in Diagnostic Criteria Discrepancy

Discrepancy: Diverse studies and medical records utilize varying criteria for the diagnosis of Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS) and multilingual aphasia, leading to discrepancies in case identification and classification.

Example: Some researchers investigate the emergence of foreign-accented speech patterns post-injury, while others emphasize the patient's psychological state or neurological indicators. This variance may result in different diagnoses for similar symptoms (Lowit, 2024).

2. Differences in Recovery Patterns:

Discrepancy: Significant heterogeneity occurs in recovery patterns among individuals with Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS) and multilingual aphasia. Some people demonstrate rapid healing, while others experience persistent problems.

Example: In several case studies, individuals with bilingual aphasia exhibited a more rapid recovery of their second language skill than their native language, whilst other studies suggest the opposite. The identified disparities indicate that personal factors may influence rehabilitation, such as age, severity of brain injury, and previous language proficiency (Lowit, 2024).

3. Variability in Neuroimaging Results:

Discrepancy: Neuroimaging studies of Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS) and bilingual aphasia have yielded inconsistent results concerning the specific brain regions implicated. **Example:** Some studies emphasize the left hemisphere's involvement in language recovery (Broca, 1861; Wernicke, 1874), while others propose that the right hemisphere or subcortical regions may also be significantly involved (Lowit, 2024). The inconsistencies hinder the comprehension of the neural mechanisms associated with these conditions.

4. Variability in Symptom Presentation Discrepancy:

Discrepancy: Individuals with FAS and bilingual aphasia exhibit a diverse array of symptoms, complicating the establishment of a consistent profile for these conditions.

Example: Some individuals with FAS demonstrate alterations solely in prosody and intonation, whereas others also show modifications in vowel and consonant sounds (BBC, 2024). In bilingual aphasia, certain patients exhibit deficits in only one language, whereas others encounter challenges in all their languages (Lowit, 2024).

5. Psychological and Neurological Explanations

Discrepancy: There is ongoing debate regarding the classification of FAS as primarily a psychological or neurological condition**.**

Example: Illustration: Some case studies highlight psychological factors, including trauma and stress, as the main contributors to FAS (BBC, 2024). In contrast, alternative studies examine the neurological underpinnings, pinpointing particular brain lesions linked to the condition (Lowit, 2024). This debate influences the treatment and understanding of FAS in clinical practice.

Despite the increasing number of documented case studies on foreign accent syndrome, there remains a lack of consensus (Blumstein & Kurowski, 2006). Explanations for the fundamental nature of producing dysfunction in FAS have been articulated through phonetic settings, processes governing speech-motor control, thinking, and phonology theory (Moen, 2000).

Table 1: Outlines some of FAS. Cases, accents, and triggering illnesses and conditions, according to the study conducted by Mariën et al., 2019

Triggering Illnesses	Age	Gender	Language/Accents
Stage IV breast cancer	60	Female	American English to Swedish
Temporo Occipital Lesion	40	Male	Farsi to Yazdi/Isfahani
Infarction	26	Male	Spanish to English
Schizophrenia	34	Female	American English to British English
Multiple Sclerosis	52	Female	Canadian English to Dutch
Infarction	70	Female	Spanish to Slavonic
Infarction	60	Female	American English toEastern Europe Accent
Hemorrhage	28	Male	Portuguese to North American
Traumatic Neurosis	49	Female	English to Welsh
Stroke	37	Female	English to Welsh

Implications for Understanding the Pathophysiology, Diagnosis, and Treatment of FAS

Comprehending the brain regions and neural pathways implicated in FAS aids in clarifying the pathophysiological mechanisms that underlie the illness. This knowledge enables the development of customized medicines and improves the accuracy of diagnostic imaging techniques. The development of standardized diagnostic criteria is crucial for the reliable and accurate detection of FAS. Comprehensive diagnostic methods must include neuroimaging, cognitive evaluations, and detailed patient histories to determine the distinct characteristics of FAS. Intervention Strategies: Speech therapy and cognitive rehabilitation exercises to enhance neuroplasticity can effectively restore speech patterns. Psychological treatment and support are essential for mitigating trauma and stress-related factors that may exacerbate FAS symptoms.

A multidisciplinary strategy involving neurologists, speech therapists, psychologists, and social workers is crucial for delivering complete care to FAS patients. Tailored therapeutic approaches that cater to each patient's unique symptoms and needs can improve outcomes and quality of life. Support groups and educational programs for patients can aid persons with FAS in navigating the social and emotional challenges linked to the illness.

Encouraging patient involvement in social activities and enhancing communication skills might increase their confidence and promote social integration.

Chomsky's Universal Grammar

Chomsky claimed that language is a distinct mental system that recursively generates linguistic laws rather than merely a collection of generic cognitive abilities. This may elucidate why language speakers can theoretically comprehend and generate an infinite array of original grammatical phrases. Universal grammar is a collection of unconscious constraints that enable us to determine whether a sentence is accurately constructed. This mental grammar is not universally applicable to all languages. However, Chomsky theorists assert that the process by which specific sentences are perceived as correct in each language while others are not universal and independent of meaning. Consequently, we promptly recognize that the sentence "Robert book reads the" is not in the correct form of English, despite our reasonable understanding of its meaning.

Conversely, we acknowledge that a sentence like "Colorless green ideas sleep furiously" is grammatically correct English despite its absurdity.

A pair of dice is an effective metaphor for elucidating Chomsky's reference to universal grammar as a "set of constraints." Before tossing the dice, we know that the outcome will be between 2 and 12, but no one would wager on it being 3.143. In the same vein, a newborn infant can speak a variety of languages, contingent upon the country in which it is born. However, it will not simply talk to them in any way it desires; instead, it will employ specific, innate structures it prefers. These structures may be characterized as events that occur to infants and children rather than learned. In the same way, infants naturally develop arms rather than wings while in the womb, and they naturally acquire the ability to communicate after birth rather than chirping or neighing (Chomsky, 2025).

According to Chomsky, children master the intricate operations of language with such ease because they possess an innate understanding of specific principles that assist them in developing their language's grammar. In other words, Chomsky's theory posits that language acquisition is facilitated by a predisposition that our minds possess for specific language structures. However, which language? For Chomsky's theory to be valid, all languages must possess specific structural characteristics. Chomsky and other generative linguists have demonstrated that the 5000 to 6000 languages in the world, despite their vastly diverse grammars, do share a set of syntactic rules and principles. These linguists believe that this "universal grammar" is inherent and is situated within the neuronal circuitry of the human brain; this is the reason why children can choose only the sentences that adhere to a "deep structure" that is encoded in the brain's circuits, out of all the ones that occur to the mind (Cook & Newson, 2014).

Some of the facts that bolster Chomsky's perspective on language include the empiricist school, which had dominated language-related discourse since the Enlightenment, maintained that children's minds were like a blank slate upon their arrival in the world until Chomsky introduced his theory of universal grammar in the 1960s. Subsequent research in the cognitive sciences, which integrated psychology, linguistics, computer science, and philosophy methodologies, swiftly validated the concept of universal grammar. For instance, researchers discovered that infants as young as a few days old could distinguish the phonemes of any language and appeared to possess an inherent mechanism for processing the sounds of the human voice. Therefore, it seems that children are born with specific linguistic capabilities that enable them to acquire a complex language and construct one from the beginning if necessary (White, 1989).

Chomsky originates from the distinction between mental grammar, an unconscious construct that evolves in the brain akin to a computational system due to exposure to linguistic data, and descriptive grammar, through which linguists endeavor to characterize it formally. Chomsky asserts the presence of a genetic component, which he terms Universal Grammar, as the sole explanation for a child's conscious identification of linguistic stimuli in its environment and the subsequent development of a universally utilized ability, a feat that is notably challenging to replicate, as he notes—while other animals fail to recognize the nuances of linguistic stimuli, despite being exposed to identical data. He posits that this phenomenon elucidates why children of any ethnicity, when relocated from their native environment to a different country, acquire the new language effortlessly. The issue and research initiative aim to formalize the nature of genetic imprinting, advancing alongside linguistic science. All languages are employed to achieve this, as they represent a singular capacity, irrespective of the concepts and cultural categories they encapsulate, having evolved within distinct physical and social contexts.

Native speakers comprehend the meanings of utterances and the grammatical consistency of their forms within their language. Herein lies the significance of universal grammar. Universal grammar is thought to be an element of the inherent language faculty. The function of universal grammar is to predefine the possibilities of grammatical structures. Universal grammar offers a catalog of potential grammatical categories (such as nouns, verbs, pluralization, and tense, encompassing both morphological and semantic aspects) and a repertoire of possible grammatical operations, thereby delineating the functional parameters of grammar. Some aspects of language are consistent across languages (known as principles of universal grammar), while others are particular to individual languages (Parodi, 2013).

Chomsky originates from the distinction between mental grammar, an unconscious construct that evolves in the brain akin to a computational system through exposure to linguistic data, and descriptive grammar, which linguists use to characterize it formally. Chomsky asserts the presence of a genetic component, termed Universal Grammar, as the sole explanation for a child's capacity to consciously identify linguistic stimuli in their environment and subsequently develop the linguistic abilities utilized by all humans—a feat he notes is challenging to replicate. In contrast, other animals fail to recognize the nuances of linguistic stimuli, even when subjected to identical data. He posits that this phenomenon elucidates why children of any ethnicity acquire a new language effortlessly when relocated from their nation of origin to another. The issue and research initiative aim to formalize the nature of genetic imprinting, advancing alongside linguistic science; to achieve this, all languages are employed, as they represent a standard capacity, irrespective of the concepts and cultural categories they encapsulate, having evolved within distinct physical and social contexts (UNAV, 2024).

In the latter half of the nineteenth and early 20th centuries, Otto Jespersen and Wilhelm Wundt countered these prior assertions, contending that the prevailing perspective on language was too shaped by Latin and neglected the great diversity of global linguistic variation. Jesperson (2007) did not abandon the concept of "universal grammar" but condensed it into universal syntactic categories or super-categories, including tenses,

numbers, etc. With the emergence of behaviorism, universal grammar was rejected in favor of the notion that language acquisition, similar to other forms of learning, might be explained through attempts, mistakes, and rewards for achievements (Chomsky, 2024). Children acquire their native language by straightforward imitation, by listening to and replicating the speech of adults. For instance, when a child articulates "milk," and the mother responds with a smile and provides milk, the youngster perceives this outcome as gratifying, facilitating the child's linguistic development (Berwick & Chomsky, 2015).

Jerry A. Fodor (2001), a prominent proponent of innateness, lately stated: 'Chomsky can assert with complete coherence that innate, domain-specific propositional attitudes mediate language acquisition while remaining entirely indifferent to the domain particularity of the mechanisms involved in language acquisition.' Indeed. Evidence suggests that statistical learning, potentially domain-general, functions in low-level (word segmentation) and high-level (parameter setting) language acquisition processes. However, in both instances, it is limited by what seems to be inherent and domain-specific principles of linguistic structures, which guarantee that learning focuses on particular elements of the input, for instance, syllables and stress in word segmentation and expletive subject sentences in parameter-setting. Language acquisition can be perceived as 'innately led learning,' wherein Universal Grammar directs the learner on 'which clues to prioritize.' Endowment and learning are crucial to language acquisition, reassuring linguists, and psychologists through this synthesis (Gould & Marler, 1987).

Neurogenetics Fisher and Vernes (2015) view Chomsky's concept of "universal grammar" as a romantic oversimplification of genetics and neuroscience. They assert that scientists have not reliably established the connection between genes and grammar. Research has primarily established findings related to speech pathologies. The emerging uncertainty has created a platform for unfounded speculations perpetuating the myth of "so-called grammar genes."

Sampson (2005) argues that theories of universal grammar lack falsifiability, categorizing them as pseudoscientific. The author contends that the grammatical "rules" proposed by linguists are merely post-hoc observations of existing languages rather than predictive statements regarding the possibilities within a language (Enrico, 2015). Jeffrey Elman contends that unlearnability in languages, as posited by universal grammar, relies on an overly rigid, "worst-case" model of grammar that does not align with any real grammatical systems. James Hurford contends that the concept of a language acquisition device (LAD) ultimately reduces to the fundamental assertion that humans learn languages. Consequently, the LAD functions more as an explanandum in search of theoretical frameworks than as a robust theory itself (Hurford, 1990).

Discussion

Initial findings indicate that brain injuries may significantly rearrange the neural networks associated with language processing. Neuroplasticity, the brain's extraordinary capacity to establish new neural connections, is essential for healing (Lowit, 2024). This restructuring may result in unforeseen consequences, like the development of foreign accents or the selective retrieval of languages in bilingual individuals.

The instance of Althea Bryden (BBC, 2024) illustrates the intricacy of these phenomena. Although Bryden had never spoken Italian, her speech patterns changed notably, adopting an Italian accent after her stroke. This transition likely originated from injury to brain regions associated with motor control and speech production, leading to changes in her speech rhythm, intonation, and pronunciation (BBC, 2024). On the other hand, Hassan (2022) stated that prospective studies must persist in examining the complex links between traumatic brain injury (TBI) and its related psychiatric disorders while also refining diagnostic and treatment approaches to improve the quality of life for TBI patients.

Chomsky taught us something as immediately evident as the fact that studying the innate capability of language does not require a thorough analysis of many natural languages. Just as it is not necessary to analyze the eyes of different races to examine ocular vision, one is sufficient because they are all manifestations of the same school (apart from all those characteristics of their use relating to the knowledge world, culture, and society, however numerous and fascinating). Furthermore, from Chomsky's standpoint, it is not necessary to study a wide range of cultures to understand that, despite the differences in their outward manifestations, there is a natural, human foundation to the moral law that underlies various ethical codes (Chomsky, 2024).

A recurring theme in Chomsky's writings since nearly the beginning, the idea of a specialized language faculty signifies a strong commitment to the "modularity of mind," which holds that the mind is composed of autonomous and specialized systems, at least in part. As opposed to the theory that mental processes result from the interaction of general faculties, like memory and perception, which are not domain-specific like the proposed language faculty, there is disagreement among cognitive scientists and in the philosophy of psychology about how accurate this picture is.

In his latest books, Chomsky has endeavored to integrate his ideas of language and cognition with neuroscience and conceptions of the physical brain. He has occasionally articulated skepticism regarding the feasibility of entirely integrating these disciplines, necessitating a comprehensive elucidation of linguistic and psychological phenomena solely through physical events and cerebral structures. Although he posits that this may be achievable in the distant future, it could necessitate a fundamental conceptual transformation in neuroscience.

He warns that perfect integration may ultimately be unattainable. Chomsky references Descartes' exploration of the "creative" essence of human cognition and language, noting that their application in typical situations is "innovative without bounds, appropriate to circumstances but not caused by them" (Chomsky 2014: 1), alongside our evident capacity for free will. Chomsky thinks such occurrences may surpass our cognitive constraints, rendering complete understanding unattainable.

It is essential to highlight that the language faculty posited by Chomsky is mental rather than a distinct physical organ in the brain. Inquiring about its position in the brain is like asking where a specific software resides in a computer; both arise from the operation of numerous physical processes that may be dispersed over various sites throughout the complete physical apparatus. Simultaneously, while Chomsky's theory pertains to mental systems and their functions, it aims to provide a high-level abstract description of the computational operations realized in the physical brain. Critics of Chomsky's theories often highlight the minimal advancement in correlating these cognitive systems with brain structures. Chomsky says, "We do not truly understand how [language] is implemented in neural circuitry." (Berwick Robert & Chomsky, 2015)

Conclusion

The complex connection between the human brain and language is well demonstrated by instances where brain lesions result in unexpected changes in speech patterns. This review has underscored the intricacies of Foreign Accent Syndrome (FAS) and multilingual aphasia, stressing the necessity to comprehend the fundamental neurological and cognitive mechanisms that account for these alterations. Comprehending these mechanisms is essential for formulating effective rehabilitation programs and enhancing the quality of life for persons impacted by these speech and language problems. The heterogeneity in symptom manifestation and recovery trajectories highlights the necessity of individualized treatment strategies.

The existence of a constant human nature since the emergence of Homo sapiens expresses skepticism towards science as a universal solution for profound inquiries that are often beyond our comprehension and asserts that the essence of humanity is not amenable to scientific scrutiny. Like his enlightened predecessors, the world is (conditionally) intelligible and rational, as irrationality cannot produce a nature amenable to scientific scrutiny, and there are undeniable presuppositions, such as free will, and the world has processes and beings that elude explanation, asserting that a solely mechanistic or physicalist perspective is untenable.

Subsequent studies ought to continue to examine the neurological and cognitive mechanisms implicated in language remodelling after brain damage. This will improve our comprehension of FAS and facilitate more precise diagnoses, efficient therapeutic interventions, and thorough patient support networks. Furthermore, implementing defined diagnostic criteria and utilizing modern neuroimaging techniques would enhance the consistency and precision of identifying these diseases. A multidisciplinary approach, incorporating neurologists, speech therapists, psychologists, and social workers, is crucial to meet the varied needs of afflicted persons and deliver comprehensive care.

References

1. Albadri, L. (2024). The Influence of the Media on Political Decisions. *Academic International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, *2*(2), 42-50. https://doi.org/10.59675/S225
2. ASHA, (2024). Aphasia. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association.
3. Berwick Robert & Chomsky, Noam, (2015). Why Only Us: Language and Evolution, MIT PR, First Edition.
4. Blumstein, S. E., & Kurowski, K. (2006). The foreign accent syndrome: A perspective. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*, *19*(5), 346-355.
5. Broca, P. (1861). 'Remarques sur le siège de la faculté du langage articulé, suivies d'une observation d'aphémie (perte de la parole)', Bulletin de la Société Anatomique, 6, pp. 330-357.
6. Chomsky, N. 2014. "Science, Mind, and Limits of Understanding.". The Science and Faith Foundation, https://chomsky.info/201401/. The Vatican.
7. Chomsky, Noam. "Tool Module: Chomsky's Universal Grammar." Retrieved 2024-11-01.
8. Code, Chris; Petheram, Brian (2011). "Delivering for aphasia." International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology. 13 (1): 3–10.
9. Cook, V., & Newson, M. (2014). *Chomsky's universal grammar: An introduction*. John Wiley & Sons.
10. Damasio, A. R. (1992). Aphasia. New England Journal of Medicine, 326(8), 531-539.
11. Damasio, A. R. (1992). Aphasia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *326*(8), 531-539.
12. Elssiddieg, A. A. B., & Badawi, A. M. M. (2024). Difficulties Encountered by Students of Translation in Rendering English Cultural Expressions into Arabic: Perspectives of Teaching Staff. *Academic International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, *2*(2), 11-17. https://doi.org/10.59675/S222
13. Enrico, C. (2015). The generative grammar between philosophy and science. European journal of literature and linguistics, (4), 12-16.
14. Fisher, S. E., & Vernes, S. C. (2015). Genetics and the language sciences. Annu. Rev. Linguist., 1(1), 289-310.
15. Fodor, J. (2001). Doing without what's within: Fiona Cowie's critique of nativism.
16. Gould, J.L. and Marler, P. (1987), Learning by Instinct, Scientific American.

17. Hassan, S. F. (2022). Traumatic brain injury. *Academic International Journal of Medical Sciences*, *1*(1), 83-90. https://doi.org/10.59675/M119
18. Hurford, J. R. (1990). Nativist and functional explanations in language acquisition. Logical issues in language acquisition, 85, 136.
19. Jespersen, Otto (2007), The Philosophy of Grammar, Norton.
20. Keulen, S., Verhoeven, J., De Witte, E., De Page, L., Bastiaanse, R., & Mariën, P. (2016). Foreign accent syndrome as a psychogenic disorder: a review. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, *10*, 168.
21. Kurowski, K. M., Blumstein, S. E., & Alexander, M. (1996). The foreign accent syndrome: a reconsideration. Brain and language, 54(1), 1-25.
22. Lowit, A. (2024). 'Language disorders post-stroke: An investigation into neural reorganization,' Journal of Neurolinguistics, 35(2), pp. 123-135.
23. Manasco, M. H. (2020). Introduction to neurogenic communication disorders. Jones & Bartlett Learning.
24. Mariën, P., Keulen, S., & Verhoeven, J. (2019). Neurological aspects of foreign accent syndrome in stroke patients. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, *77*, 94-113.
25. Miller, N., Taylor, J., Howe, C., & Read, J. (2011). Living with foreign accent syndrome: insider perspectives. Aphasiology, 25(9), 1053-1068.
26. Moen, I. (2000). Foreign accent syndrome: A review of contemporary explanations. *Aphasiology*, *14*(1), 5-15.
27. Moreno-Torres, I., Mariën, P., Dávila, G., & Berthier, M. L. (2016). Language beyond words: The neuroscience of accent. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 10, 639.
28. Parodi Teresa. 2013, Universal Grammar and Second Language Acquisition. Encyclopedia of Applied Linguistics, Blackwell Publishing Ltd. DOI: 10.1002/9781405198431.wbeal1235.
29. Penaloza, C., Martin, N., Laine, M., & Rodríguez-Fornells, A. (2022). Language learning in aphasia: A narrative review and critical analysis of the literature with implications for language therapy. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *141*, 104825.
30. Pietranton, A. A. (2014). American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. *Current Issues in Stuttering Research and Practice*.
31. Rook, J., Llufriu, S., de Kok, D., & Rofes, A. (2023). Language impairments in people with autoimmune neurological diseases: A scoping review—Journal *of Communication Disorders*, 106368.
32. Sampson, G. (2005). The Language Instinct Debate: Revised Edition. A&C Black.
33. Stahl, B., & Van Lancker Sidtis, D. (2015). Tapping into neural resources of communication: formulaic language in aphasia therapy. Frontiers in Psychology, 6, 1526.
34. The British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) (2024). 'British grandmother wakes up speaking Italian after stroke.' Available at: https://nypost.com/2024/12/20/us-news/grandma-wakes-up-with-an-italian-accent-after-recovering-from-stroke-despite-not-knowing-the-language-i-feel-like-someone-is-impersonating-me/ (Accessed: 24 December 2024)
35. UNAV. Chomsky, Human Nature, Language and the Limitations of Science, and a complementary proposal inspired by C. S. Lewis. Marciano Escutia, School of Philology, Complutense University of Madrid. Retrieved 2024-12-03.
36. Van der Scheer, Fennetta; Jonkers, Roel; Gilbers, Dicky (18 December 2013). "Foreign accent syndrome and force of articulation
37. Wernicke, C. (1874). Der aphasische Symptomencomplex: Eine psychologische Studie auf anatomischer Basis. Cohn & Weigert.
38. White, L. (1989). Universal grammar and second language acquisition.